

UNFCCC Meeting Report on Global Stocktake NDC Dialogue Report Consideration under paragraph 187 of 1/CMA.5 (Negotiation Session)

Prepared by: Harrison Goodman Cohn, Ruishi Zhang - Washington University in St. Louis

Meeting: COP30, Belem Brazil

November 12, 2025

Time	Session/Agenda/Event Title	Location
10:00 - 12:00 BST / GMT -3	CMA 4 (b) Reports for 2024 and 2025 on the annual global stocktake dialogue referred to in paragraph 187 of decision 1/CMA.5. - Informal consultation	Plenary - Tocantins, Area D

Relevant links or Attachments:

- Mandate - para. 187 of 1/CMA.5
https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/cma2023_16a01E.pdf
- 2025 Dialogue Summary Report - <https://unfccc.int/documents/650254>
- 2024 Dialogue Summary Report - <https://unfccc.int/documents/641419>

Key takeaways:

- For LMDC, Saudi Arabia made a very strong statement against any outcome other than a short, procedural decision text acknowledging the 2024 and 2025 reports. This group remained firm in demanding that the dialogue's mandate has now ended and that the final decision should not reference specific substantive outcomes.
- The co-facilitators will produce an informal note summarizing the negotiations and party positions thus far ahead of

Speaker	Notes
CoF Noura	• Same co-facilitators will remain in charge of this issue • Please join the mailing list via the QR code that will be shown shortly • Asks parties to remain respectful and follow the code of conduct • Asks parties to refer to 1/CMA.5 and summarizes work that has taken place thus far
CoF Kaarle	• Thanks parties for being here and opens floor for interventions • Makes a joke about not being able to see if flags are raised due to eyesight but says that secretariat will assist in helping speakers • No speakers offered to join immediately, and then returns to the matter of two different reports that need consideration

	• Would like to hear parties' perspective on the two reports
Canada	• Thanks everyone for being here • Outlines objectives of Canada ◦ Invokes spirit of mutirão to bring a new dynamic to find a way forward past last year's inconclusion ◦ Positive and facilitative space and integrating the GST, critical priority for us all. ◦ We are supportive of seeing this dialogue continue into 2026 and potentially beyond • Ideal outcome from the session: language thanking and confirming the process so far, welcoming the summary reports • Reflection of messages to be reflected in NDCs following the summary reports and believe ◦ There are ways to reflect messages in a way that is in line with the mandate ◦ Look back to the GST decisions and looks at specific paragraphs 19, 24, 27 as a way to bridge differences • Asks SB chairs when they can have an agenda ready for a continued dialogue next year
CoF Kaarle	• Technical difficulties, asks Canada to repeat their final point • Asks for further comments
Switzerland obo EIG	• Commend parties that have submitted new NDCs and thank them for leadership • GST Dialogue should continue being a platform for how NDCs can translate into action into next year ◦ Streamlined into development plan • Outcome this year in Belem: ◦ Key elements of a decision that reflect on key messages from both dialogue reports ◦ They can share a few messages, but want to hear what messages the room is interested ■ Aligning new NDCs with the 1.5 objective ■ Consider the first GST ■ Sectoral pathways ■ NDCs as investment-ready ■ Enhanced support for developing countries on finance on capacity building ◦ Encourage entity of financial mechanism to provide help on implementations of developing countries' NDC • Asks all parties to update NDCs to meet the 1.5° goal and the outcomes of the first GST • Financial framework that remote the mobilization of private and public finance
UK	• Useful dialogue on how parties are responding to the first GST, know how important it is that this informs new NDCs • Encourage and thank all parties for GST-aligned NDCs • 2025 synthesis report show that parties are off track on racing the 1.5 goals, important for us to share and exchange our opinion on NDC

