

SBSTA 7/SBI 8 Sharm el-Sheikh mitigation ambition and implementation work programme

Prepared by: Kate Allen-Study & Noor Huda - Washington University in St. Louis

Meeting: COP30, Belém Brazil

November 13, 2025

Time	Session/Agenda/Event Title	Location
4 - 6 pm	SBSTA 7 / SBI 8 / CMA 6 Sharm el-Sheikh mitigation ambition and implementation work programme	Meeting Room 22

Relevant links or Attachments:

[Sharm el-Sheikh mitigation ambition and implementation work programme. Annual report by the secretariat;](#)

Informal note: [Sharm el-Sheikh mitigation ambition and implementation work programme.](#)

Key takeaways:

- Deep divisions emerged over references to 1.5°C, GST outcomes, IPCC science, and NDC synthesis reports. Developed countries generally support them, and LMDC/Arab Group/India strongly opposes them.
- Continuation of the MWP remains contentious: many developed countries favored Option 3, while LMDC, Arab Group, India, and others supported Option 1–2.
- Digital platform: Parties split between wanting it expanded (AGN, LMDC) vs. addressed under Article 6.8 or removed (EU, Fiji, Canada).

Notes:

Speaker	Notes
Co- Facilitators	• The co-chairs noted that an informal note had been published that morning to guide today’s negotiations. They emphasized that SB-level work must be

	finalized by noon tomorrow and opened the floor for interventions.
United Kingdom	• Welcomed the informal note as a strong starting point. • Suggested streamlining the preambular section while retaining its logic. • Called for clearer structuring of the “improvements to the MWP” section, particularly regarding investment-focused events. • Emphasized the need for further discussion on the digital platform. • Stressed that there is no single solution applicable to all contexts and that forest and waste sections should include more detail on scale, drivers, domestic actions, and associated risks. • Highlighted the importance of ensuring Parties’ views are fully reflected without pre-empting outcomes.
Zimbabwe, on behalf of AGN	• Observed that the informal note resembles a draft decision and requires adjustments. • Supported including the Baku reference in the preambular text. • Requested removal of the NDC synthesis report reference as outside the MWP mandate. • Called for acknowledgment of MWP progress to date. • Favored cooperation with financial mechanisms and explicit provision of technology and finance for developing countries. • Sought clarity on the digital platform and supported “Option 1”; supported “Option 1” for continuation as well. • Proposed using the digital platform to map projects and financing opportunities. • Requested deletion of the connection to the GST
Australia	• Expressed concern that Article 4.6–related proposals could undermine the Paris Agreement. • Opposed introducing new provisions or interpretations not already agreed. • Stated that science and GST outcomes must inform the MWP. • Requested clarity on the matchmaking function of investment events. • Called for more actionable text and differentiation between the MWP and the Just Transition Work Programme. • Emphasized Indigenous Peoples’ inclusion, forest–agriculture–development linkages, and opportunities for climate financing. • Stated that the part on investments can be specified • Feels there can be info added to the MOI section: carbon markets, RED Plus, and support for indigenous solutions
Samoa	• Called for explicit reference to the role of the GST and reaffirmation of the Paris temperature goal of 1.5°C. • Highlighted the IPCC as the best source of scientific evidence. • Requested measurable, actionable outcomes. • Prioritized fossil-fuel transition and forest vitality. • Supported Option 3 for continuation of the MWP in line with its mandate. • Emphasized that forest-based solutions are important and should be more

	explicit
Norway	- Supported referencing Paris Agreement goals and the urgency of scaling up mitigation and implementation. - Suggested framing the MWP to more urgently call out ambitious goals to reach 1.5 °C - Emphasized that NDCs must remain nationally determined. - Suggested identifying incentives and drivers of mitigation, not only barriers.
Japan	- Stated that finance and technology issues should be addressed in more relevant forums rather than within the MWP. - Supported Option 3 for continuation.
European Union	- Called for streamlining the duplicative preambular text. - Emphasized gender and human rights in forest and waste discussions. - Stressed the importance of identifying structural barriers in investment-focused events. - Argued that the digital platform and Article 6.8 should be dealt with under the appropriate agenda item. - Supported Option 3 for continuation. - Suggested that there should be a more explicit an inclusion of the goals for 2030 - Feels there is potential for further text on matchmaking - Stressed that agriculture is not adequately included in the text
China, on behalf of LMDC	- Called for inclusion of best available science and a stronger reaffirmation of equity. - Requested clearer language on financial mechanisms and their Paris Agreement mandates. - Rejected inclusion of GST outcomes in the informal note. - Supported Option 1 for the digital platform and for outcomes of dialogues. - Supported Option 1–2 for continuation, rejecting Option 3. - Proposed mapping international climate-finance institutions and aligning the digital platform with Bonn discussions. - Regarding the digital platform supported option 1, with the condition that the pitch hub is included
Saudi Arabia on behalf of Arab group	- Called for specific reference to Article 1 of the Paris Agreement (CBDR-RC). - Supported acknowledging the possibility that 1.5°C may be exceeded. - Requested expansion of the financial mechanisms and inclusion of specific opportunities mentioned under the dialogues. - Requested removal of science-related language as outside the MWP mandate. - Opposed references to NDC synthesis reports. - Supported reinstating agreed 2025 Bonn language on forests and waste. - Supported Option 1–2 for continuation; opposed Option 3.

