

## UNFCCC Meeting Report Article 6.2 (Negotiating Session)

Prepared by: Arushi Ranasaria, Will Clarney, Dhyuti Venkataraman

Meeting: COP29, Baku Azerbaijan

November 12, 2024

| Time                                                                                                     | Session/Agenda/Event Title                                                                                                                                  | Location                                                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| 17:00h - 18:00h AZT/UTC+4<br>Actual (17:40 start)<br>19:00h -21:00h<br>AZT/UTC+4<br>Actual (19:25 start) | Guidance on cooperative approaches referred to in Article 6, paragraph 2, of the Paris Agreement and decision 2/CMA.3 - Informal consultation: SBSTA 13 (a) | Meeting Room 25, Area D<br><br>Overflow Notes: MR 01, C |

Clean: Will clusters 1 & 3, Arushi Clusters 2 & 4

Relevant links or Attachments:

[https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/Art6.2\\_SBSTA60\\_0.pdf](https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/Art6.2_SBSTA60_0.pdf)

## Notes:

| Speaker                                 | Notes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Co-fac<br>(Peer Stiansen, Norway)       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Welcome. Let's aim to finish this week.</li> <li>Before beginning, reminder of the UNFCCC code of conduct.</li> <li>Sec is providing virtual platform however joining discussions through the platform is limited. The meeting is open to observers and we hope to continue this tradition. Continued as no objections.</li> <li>Recording disclaimer.</li> <li>Let's take a moment to reflect. SB60 provided draft text and agreed to continue consideration for us to review and refer to CMA this week following the intersessional workshop.</li> <li>Crunch issues include AEF, Auth, and two more [missed those; potentially registries]</li> <li>June sessions: text was reflective of everyone's options; few months since → to incorporate latest, hear views in this room</li> <li>Suggest taking the current text in clusters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>scope and definition of cooperative approach and authorization (sec 1-2)</li> <li>application of first transfer, AEF, and tables for submitting annual information (sec 3-5)</li> <li>sequencing and timing: the process of identifying and notifying inconsistencies (sec 6-9)</li> <li>addition functionality for international registry and work programme (sec 10-12)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Please do not repeat June session positions, but share changes in positions or new alternative options we can take together.</li> <li>Must turn text by tomorrow morning. If we do not have progress, we must reconsider the way forward.</li> </ul> |
| Co-fac<br>(Maria Al-Jish, Saudi Arabia) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Welcome. Mindful of time: we only have 12 minutes left, officially. <b>We have another 2 hours tonight to get through this text</b></li> <li>First cluster is Auth, sections 1 and 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If there are no comments, move on</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| <b>Cluster 1: Section 1-2</b>           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| EU                                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We've made progress but still have a lot of heavy lifting. We have to address questions of sequencing and auth process. We would like to see clarification of text first of all. We also believe that there is a need for ... [didn't catch this]</li> <li>Haven't spoken on the scope and def in a while and feel we could have a simplified definition.</li> <li>Need to find a formula that gets rid of "holy trinity issue"</li> <li>Section B and C: need to get rid of redundancies and further rationalize</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |

