

Modalities of the United Arab Emirates dialogue on implementing the global stocktake outcomes, referred to in paragraph 97 of decision 1/CMA.5 - Informal consultation:

SBI 5 (b)

Co-chairs:

15 November 2024 | 10:00 - 11:00 | Meeting Room 26 | COP29

Notes by: Theodore Keeping - Grantham Institute, Imperial College London

10:00 - Co-Facilitator - acknowledges change in time but urges seats be taken and requests that attendees contact other absent parties to attend ASAP.

10:05 - Co-Facilitator - We will begin in 2 minutes.

10:09 - Co-Facilitator - One more minute then we will start.

10:11 - Co-Facilitator - Starts the meeting:

- Welcome to the 4th informal consultation. On basis of article 9.7 of decision CMA5
- We have prepared further streamlined text on the basis of the note. Was published last night and hope you have had time to review.
- On process: this is the last session. There is no more time. Hard stop at 11. Then GST refinements.
- We want to hear on:
 - Forward informal note to next week, following close of SPI
 - Confirm if this approach is OK.
 - If you do have final, concrete and specific suggestions for streamlining, we welcome those.

10:13 - Norway:

- Thanks for the informal note.
- Assuming that this will be taken forward we would like to comment next week.
- On streamlining, we would like to highlight that there is also potential for working on the text. Our proposal for lean structure accounting for all aspects including finance is not reflected. Closest is option 2, but this is insufficiently broad.
- There is not a sufficient bridge to GST.
- To develop this dialogue as a platform for engagement

10:16 - Co-Facilitator:

- In addition to streamlining, we acknowledge this note does not reflect the substantive views you have shared. This should be addressed this week.
- Floor is open, please speak as briefly as possible.

10:17 - EU:

- Thank you for the new version of the text, a good basis for next week.
- Opening: Option 1 not acceptable. Option 2 reflects our views well.
- Ready to further engage with parties to find a way forward.
- High-level component: prefer option 1 and would like to see high-level dialogue.
- Timing: frequency is option 3 in conjunction with June
- Conclusion: option 2 as decided in CMA5
- Length: need to see agenda item in CMA sessions
- Inputs: first list is not acceptable.
- Outputs: summary report, 1 and 2 are not acceptable and favour option 3.
- Synthesis report: support option 2.
- Recommendation on proceeding: we don't think "recommendations" are

appropriate in report, should be options. - Decisions: we support option 1. - We would like this to be a major outcome of this COP
10:21 - Russian Federation: - Concerned that views are not properly reflected. - Issue with GST paragraphs, support paragraphs 28 and 154.
10:23 - Canada: - Thanks to the co-facilitators - This is a good basis for discussion, we add our voice to those saying there is a way forward. - We would like to retain the ability to streamline and add elements where necessary and where our views are not captured in the options. - We reserve the right to come back on options, but will only mention two minor elements now: - Governance: the last part of the draft says “with a view to maintaining gender balance”, it should say “striving for gender balance” - Format: we support list of non-party stakeholders, would refer to “persons with disabilities” in line with the convention of the same name
10:25 - Ghana on behalf of AGN: - Thanks to the co-facilitators. - We would encourage another meeting tomorrow. - We won't comment much on text, but will comment on streamlining as you know our preferred options. - You took our option off the table, there are similarities with option 1, but we want to think more carefully about the implications of this, and we would like our option to remain. We will assist through discussion with LMDCs to resolve that. - On scope: some follow-up discussions have been proposed, could the secretariat map out how that could happen. - On format: reluctance to create a new GST, there are elements pushing us close to that. There are good formats that have been used in other work programmes, we encourage this section to be dealt with a light touch. - On high-level events: we would rather not create a new high-level dialogue on finance, as there is already a high-level dialogue on finance. Could one of the questions on that be dedicated to finance. - We hope there will be a session tomorrow.
10:29 - Co-Facilitators: - In the parallel process, we appreciate all your efforts in that respect.
10:29 - South Africa: - Thanks for the paper. - Surgical insertions on inputs: - Under “possible information and sources” we would like to add two further synthesis reports on loss and damage and adaptation. - Under further information, we observer organisations to non-party stakeholders. We would also like to add the adaptation synthesis report. On 7th point we would like institution arrangements to stand alone.
10:32 - Japan: - We support the approach of second week discussion. - Scope: option 2.

- Guided questions: there is no need for specific GQs this years. There should be a process for the development of GQs, so propose option 3.
- High-level components - do not see necessity. We choose option 2.
- Frequency and conclusion: both option 3.
- Outputs - option 3
- Authors - option 3.
- Timing - option 2.