	● Agreed to virtual participation. Emphasized that it is for the parties who can not come in person, but virtual participation is meant to complement in-person. ● Called for an update on the functionality of 6.8 (non-market approaches)
DR	● Aligned with AOSIS. ● Requested measurable, actionable outcomes.
India	● Supported LMDC positions. ● Requested deletion of references to the 1.5°C goal, best available science, NDC synthesis reports, and mentions of particularly vulnerable countries. ● Opposed GST complementarity language. ● Supported Option 1 for digital platform; Option 1 for dialogue outcomes; Option 1–2 for continuation. ● Stressed that the MWP cannot guide NDCs and must remain non-prescriptive. ● Asked the text to include relevant institutions for finance and matchmaking. ● Stressed that submissions should not include agriculture.
Egypt	● Supported LMDC, AGN, and Arab Group positions. ● Opposed synthesis reports and references to “particularly vulnerable countries.” ● Mentioned increased vulnerability to climate change and asked to keep the same language on CBDR ● Requested deletion of the bullet on GST; it is redundant as it is in 4 CMA 4. ● Noted the hope to see the formerly agreed-upon text from Baku reflected. ● Want to bring back the digital platform as a hub. ● Support option 1 on the continuation of the MWP
Bangladesh	● Called for Baku decisions and Paris Articles 2.1 and 4.1 to be included. ● Requested recognition of special vulnerabilities of certain developing countries. ● Noted need to have space for discussion among the groups, perhaps a short paragraph, so that there can be productive discussion in the future.
Columbia on behalf of ILAC	● Found the informal note balanced. ○ Highlighted the content with the call to action and strong goals of the preambular text. ● Called for accessible, concessional finance and obligations for developed countries. ○ Welcome to the engagement of MOI, like matchmaking; wanted clarification in text that developed countries should take a lead role in funding projects in developing countries. ● Supported inclusivity and careful handling of methodologies. ● Open to the digital platform, pending evidence of added value. ● Supported Option 3 for continuation. ● Connection to GST is essential for the effectiveness of the mandate.

	• Support virtual tools for more inclusion through hybrid modalities. • Prefers option 2, which doesn't include a digital platform or a possible link to article 6.8, feels the digital platform doesn't address the challenges it hopes to deliver on. • Para 16 4 CMA 4 is important for the mandate since the messages included are important and could even be made stronger in future iterations • Have a preference for option 3 in continuation on MWP • Submissions: the most urgent priority should be the transition from fossil fuels.
EIG, Georgia	• Expressed confusion about Arab Group concerns on 1.5°C. • Supported NDC synthesis report. • Called for references to virtual participation and expert engagement. • Supported Option 2 for the digital platform; opposed adding new options. • Supported AOSIS on continuation (Option 3). • Highlighted that the preamble is missing references to forests. ○ Suggestions on waste and conservation have not been included.
Canada	• Called for streamlined preambular text, but inclusion of human rights and Indigenous Peoples' rights. • Supported references to particularly vulnerable countries. • Emphasized interactive virtual participation. ○ Supports other creative tools in increasing participation ■ i.e. maybe all links are made available at the outset of each session. • Stated that non-market approaches should be dealt with under Article 6.8. • On messages, want to see more on forests • On the non-market cooperation approach, agree with ILAC
New Zealand	• Supported referencing IPCC science and virtual participation. • Supported complementarity with the GST. • Welcomed the inclusion of global-dialogue messages. • Supported references to limiting warming to 1.5°C. • Support other virtual/creative tools to enhance participation. • The digital platform is likely to run past the mandate in terms of timeline, as the MWP concludes in 2026 • Stressed that the key messages are not one-size-fits-all.
Russia	• Called for a streamlined preambular text and grouping Paris references into one sentence. • Opposed references to 1.5°C and GST outcomes. • Sought clearer explanation of investment events. • Supported Option 1 for digital platform, Option 1 for dialogue outcomes, and Option 1–2 for continuation.
Fiji	• Aligned with AOSIS. ○ Feels preamble is clear & recognises the need.

	● Opposed the digital platform (supported Option 2). ● Stated that platform discussions belong under Article 6.8. ● Supported Option 3 for continuation
Algeria	● Aligned with AGN, LMDC, and Arab Group. ● Called for deletion of GST references. ● Suggested the adoption of the language proposed by LMDC ● Supported the continuation of the MWP; options 1 and 2
Korea	● Supported virtual participation and flexible continuation arrangements. ● Need a platform for parties to enhance peer-to-peer exchange ● Support option 2 on the digital platform ● Want to see improvements to MWP ● In key messages, support option 2 in line with EIG
Ukraine	● Supported streamlined preambular text. ● Supported Option 2 for improvements, Option 2 for outcomes, and Option 3 for continuation. ● Feels that key messages may not apply to all countries, the language must be high-level and strong.
UK	● Reiterated the importance of referencing 1.5°C. ● Noted wide divergence on continuation options. ● Cautious of adding an additional option because it reopens negotiations ● Regional dialogues are the definition of "one size doesn't fit all." ● Feels the nations are quite far apart on goals regarding the continuation of the MWP, supports the continuation of discussions, and flexibility by nations.
Co-facilitators	● Following the negotiation an updated informal note will be published by the morning of 11/14