|       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the spirit of more upfront transparency regarding sequencing problems, offering solutions: list of elements of how deception in initial reports for EI is outlined (like to see some elements in the original report and in the authorization) → flexible about the placement of information</li> <li>• Figure out accounting issues: first transfer upfront, where it is defined, would help in the changes section</li> <li>• Glasgow: some quantification should be clarified that this reporting is actually about implementation, not just changes</li> <li>• Section d (changes and revocation): don't think there should be a change or revocation after first transfer – could be permitted if needed, it is agreed, and there is a report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Importantly, arrangements to prevent double-counting</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                      |
| Japan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus on B and C</li> <li>• Overarching question: how to enhance the question to ensure EI for the implementation of 6.2</li> <li>• Broader support from many parties and groups to standardize mandatory elements in initial reports to facilitate transparency <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Better to have a clearer picture of what should be reported and reviewed</li> </ul> </li> <li>• For format for templates: think there is broader support for option (2?) for paragraph 11 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Procedural exercise should be a mandate for each party</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| AGN   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flexible in definitions, but clearly see the two cases, one under ITMOs for NDC and for non-ITMO purposes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Need to have a better definition of two cases</li> </ul> </li> <li>• When it comes to authorization, we may have separate processes but there are three types of authorization: cooperative approaches, entities, ITMOs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ May have different ones, and may combine, but we need to define the timing of each of them, at the latest when they need to be authorized</li> </ul> </li> <li>• On the formatting: the preference is to standardize, but if people prefer their own format, the content needs to be clearly defined so that each has the same content regardless of format</li> <li>• Change: agree that we may not have changed after the first transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Only requirement</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| AOSIS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Templates are needed, but simple ones</li> <li>• Core set of mandatory elements that are required to be reported, but parties can have their own form</li> <li>• Reporting isn't voluntary, only form</li> <li>• Folding in of all content into authorization → need a timing discussion</li> <li>• Open to hearing about the timing discussion from other parties</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| AILAC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Authorization from the host party but not from [?]</li> <li>• Done by mixing options 1 and 2</li> <li>• Need to add a sentence clarifying that the authorization is the prerogative of the transferring party</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |

|                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On the process and timing section: see that the content could be condensed by recognizing three kinds of process for authorizations, but also that there are steps that must be taken that may require mixing options <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ The change language that is currently written in the text</li> <li>◦ proposal made amongst AILAC that was passed around to other parties</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Authorizations: can be streamlined; general and really specific topics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Think the general can be taken out and treated like titles, and the titles can encompass the smaller elements from the SBSTA text</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Other elements that are too specific: shouldn't be in the authorization section, but should be in a clarification section of the report</li> <li>• Changes: can be a mixed approach, but mainly taking the second option from the text; changes should be recognized under cooperative approaches; couldn't be any kind after the first transfer which is why they think both options can be mixed</li> </ul> |
| Groupo Sur      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thankyou for allowing my mic to work and guidance</li> <li>• On scope of def, we think options are redundant, if we have text it should be parametric, happy to look at EU suggestion</li> <li>• Auth timing, looking at the problem of transparency[?] as raised by the EU could be done at the same time</li> <li>• Avoid replications, streamlined and will be happy to provide written</li> <li>• On format we support option 2 like japan</li> <li>• On change to auth we think that options 4 and 5a seem to be the most adequate, allows for market stability</li> <li>• Transparency: this is connected to the up front info required and reviewers material needed, fine with no text under this section and dealing with the issues in other sections.</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Co-fac<br>Maria | Taking speakers and wrapping for 45-minute break.<br>Reopening                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Canada          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mode of work is useful</li> <li>• Scope and definition, can hopefully move on from def by going over contents of auth</li> <li>• Section of auth, starting with process and timing, options 1 &amp; 2 are the same</li> <li>• Second option 1 (para 7) should be in section 6, already in that section, so removed</li> <li>• On contents of auth, per workshop productive convos, see substantial duplication both across the lists (para 8/9) and within the lists themselves IE sub para f and l are the same, v to ff is a copy of the initial report, EU mentioned similar, prefer streamline</li> <li>• We think complexity and length come from trying to do two things: identify essential elements parties expect to see, second is two include a list of things that could be included. Would like to see only the first both for interacting parties and transparency purposes. We think it might be easier to scrap and start over but see some use in para (h/8?)</li> <li>• Would like to see in list date/duration, def for first transfer, terms for</li> </ul>                                       |