	• Important for this dialogue to continue using the GST to align NDCs with already agreed upon goals, on an ongoing basis • Welcome hearing new perspectives on the dialogues on specific outcomes that can be included in NDCs • Find paragraph 95 to be very helpful ○ Can be tangible example of parties respond to specific outcomes of the GST • Decision text with framed messages around NDCs, the 1.5 goal, and the NDC synthesis report ○ Specifically the shortcomings identified in the NDC synthesis report towards the 1.5 goal
Columbia obo AILAC	• Current efforts are insufficient towards the 1.5 goal • Paragraph 187 on global GST dialogue on facilitate exchange • The dialogue should continue to promote transparency, –, —, in the formation of NDCs ○ How countries are integrating GST outcomes into their NDC ○ Implementation plans and investment plans • AILAC supports a CMA7 decision that welcomes and takes note, highlights key messages and good practices to align with GST outcomes, affirms the annual nature of this dialogue • This must be a meaningful platform, not a procedural task ○ Warn against ideas of ending dialogue prematurely, this is against the spirit of the mandate that provides no ending year ○ This is important for long-term goals of the Paris agreement
Australia	• Turning point to keep 1.5 efforts within reach, references NDC synthesis report statistic on whether NDCs are informed by the GST ○ This is why this dialogue continues to be important in next year and beyond • Support what EIG, UK, Canada, Columbia have said • Integrating GST outcomes in NDCs can increase economy opportunities and benefit for all countries ○ Increase job
Saudi Arabia obo LMDCs	• Disappointment that parties were unable to reach an agreement last year ○ Need to preserve the technical nature of the document. The failure last year was due to the attempt to change the nature of the report ○ This report should be factual and inform parties ○ Should not produce policy outcomes • This policy agenda item should remain purely procedural ○ Caution against referencing or invoking previous decisions adopted on the GST outcomes ○ This distorts outcomes that were already decided ○ This would invite cherry-picking of specific paragraphs • GST focuses on share of knowledge and perspective of the NDC, believe the GST outcomes have successfully have already achieved its purpose • We recall the mandate: parties have done this already for the next NDC submission round and the mandate is done ○ Repeating the dialogue will repeat the same discussions and would not

	- add any new substances or outcomes ◦ Would be like a book club that repeats the same chapter over and over while learning nothing new, this is a waste of time for developing country parties that already have many overlapping responsibilities • The dialogue has fulfilled its decisions and should be closed in SBI 63
EU	• Welcome the summary report • Looking to see the substances reflected in the agenda • Paragraph 28, 33, 36 and 27, 28, 39 and 142 that directly relate to NDCs and the 1.5 goal • Huge potential can be generated from well-designed NDC • The dialogue is an annual recurring dialogue for technical experts to community on technical issues for preparation of the next round of NDC ◦ Implementation of all NDCs will be the focus on the next year • With the BTR and NDC synthesis report conclusions, this dialogue is needed to close ambition and implementation gaps with NDCs. There is no other platform to discuss NDCs. ◦ Synthesis report include analysis that is very helpful for the dialogue
Ghana obo AGN	• Conclusions from this informal group should acknowledge, take note of the GST outcomes, and conclude the matter ◦ Second on Saudi Arabia • A simple, factual report is what we expect coming out of this group • We expect the dialogue to continue while the NDCs were being prepared • Make the mandate useful for what it was written for ◦ It is used to inform the next round of NDC
South Africa	• First with welcoming noting on the dialogue and report • Sb made it a repeating dialogue, the purpose is a technical exchange for the next NDC, it make sense to continue the dialogue until 2026 • Technical dialogue to inform NDCs. Not all NDCs are submitted, so it makes sense to continue the dialogue until all NDCs are submitted ◦ Do not desire a new precedent of parties not submitting NDCs • No dialogue in 2027 or 2028, but it would make sense to resume it when there is a new global stocktake outcomes report and another NDC submission round
Brazil obo COP Presidency	• Thanks parties and co-facilitators for their work and welcomes them to Belem • They have the full confidence of the parties in the room

Grenada obo AOSIS	● Expresses disappointment that a decision on the first dialogue was not adopted, and said that this shows a lack of credibility. ○ Repeating dialogue is important to make sure the consistency and reliability of the dialogue ● Thank parties for contributions to second dialogue ● In this regard, they welcome work and there should be a section reflecting the perspectives in the report and the gaps that remain ● Current NDC is a critical opportunity to keep the 1.5 goal in reach. This must be included in a decision text. ○ Action consistent with this goal without interfering with any party's individual NDCs is important. ○ Ambitious NDCs to deliver implementation by enhancing MoI in finance, tech transfer, and state capacity building support. ○ Lack of finance for state ● Critical importance of continuing the process
India	● Endorse statement made by Saudi Arabia obo LMDCs ● Disagreement lies on the role of the GST dialogue, expressed clearly that the dialogue need to remain what it meant to be, which is a dialogue ● This annual dialogue must remain as a dialogue ○ Work programs, workshops, and dialogues cannot be the same in modalities, scope and outcomes ● There is no scope for collective reflection on NDC ○ Welcome for parties to showcase their achievement in NDCs and take note of it ○ Attempt of some parties to conduct GST-like activities despite this not being included in the scope ● At the very least, this dialogue must terminate before the start of the next GST ○ The next GST will begin at the next CMA, so it is important to end the dialogue at this current meeting of the CMA ● Given the meaning of NDCs, there is no room
China	● Second Saudi Arabia ● Nature of annual dialogue is exchanging expertise and sharing experiences ● This is not a platform for negotiations on what should be included in NDCs ● Picking and choosing elements of the report to include in a decision goes beyond the mandate of the dialogue ● Parties have already formulated their NDC, the annual dialogue has already fulfilled its purpose. ○ Note that some parties have still not submitted their NDC ○ Helpful if they list the reason why they didn't submit the NDC and the challenges they have met ■ Finance, technology, and capacity building for developing countries ● To prepare 2035 NDCs, they lack capacity building resources which is why NDCs are conditional ○ This problem must be addressed the reason why many countries have still submitted their NDC and the the difficulties that have faced