10:34 - Co-Facilitator:

- Rather than surgical insertions here, please can you submit by 3pm today. We are also seeking an additional 30 minute slot for tomorrow

10:35 - US:

- Thanks for work thus far.
- This is taking us in the right direction.
- We supportive of this being afforded to the CMA. We would need draft conclusions on this, and request this is put on screen by end of final session,.
- There is need for change, we support light touch so there is need to streamline.
- Format: "balanced representation" is not the right word. "Meaningful" could be better?
- Other aspects: point on developing a work plan, would agenda be better?
- Under conclusion: option 1 is the decision take, but option 3 to overlap CMA10 with GST, clarify the intent here.
- Agenda: prefer option 1.
- INputs: precludes the decision we have, so that should preclude discussion on format.

10:37 - Chile on behalf of AILAC:

- Thanks for work thus far, this is a good step forward.
- Regarding the element related to scope, we believe that option 3 reflects the main elements of our position.
- Governance: two co-facilitators from both developing and developed countries maintaining gender balance.
- "Most impacted group and populations" - what does this actually mean? Need more guidance on this.
- Guiding questions: we are very flexible on this.
- High-level: we are flexible on this.
- Frequency: in favour of one dialogue each year, in conjunction with COP.
- Agenda: favour option 1.
- Length of dialogues: favour option 3.
- Outputs: favour option 2. Believe we have to work on the language to make more precise. Has to be linked to scope of the dialogue.
-

10:42 - Maldives on behalf of AOSIS:

- Thanks for hard work, and for the informal note. This is a good step forward.
- On preamble: include recalling of article 14 of CMA, and decision 19 CMA1.
- Scope: option 3, but have textual proposals on this option.
- Governance: support option 2
- Format: arrangement of bullets could be improved.
- Agenda: Best to finalise scope before timeline set.
- Guiding questions: favour option 1.
- High-level events: support option 1. Can be crucial in identifying challenges.
- Timing: prefer option 2, but would like to come back to this after scope.

- Conclusion: prefer option 2 per the mandate. Highlight need for events to avoid overlap with GST2
- Length: prefer option 1, however this also depends on the scope.
- Agenda: prefer option 1.
- Input and output: as these are closely tied to scope, we reserve right to revisit.

10:46 - Norway:

- We have ideas for streamlining further.
- We would like to comment on the input and output sections.
- Input: would like to see everything streamlined in the long list, for our part suggest that there will be an overview report prepared by secretariat on how outcomes have been followed up in line with the mandate of A4.9 A14.3 and CMA1 and CMA5, paragraph 186. We would like the breadth of work to be captured in the outcomes. There should be an overview report reflecting this. On input there should be a rotation of parties and observers to give inputs.
- E.g. we do not support a synthesis report of the technical dialogue of the GST - there is no need to mention things that already exist as inputs. We will bring this in as a given, if we have a non-exhaustive list we will cast a wider net.
- Given GST2 will start in 2026, and will be equally robust. We should focus our energy on that, so we suggest that this process is a lean process bridging GST1 and GST2, we would therefore like to see a summary report, summarising the dialogue thus far as an input to GST2.

10:51 - Co-chair:

- List of speakers is growing despite limited time.

10:51 - Nepal on behalf of LDCs:

- Many of us seem to be converging on a way forward. It will take time if we keep stating our preferences of option, so we will limit our intervention. Most work now is on fine-tuning options.
- Let us streamline further so we have confidence to move ahead.
- In some places options could be reduced and we would like your judgement on that.
- Scope: we prefer option 3, but 2 and 1 have some good points.
- Governance: looks good.
- Format: we have questions on last bullet, would like clarification.
- High-level component: we are flexible.
- Work plan based on submission: this will lengthen the process.
- Timing: synthesis reports (e.g, NDC and 100 billion) these may not be valid inputs.
- Agenda item: we have a divergence on the output, but whatever we do it must translate through the CMA.

10:56: Co-Facilitator calls for concise offers.

10:56 - Brazil:

- Thank you for this new version.
- We saw mergers of option 3 and 4 in the scope. The second bullet of option 4 was preserved, but we want option 4 back again as an option and we can narrow in the future.

10:58 - Saudi Arabia on behalf of LMDCs.

- Appreciate your hard work
- We do have additional comments on the text, and think we are going a bit fast on collapsing elements and removing options.

- We would like to maintain optionality on modalities.
- In preamble: would like to add recollection of A4.5 of CMA; and to recall A7.6 of CMA. From GST recall decision 1CMA% p94, and added text on recognising outcomes of GST will be implemented through NDCs. This must be on the table for us to move forward. P86 of CMA decision of GST should also be reflected.
- Option 1: appreciate edits made based on our intervention. Would like to add financial support to developing countries explicitly.
- We want the dialogue to provide space to provide updates and announcements on their provision or need for finance.
- We have no convergence on option 2, and reject its premise.
- Governance: with a view to maintaining gender balance, we second Canada.
- "Active participation from the most impacted groups" - we are unsure of this being added.
- Timeline and frequency flow from cope.
- We are not supportive of a GST agenda item annually until the next GST concludes.
- We expect elements under information and sources, but lots of them are not relevant to this dialogue.
- Output: we support option 1, and we do see repetition. There is no need to specify summary report of second GST as duplicative.
- Bullets are not matters of consensus - so options of no text should be included.
- We expect another session tomorrow?

11:06 - Co-Facilitators:

- We apologise if pace has caused motion sickness.
- We have requested the 30 minute slot, but it has not yet been approved.
- We ask for brisk interventions

11:07 - India:

- We thank the chair and will be brief.
- We align ourselves with Saudi Arabia on behalf of LDMCs
- Process and modalities will depend on scope, so we will focus on this.
- Title for the document is open to revision, it does not accurately reflect the scope.
- We await convergence on scope.
- We are clear that option 1 is best, on this we are insistent.
- Decision 19 of CMA1 is not out of context here.
- There is no need to recall statement on GST2 in the output, this is covered by other discussions.
- We join Saudi Arabia on there being no reason to collapse optionalities, though we are flexible on this.
- Option 1 is best, option 3 is particularly difficult for us to accept.
- We are reaching a stage of iteration of text, we have no space to negotiate between parties. Optionalities could have been converted to brackets by now. We require a bracketed text to negotiate. This is no reflection on the personalities involved, we emphasise process as we would like to negotiate.
- This is not a sufficiently party driven process as things stand.

11:11 - Egypt:

- Second AGN and LDMCs.
- We are very clear on scope, we align with AGN.
- Governance: this should not be a problem, developed/developing and gender language looks good.
- High-level: option 2
- Timing: option 3

- Format: we should say, with “active member participation”
- Outputs: we are overcrowding this with options, we should simply have a summary report.

11:14 - Switzerland on behalf of EIG:

- We support your proposal to take forward to next week.
- We will not mention our preference but will speak to balance;
- Framing: GST should be taken on in its entirety - we agree it should be taken on the NDCs but do not want to narrow it at this stage.
- Scope: option 1 does not fit within the general mandate here. If option is kept in the text it should support the GST, though we support option 2.
- We regret there has not been time to engage on option 4, we are not sure how engages with GST - we are happy to bilaterally engage with Brazil on this.
- All modalities should exist in conjunction with scope.
- Inputs should be presented as options, so far only exists for option
- It should be tracked with other matters in addition to NCQG.

11:18 - Co-Facilitator:

- Please use the time in the corridors to facilitate this being driven forward faster.

11:18 - China:

- Thanks for your hard work, we would like this party driven process to reflect the interventions of all parties. All views should be reflected in the text. We have time to discuss and we can move collectively forward.
- Scope: option 1, we align with Saudi Arabia
- Statement: should be from developed to developing countries.
- Guiding questions should flow from scope.
- High-level component: we prefer not to have high-level events additionally
- Inputs: see value of information related to finance being included. We need to facilitate delivery of NCQG targets. This list should be streamlined to one sentence.
- For bullets on information sources, there is no need for follow-up of GST in these other bodies. There is no specific report to collect on this.
- Output: option 1, synthesis and summary reports on GST2 are duplicative, they should be put into GST2.
- Decisions: we view the dialogue as a platform for exchange of views and to track delivery of NCQG. There is no need for a specific decision on what those views were.

11:24 - Pakistan:

- Thanks to the chairs.
- Re: paragraphs 97-99,
- We are fine with option 1, but need to have explicit refers of role of mitigation as part of NCQG
- Request that language on adaptation financing be included, per A9 of CMA.
- Scope: option 1.
- High-level dialogue is under GCMA

11:26 - US:

- Thanks for letting us come back in.
- I only added surgical edits, I hope you reflect that others did not do that and that this difference is treated evenly.
- We would like to see draft conclusions on the screen next meeting, I did not get an answer. We request the CMA carries this forward.

11:27 - Co-Facilitators:

- Please indulge one additional input from observers.

11:28 - ENGO:

- On behalf of CAN International and W&G
- No options have convinced us, finance and GST are
- There is urgent need to move forward with adequate financial means.
- We stand with the most vulnerable.
- 1. Financing and implementing a just transition, we should get a comprehensive overview of how to scale up just transition. The transition must be full, fair and feminist. There must be efforts to scale up renewable energy and efficiency.
- 2. Access to finance for the people and respect to ecosystems. There must be strong focus on human rights and gender. Should be discussion on climate finance and gender and indigenous peoples.
- 3. Financing for address climate change impacts. We propose presentations on gaps and achievements by experts in a balanced manner. Focussed on finance and adaptation.
- 4. Monetary means of implementation is key. UAE dialogue only has credibility if it properly monitors gaps and achievements.
- We wish to contribute to a clearer sense of moving forward.

11:31 - UNRA:

- UAE dialogue originated from developing countries wanting accountability on finance delivery.
- Looking forward to NCQG, it is essential there are accountability mechanisms.
- We want to see a fair phase out of fossil fuels, but there is not trust to move this forward.
- From the GST, we need rich countries producing fossil fuels to show leadership by reducing their fossil fuel production. We should build trust by leading by example.

11:34 - Co-Facilitators:

- Meeting adjourned.