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|     | <p>change, terms of auth in AEF and uses and entities, [?]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Open to supporting mandatory shall list requirements but not a mandatory form - won't work for nuance</li> <li>• Changes: options are well structured/organized, primarily favor option 3</li> <li>• Transparency: happy to see option 2 and its references to the carp and public [?]</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| USA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approaching negotiation with enthusiasm to work with other parties to deliver on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Text that clarifies those decisions and prevents introducing new confusion</li> <li>○ Paragraph 7 -&gt; more usefully set aside if parties don't feel strongly about keeping <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The definition is probably more useful in more cooperative framing</li> <li>■ Would describe Cooperation as each party's voluntary cooperation relating to mechanisms</li> <li>■ Mitigation outcomes are authorized by use by the first transferring party</li> <li>■ All of this is done consistently with guidance</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ In Dubai, parties were using definitions to establish new rules</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Authorizations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Guidance should provide enough information for it to be implemented by parties</li> <li>○ Private actors and some parties cannot act on some current authorizations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ If that list of elements/authorizations is not applicable to all forms of cooperative approach</li> <li>■ 8 (A,b,d,e,f,g) 9(a,l,k,m)</li> <li>■ 8h covers same elements as start of 9</li> <li>■ Need to be streamlined</li> <li>■ Allowing for that attachment on authorizations gives parties comfort that they are not allowed to submit policy changes for review</li> <li>■ The template can be helpful <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can request specific information</li> </ul> </li> <li>■ The issue of lack of clarity can be addressed through process</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Authorizations that are provided upfront allow parties to be as hands-on with the mechanism as possible at the level that is best for them <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Current processes right now are repetitive</li> </ul> </li> <li>• On changes and authorizations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ See value in paragraph 19, 5a + 5b (but not bracketed text)</li> <li>○ Paragraph 23, Option 2 + 3</li> <li>○ Use of ITMOs should not affect mitigation outcomes included in first transfer</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Suggest that they better clarify that the authorization party makes sure that the transaction doesn't lead to double-counting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Clarify how parties should reconcile transactions</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Russia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Like to remind that there is no formal mandate to discuss cooperative approach</li> <li>• Authorization: based on the discussion they have been having, there are two different approaches and a general understanding of willings about that; from practically, it is not possible to acknowledge both processes; not comfortable setting mandatory reset [?]</li> <li>• Some parties see authorization content as requirement → have a different review; like to hear the proponents of those who want mandatory requirements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Do they want reference about information going into the initial report? If this information can be clearly associated with the approach it is being authorized through</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Changes: preference is for option 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Uncomfortable with option 4 and 5; these aren't specific enough, opens a range of interpretation → issue with transparency of the mechanism</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Transparency: flexible of the specific format</li> </ul> |
| UK     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding changes to Authorizations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ One of the key issues for UK</li> <li>◦ Unable to accept Dubai text tables due to allowing revocation after first transfer or even after usage</li> </ul> </li> <li>• With no safeguards surrounding authorizations after issuances</li> <li>• Been working on own proposals</li> <li>• Cannot support text that undermines principle of no retroactivity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ ITMOs will not be transferred or canceled with no progress</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 21E of Annex of Glasgow Decision</li> <li>• On Context <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Hopefully about progress</li> <li>◦ Refine a shall list that works for all 6.2 cooperative approaches</li> <li>◦ Adds clarity on a standardized format</li> </ul> </li> <li>• See a minimum list of goals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Data authorization, first transfer process, use of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                  |
| LMDC   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scope and Def: not necessary, want to see no text, looking forward to understanding bridge proposal</li> <li>• Auth: section A: single or one consolidated process provided party has institutional arrangements, parties may choose <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Should follow national systems</li> <li>◦ Initial report provided no later than date of auth</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Auth Content: section B: most elements are repetitive or already existing in reporting systems, wish to see no additional guidance on content</li> <li>• Auth format: as long as parties are in line then they can choose, open to providing a voluntary format</li> <li>• Changes/Revocations: parties should be able to agree on how/when changes and revocation per their own agreements as long as double counting is avoided</li> <li>• Transparency: all info will be public through the CARP so we don't get it</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                             |
|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

|             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Indonesia   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scope and definition: option 2 because it is more defined</li> <li>• Authorization: choosing the option where authorization for ITMOs may have a different timeline</li> <li>• Authorization, section b: option a</li> <li>• Authorization, sec c: [didn't catch this]</li> <li>• Authorization, sec d: chose paragraph 14</li> <li>• Section A about transfer of information: chose option 2, paragraph 23 → no specific format</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| New Zealand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On authorization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Consider there are areas that can be streamlined in text where convergence in emerging</li> <li>○ Format -&gt; want standardized but flexibility from parties on this being voluntary -&gt; convergence on a possible voluntary template <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ If not comfortable removing text on mandatory template</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ On content -&gt; parties agree that there should be a mandatory content depending on participating parties and their cooperating approach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Further work on two lists and what they include (template for text and template for content)</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ To address overlap on massive list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Suggest including a paragraph for parties to consider the contents of initial support while developing their authorization</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Scope -&gt; agree with EU on addressing holy trinity issue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Support early authorization with ability to later specific exact amount of mitigation that is actually transferred once it is verified</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Not sure that this requires a specific authorization</li> <li>○ Do not think that everything that requires a specific text</li> <li>○ Parties should still have control over what is actually transferred</li> <li>○</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Authorization timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Support text that encourages early, upfront authorization</li> <li>○ Initial support due no later than authorization</li> <li>○</li> </ul> </li> <li>• On changes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ First principle -&gt; changes and revocations do not lead to double counting</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| CfRN        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scope and definitions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Feel that Option 1 and Option 2 can be streamlined</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Heard that cooperating approach between two entities, unless there is a party to represent the entity, the entity can define</li> <li>• Most likely see authorizations happening at different times than</li> <li>• See element Paragraph 8 as a shall / need to discuss what would be a should <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Shall - formal list set by secretariat vs should</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Changes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Have difficulties with Option 1 and Option 2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |

|           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Understand that the private sector needs insurance and transparency</li> <li>● On Transparency <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ See option 2 and option 3, not excluding each other, could be merged</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| LDCs      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Def: Like the EU idea of two parties or if one party and entity then entity must be defined</li> <li>● Critical issue is transparency and environmental integrity: concerned about voluntary approaches as a party could do nothing. Would like to see AILAC proposal</li> <li>● On Content: there should be a standardized form even if it is duplicative because there could be timing issues there.</li> <li>● On format: as AOSIS has said, option 1 is preferable although merging with some vol elements could happen.</li> <li>● On Changes: prefer none unless there are extreme circumstances. As EU said, mutually agreed and only when extreme circumstances, which we can define, occur</li> <li>● Transparency: option 1. Template would be helpful and we'd prefer a mandatory one for transparency.</li> </ul>                                                                                                           |
| Turkey    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Bottom up approach is one of the overarching parts of Paris Agreement, and anything on cooperative approaches shouldn't stop such strategy</li> <li>● Current reporting obligations allows parties to have a single process order, allowing parties to treat reporting as a nation prerogative</li> <li>● Formatting: parties shouldn't be restricted; voluntary standard form could be helpful</li> <li>● Changes and revocations: should be assessed under cooperative approach; sections d, option 3 → a set of procedures to be developed to treat changes</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Singapore | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 3 points <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Agree with EU and Canada in streamlining paragraphs 4,5,6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Paragraph 7 is better in another section</li> <li>■ Asks about completion of technical expert review</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Need to streamline paragraphs 8 and 9 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ What content you require of authorizations</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Overall, just need further streamlining <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ What information is material for an authorization</li> <li>■ Where are elements that are repeating same material</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Sub Bullet Z <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Already covered in Y</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Templates are helpful in terms of format for authorization itself <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Mandatory standardized forum</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| China     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Authorization: section b, content → structure here need more work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Paragraph 8 and 9, especially 8 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Don't think it is yet possible to develop standard for everyone</li> <li>■ [references cooperative regard]</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flexibility should be given in regard to implementing NDC priorities</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Arab Group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 1 - definition <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Corresponding adjustment - prefer Option 3</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Option 1 - provides most flexibility, accommodates for single and multi-year</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Open to hearing Russia out</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Prefer not to have text but open to hear about voluntary forms</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 5 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Open to hear from bridging proposals</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Option 3</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 8 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ No further discussion needed but would be open</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Australia  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understand the desire for timely, transparent info, we share said desire. Recall intent to provide info earlier. Don't oppose duplication but ask for caution because it can cause confusion. Think this section creates rather than solves problems with duplication. Esp with content, need to think carefully how we go forward in this section.</li> <li>• Note difficulty in defining for all, hoping content section can be the answer</li> <li>• Process and timing: we know the trinity is problematic, think we should find wording that avoids referencing the three options, avoid [?]</li> <li>• Content: min mandatory requirements being that necessary for authorization. Support basic specifics, how first transfer is auth, and how to proceed with changes</li> <li>• 8e and 8g should not be necessary</li> <li>• Support prep of standardized format with minimums and allow parties to use their own, like new zealand</li> <li>• Constraints changes to prevent double counting, ought be a guiding principle</li> </ul> |
| EIG        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Share the view expressed: current section on scope on definition provides limited value; open to exploiting EU's suggestion</li> <li>• Elements: support a share list: transfer definition,... [didn't catch all] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Suggestion by NZ</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Looking at current list, like 8e and 9f, "arrangements for" → looking for effect; who is the authority <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Statement doesn't provide much clarity</li> </ul> </li> <li>• "Should" list: co-facs should take a strong stab at this to avoid duplications</li> <li>• Support voluntary standardized form template</li> <li>• Changes: our option isn't currently reflected in this text; acknowledges that there are already a lot of options <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Shall be no changes to an authorization unless the party has made produce public about the changes</li> <li>◦ Triggers only at the moment of first transfer</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                 |

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Moment the authorization is out, people should be able to rely on it <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Allows parties to put on it what they want → flexibility</li> <li>○ Changes shouldn't lead to double counting is a guiding principle</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Philippines  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Support Option 2 for scope and definition <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ More inclusive</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Believe in the ability of facilitators - as an ASEAN member state <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Support the views of Indonesia and Singapore</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Just in case the issues of retroactivity are not settled <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Support another workstream</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Pakistan     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Aligns with LMDC</li> <li>● Should agree whether 3 separate authorizations should be adopted or otherwise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Separate ones would enhance transparency and prevent double counting</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Changes and revocations: support LMDC and CfRN <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Revocations would lead to market instability and loss of trust</li> <li>○ Understand that complete rigidity on this issue would complicate the market</li> <li>○ Should be allowed under specific circumstance → option 4, paragraph 19</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Highlight: stance on changes and revocations is that it should be the prerogative of the first transferring party only</li> <li>● Flexibility on updating report to accommodate for new info as it becomes available</li> </ul> |
|              | <b>Cluster 2: Sections 3-5, Application of first transfer, Agreed Electronic Format, &amp; Tables for submitting annual information as part of the regular information</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Cofac Pierre |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| EU           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Application of first transfer, paragraph 28 + 29 (option 2) needs work</li> <li>● Work on the basis on paragraph 30, option 3</li> <li>● Want to work on 31, 32, 33</li> <li>● Section 4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Adoption of AEF - think we can adopt Option 1 if the above issues are resolved</li> <li>○ Cancellation to compensate for reversals and recovations and authorizations</li> <li>○ Flag ITMOs subject to reversal risk</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Keep paragraphsJapan 39 + 40 and Option 1</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Japan        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Application of risk transfer: definition has been decided enough (2a and b) → paragraph 25-27 in SB60 text don't seem to make much sense since they a repetition of previous text</li> <li>● SB60 paragraph 28 and 29: start with "note" or "clarify" instead of "decides"</li> <li>● Notification: practically, paragraph 30 will be beneficial for all</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

|           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Communication on first transfer between all parties, maybe entities, is essential for transparency and to prevent double counting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Especially when ITMOs are defined</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Needs to be a robust notification where the host is told about first transfer</li> <li>● AEF: should finalize it; support option 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Needs to cover essential elements, while being concise and minimal</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Table: the ones in current SB60 text are well made; should be able to finalize this week by streamlining elements and shorting out some language <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Examples: table 2, can simply call it “Authorization Table” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Another: lots of brackets in the table, but most can’t be sorted out without extensive discussion</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Trust secretariat with these kinds of things</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Subaction d: use → can keep it in the text (paragraph 37) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Keep the ones towards NDCs and [implementation plans?]</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Annual information table: option 1</li> </ul> |
|           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Table in the text is already well prepared</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| AGN       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Application of first transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Issue will only happen for international mitigation purposes</li> <li>○ Go for option 3 -&gt; to have a notification of the party of the first transfer that can be done</li> <li>○ Need further discussion to see if what is in the table is agreeable</li> </ul> </li> <li>● AEF + Tables <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Need to adopt both in order to make 6.2 operationalize</li> <li>○ Go over B itself and see whether to adopt</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| LMDC      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Support Option B under Option 1</li> <li>● Section B under Section 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Do not support including additional specifications for initial first transfer</li> </ul> </li> <li>● AEF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Agrees there needs to be additional time to get wider participation before adopting</li> <li>○ Option 3</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Section B under AEF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Prefer that content in AEF to be consistent with guidance from Decision 2</li> <li>○ Option 2</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| AILAC     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Feel more comfortable with option 3, but would be happy with the combination of 2-3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Section 8 could help stop any type of misinformation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Grupo Sur | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Application of first transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Paragraphs 28-29 seem to add clarity</li> <li>○ Need to be “clarifies” instead of “decides”</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Agreed electronic format</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |

|         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Option 1, paragraph 34 is the preferred one</li> <li>● AEF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Happy to remain flexible</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Tables <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Option 1, paragraphs 39+40 would make it easier to access</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Sequencing and timing + will not comment more</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| US      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Chapter 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ High-risk of undermining CMA decisions</li> <li>○ There is no ITMO prior to first transfer, mitigation outcomes are internationally transferred and then they become relevant</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Open to text as EU suggested why Authorization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 2+ 2B does not change meaning of Glasgow of parties</li> <li>○ Option 1 seems to address concerns with Option 2</li> <li>○ Finding a way through using Option 2?</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Address transfers with mitigation outcomes</li> <li>● Seems to undermine communication</li> <li>● Such uses are authorized</li> <li>● First transfer of mitigation outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Cannot first transfer what has been internationally transferred[?]</li> <li>○ Party specifies what they will record as first transfer</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Amount of mitigation outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Nothing has been internationally first transferred?</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Do not see the purpose of paragraph 20</li> <li>● Paragraph 30 also confusing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Dealing with internationally mitigation applications?</li> <li>○ Uncomfortable retaining that section</li> </ul> </li> <li>● 28 better tracks this - comfortable with that</li> <li>● Paragraph 31 better specifies the exact details of a first transfer</li> <li>● The first round of corresponding adjustments</li> <li>● Tables used in AEF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Parties adopted tables but not lists and dropdowns</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Russian | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● [Could not hear]</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| AILAC   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Paragraphs [and 39: contributing to adaptation → should be framed in our decision and the text we adopt</li> <li>● Would be useful to clarify about the use between NDCs and OMGE [?] → relevant for draft text</li> <li>● First transfer specifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Paragraph 31 and 32: important that they work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Parties are now happy to make these contributions, only right to find a way to work them into tables</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>● Paragraph 33: authorized for any purpose (NDC, etc.) if they want to contribute to the adaptation fund or contribution of canceling to OMGE, they should be fully encouraged</li> <li>● Make sure we aren't double counting when giving to adaptation fund or with OMGE</li> <li>● Disagrees with narrow use cases that the US proposed</li> <li>● Important elements: things like risk</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Paragraph 20B: not only reporting actions, but also indicate if those relative to actions of reversal</li> <li>● