

GGA – UAE Belem Work Programme on adaptation indicators and BAR (Baku Adaptation Roadmap)

Axel Michaelowa, michaelowa@perspectives.cc

Contact group

Agenda Item	11a
Date	20.06.2025
Time	19:00 – 21:00
Chair(s)	Tina Kobilšek (Slovenia) and Zita Wilks (Gabon)
Relevant text (if any)	<i>Matters relating to the global goal on adaptation</i> DT.DC.SBI62.11a_SBSTA62.5a.1, https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/GGA_dt_sb62.pdf?download
Dynamic	<i>Constructive mood but no movement on principal conflicts, very nitty gritty mode. Some tension developed later in the session when G77 felt the need for a huddle and requested an inf inf covering the topics that had already been discussed for two hours</i>
Key outcomes of session/Progress achieved during session	• No real movement on any relevant conflicts • Text was accepted as basis of negotiation, so no major conflict yet

Introduction

Cofacilitator

- Text was published last night
- Approach: take all views in balanced and inclusive manner. Options for clear oppositions, brackets for nuances
- Focus less on logistics, more on substance

Interventions

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
Sri Lanka obo G 77		• Accepts draft text as basis of negotiations • Section by section neg • Limit now to para 1-19

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
Marshall Island obo AOSIS		• Support G77 • Para 4: Expert WS in late August, refining instead of streamlining • Para 5: support Option 1 • 2nd para 6: instruct experts that indicators solely referring to climate hazards are removed, remove Option2 • 6f updated – amended text will be provided • Instructs experts to consolidate MOI indicators under the policy cycle indicators • Expect headline MOI indicators
Saudi Arabia obo Arab Group		• Asks for confirmation of mode of work (accepted by the co-chairs)
Uruguay obo Grupo Sur		• Support G77 • Move current para 9 as new para 6 • Option of expert work until Oct. to be included • Reference to 100 indicators, max 9-10 headline and menu list • Separate existing from additional guidance, emphasizing the latter • Include: MOI indicators and cross-cutting consideration, the latter should not be separated from guidance • Para 13: pick and choose is unclear, African descendants and small farmers need to be added • Timeline: ○ Mandate experts to resume immediately ○ Mid August in person expert WS ○ End of August updated list published ○ Mid September mandated WS ○ 4 weeks prior to COP lists published
EU		• Support G77 • Alternatives should be included as specific options • Not micromanage experts • Para 4: timeline that works for experts, July unrealistic • Support para 5, option 1, see consensus here • Para 6-9: 6-7 fine. Remove 2nd para 6, replace “ensuring” by “consider, as appropriate”. Remove para 9. • Para 10-13: very supportive of cross-cutting considerations, eliminate overlaps

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
		Para 14-19: support Option 1, “final list of potential indicators”. Report 4 weeks prior to mandated WS. Delete para 16. Deletion of options 2 and 3
Botswana obo AGN		- Support text as basis - Support G77 - Para 5: include MOI indicators, propose merge Options 1 and 2, headline and sub-indicators, not more than 20 headline indicators - Clarify existing criteria, avoid repetition and conflicting ideas - Remove para 17 as contradicts para 8 - Para 7,4 replace by “outcome and impact” 2nd para 6: a) replace actionable by measurable, d) ODA removed, e) support Option1, f): support Option 1, further language will be proposed, g) develop, as needed, quantified indicators - Para 17a, in person meeting for experts and parties mid-August, report 1st week September
Sudan obo LDCs		- Support G77 - Para 4: 2nd week September - Para 5: oppose Option 2, number of indicators to determined by experts - Option 1b, “various contexts” - Para 7 a, ii “adaptation and resilience” - Para 2nd 6: Refine, e) Option 1, i.) Option 1, stronger guidance as per AOSIS - Para 14: Option 1 - Para 15: no later than 4 weeks, October - Para 17: oppose Option 2 - Para 18: accept, but no technical review by Sec. - Oppose further submissions
Mexico obo EIG		- Para 3: ref para 24 dec. 3/CMA.6 - Para 5 : Option 1 - Para 7b : other processes indicators - Not delete any option to leave freedom to experts - Include all financial flows - Para f) ref para 21e of dec. 3/CMA.6 - Para 17: Mid-September at the latest
Australia		- Para 4: expert work into Sept, expert WS - Para 5: not support addition Disaggregation not to be counted in 100 indicators - Data availability should not rule out indicators - Para 6: ruling out indicator types not be part of technical process

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
		• Para 10-14: supported • Para 15: October WS • Para 18: self-organisation by experts preferred • Para 19a: two distinct phases – technical, political, latter starts at mandated WS
Panama obo AILAC		• Support G77 • Para 4: end of August, hybrid WS in early August coherent approach of groups. Mandated WS September-mid October • Para 5: Option 2 • 2nd 6: Support a,d, e Option1, f, Option 1 • Option 1 Para 14: Option b) • Para 15: 2 weeks, WS late September/early October • Oppose submissions
UK		• Expert mandate until September • Para 5: no number fixed, support AOSIS • No long list of additional criteria, delete 2nd para 6 and para 9 • Add on para 7, v, add including a qualitative narrative, vi, modify indicators from existing frameworks, vii all funding sources, including national budgets • Timelines: need clear and succinct approach, Option 1, no updated list after mandated WS. List from experts directly to Parties, oppose extra workshop and submissions
Turkey		• Para 4: 2nd week September • Para 5: Option 1 • Para 7a, ii: developing new indicators, oppose indicators from other frameworks, relevance to adaptation to climate change • Delete para 8, no new datasets • Timeline Option1, 14 b, para 15 option 1 ppara 16: technical report • Para 19, option b
Japan		• Para 4: September • Para 5: option1 • 2nd para 6: d)-f) avoided in guidance to experts • Oppose all paras 16-17. Instead: One mandated WS is sufficient. Peer review of each expert group is required. Mandates WS hybrid early September. Final list 4 weeks prior to COP

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
China obo LMDC		• Supports G77 • Para 5: Include MOI in 100 indicators, Option 1b: variety of contexts, delete option 2. • Para 6: ref para 24 dec. 3/CMA.6 • Para 7 : add needs and gaps of developing countries, delete “including data from international database”. Data should come from countries only • 2nd para 6: delete L&D f) option 1, technology transfer and capacity building need to be mentioned • Oppose specification of vulnerable groups • Para 14: Option 2, end of July, report 2 weeks before WS
Canada		• Para 4: September • Para 5: no MOI indicators • Remove reference to no more 100 • Para 5: Option 1 • Support LDC re para 23 dec 3/CMA.6 • Not elevate certain existing criteria above others • Not apply prescriptive criteria for experts • Not prescribe MOI indicators • Combine para 10 and 11 • Delete para 13 • Para 14: Option 1, WS not before and August. Oppose additional WS
New Zealand		• Not more than 100 headline indicators, not limit number of sub-indicators • Enablers like domestic / national budgets need to be included • Lack of data shall not disqualify indicators • Workshop as late as possible, hybrid. Additional WS should be virtual
Saudi Arabia obo Arab Group		• Refer para 33 dec. 6/CMA.5 – effective implementation of MOI • Expert work over max early August • Support LMDC regarding national context • Align disaggregation • Para 4: Option 1 • Indicators not showing adaptation response need to be deleted • Indicators from other platforms must be reevaluated • Reflect different warming scenarios • Delete L&D and disaster risk indicators • Mitigation indicators to be excluded

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
		• A i., Align with Art. 7.1, delete data from other international databases, support AGN re “measurable”, f) Option 1, g): delete or clarify • Crosscutting to be streamlined • Timeline: Option2 amended regarding role of Sec. – alignment with criteria outlined, identification and resolution of redundancies and overlaps, alignment with Art 7.1 • Submissions by mid-October, Secretariat synthesis report
Kyrgyzstan obo Group of Mountain Partnership		• Clear guidance to experts • Relevance of indicators to adaptation • Mention mountains and inland waters • Outcome and new impact oriented indicators regardless of data availability
Marshall Islands obo AOSIS		• Concentrate MOI indicators unde target 10c « implementation », headline indicators volume/ needs / gaps/quality/access • Menus of sub-indicators • Para 7 recall relevant decisions • Para 8: add “noting that developing countries would require capacity building for any new indicators” • Para 9: add reference to 1.5° target • Narratives are important • Cross-cutting considerations are important, visibility for vulnerable groups, ACE language • Incorporate para 12 and 13 in para 6 • Additional in person expert WS, support AILAC re timeline • Standardised format for presentation of indicators • Report 3 weeks prior to mandated WS • 1-2 day party only engagement during mandated WS • No submissions nor editorial role for Sec.
India		• Support G77 and LMDC • Add para 11 dec. CMA.5 country driven nature, no comparison • Para 5: “well below” 100, option 1, small number of sub-indicators, support LMDC, allow for disaggregation, delete option 2 • 7 a iii) delete data from international databases, add : aggregated from national datasets • e) delete domestic budgeting, i) delete option 2 • insert local communities with IPs

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
Norway		• Para 4: Support September • Para 5: Option 1 • Flexibility: remove para 7 and 2nd para 6 • Support cross-cutting • Para 15: WS as late as possible
Morocco		• Support G77 and AGN • Para 2nd 6 c) comparing climate impacts at two points in time, keep the, support LDCs • Support cross-referencing of poverty eradication and livelihoods

Co-facilitators want to continue, G77 calls for huddle, break of 5 minutes

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
Sri Lanka obo G 77		• f) Option 1: Respond to Art. 9..., evolving needs of dev. Countries ensuring that support is provided... in closing the adaptation gap and achieving the GGA, oppose Options 2 • Propose inf inf tomorrow with roundtable setup on para 1-19
EU		• Continue text as done previously
Australia		• Wants updated text as input for inf inf

Next official slot on Monday