Arab Group	● Align with statement by Saudi Arabia obo LMDCs ● Must remain a factual text without prescriptive messages or conclusions ○ No political interpretation ● Should be transparent record of dialogues under the process ○ Avoid integrating previous negotiated decisions ○ It is important this document remain accurate to its mandate and would risk the procedural nature of this document ○ And would reopen past negotiations ● Parties have shared and identified good practices and have already reflected these practices in their NDCs ● The Dialogue has already fulfilled its purpose since countries have already submitted their NDC for this round ● Outcome decision should welcome the text, recognize that the mandate has been completed, and then conclude the item ○ The outcome of it should be should be a simple, textual, procedural
Nepal obo LDCs	● NDCs prepared by LDCs reflect ambition and GST outcomes despite these countries being the least developed ● Not only about currently end-date NDCs ● Disappointed on the outcome reached in the last session ● See the dialogue as continuing over the years without duplicating the work before ● With the NDC synthesis and NAP summary reports, encourage other parties to look at what conclusions were reached ○ Include mitigation and adaptation, look at broader scale forward ● How outcome of GST has informed the next GST should be captured ● Agree with AOSIS on importance of 1.5°, and want to work on including this language ● Agree with countries invoking capacity building and MoI as important messages, and thinks it is possible to include these with language ● Wants to also reference and take note of other related reports to NDCs
Vanuatu obo AOSIS?	● Importance of recognition of 1.5 goal, plus enhanced mitigation action ○ Based on current trajectory, we are headed towards 2.6°C ● Current NDC cycle is a critical opportunity to keep the 1.5 goal within reach ○ This must be explicitly reflected in the discussion text ● Enhanced MoI is necessary to implement NDCs ○ Ambition exceeds capacity ● Highlight the urgent need for the need of implementation ○ Finance for capacity building ○ Sustained capacity building support ○ Renewable energy transfer support

Russian Federation	• First and second dialogues were good experiences but • For the sake of effectiveness, this should be a short decisions ◦ No specific paragraphs or decisions ◦ Unless, parties will just continue creating more mini-GSTs and parties should avoid creating more about any of them
Colombia obo AILAC	• No definition on what constitutes a dialogue • Reflect on other parties in the room • Within the room or CMA space, there is no established definition on what a dialogue is and what outcomes it can produce • There may not be full interpretation on what the mandate means. Given that there is no consensus on what a dialogue means or on what the mandate asks for, parties should continue in the dialogue until parties can decide what they would like to see • All parties take this dialogue as a chance to learn from each other on IoM and having more ambitious goals
Iran	• GST is a party-driven process and the dialogue was an information-driven process ◦ It's not a negotiation platform • The mandate is for information-driven reports. Decision text is not a negotiation platform. • Any effort to go beyond info sharing platform risks undermining the process • Dialogues have achieved their goal in measuring progress since the first global stocktake
Bangladesh	• Sectoral highlights on GST decision should highlight cost-effective measures in the waste sector and informal sector • Use the GST to move from assessment and accelerate effective action • This dialogue can create good NDCs that make progress towards these goals
Norway	• Thanks parties for contribution and presidency for taking charge of the process
India	• Everyone is interested in rational use of time and resources. • Lack of a clear mandate is not an excuse for ballooning the work beyond what has been done so far. Ambiguity right now also requires a consideration of all the possible options going forward. • The question of concluding the dialogue is very much on the agenda. This must be taken note of as parties continue discussing.
EIG	• UNFCCC should do more on promoting collaboration of NDCs ◦ This is the only space to discuss a critical tool of the Paris Agreement. There are already NAPs on adaptation plans or Article 9 discussions on finance. • Preserve this space, want to see an annual continuation of the dialogue and help to find opportunities of the dialogue • Continue the dialogue, but want to see discussions on how it could be improved

	to be more useful for all parties • Collective response to NDCs is the goal at hand and look forward to collective outcome.
Fiji	• Fiji aligns with AOSIS statement but adds a few points in their national capacity • Practical pathways to advance collective work ◦ Establish a clear, full on dialogue ◦ Value in maintaining the process to reflect parties' evolving needs to reflect MoI gaps and NDC updates • Participating in technical dialogue remains challenging for many developing countries and small island nations
CoF Kaarle	• This completes the list of speakers. Asks if observers would like to take the floor on this issue. • Given that none have spoken up, CoFs will begin considering next steps for the CMA to take. • After a brief pause, proposes that parties begin working on draft text, then asks if parties agree on the approach.
Ghaan	• Asks for clarification on what form the text will take.
CoF Kaarle	• After consultation with CoF Noura, shares the proposal that parties begin working on a draft text in decision form for the next meeting
Saudi Arabia	• Unclear on scope of the draft text • Mandate of this agenda item is procedural, but not sure why the draft text would be in decision form when the dialogue will be ending as it has concluded. • Should welcome the dialogue, note the dialogue, and terminate it ◦ It's purely for for informing NDC and has already done its job
CoF Kaarle	• Thank you for your intervention. Discusses proposal for draft text with Noura • Suggest refining their language and making an informal note from this discussion since there are different voices from parties • Asks parties if this is agreeable for all parties
India	• Reflects on interventions around the room, it does not seem like parties have changed in any way from where the dialogue was left at CMA6 ◦ There aren't any new substances from the meeting • It is premature to produce even an informal note. This will inevitably recreate future conflict as a party might think it does not reflect their view accurately. • Urge to have another round of discussions and consideration on the matter before moving to the stage of drafting a text (informal note that the co-filitators have proposed)
Canada	• Support the approach that the co-facilitator just recommended • Acknowledge points raised by India, but thinks that an informal note could accurately compile all views, including divergence, with no status would be helpful to be able to drive conversation forward

EIG	• There is a standard way of operating in a scenario like this. An informal note can reflect the divergence and sensitivities present in the discussion here. • Willing to engage more with parties who have different opinions • However, an informal note and elements that can capture the substance will be important for the group.
EU	• Important for us to move ahead. Open to continuing exchange but prefer to move forward and work on the mandate. Try to come out with decisions at the end. ◦ Need to have something in our hand first • Support CoFs on their first proposal, the production of a draft text resolution because that is what is required by the mandate.
UK	• This is returning us to where we have left in Baku • Another round of discussion won't substantially change the outcomes • Delaying the production of a note with the limited time left will not accomplish much
China	• Support India and Saudi Arabia on the issue • Want another round session to understand each other better
Columbia	• Informal note can reflect both areas of convergence and divergence. This is the norm for a situation like this in the process. • Consensus is the only way to terminate the dialogue, and this is not what is present in the room.
Ghana	• Ask to clarify how many more hours we have to discuss this issue
CoF Kaarle	• Only one slot can be promised for Friday to lead to a more substantive conclusion
Grenada	• Supports informal note proposal • Prefers original draft decision proposal as key points have already been expressed. Further discussion is not needed. • An informal note that includes all party positions is in the spirit of cooperation.
Fiji	• Expressing concerns that we are in the same spot as before. Although understand there is a process, support to have a draft text now • Worry if this will lead to any outcomes • Support informal note because of the lack of time, encourage parties to engage more constructively and move forward this matter
Malawi obo LDCs	• Support the informal note, want to move forward • Preference of LDC is to have a draft decision text, but happy to go forward with an informal note as a compromise • Very important to leave this agenda item with an action

Iran	● Agree with Indian colleagues on the importance of further discussion, particularly on mandate, more consultation is needed ● Informal note could complicate work further with the divergence of views
CoF Kaarle	● Informal is under the mandate of co-facilitator ● Will try to work toward an informal note, it's up to the parties to start with the informal note or not
Saudi Arabia	● Remind all parties that LMDCs believe the only procedurally valid outcome of this negotiation is a short, procedural decision text concluding the dialogue ● Reiterate that it would not accept cherry-picking and going beyond the mandate and nature of the dialogue
CoF Kaarle	● Next meeting on this agenda item 4-5pm in Meeting room 19 ● There will be further slots to continue this issue during Week 2 ● Please approach each other and approach CoFs to continue work on this meeting ● Adjourns meeting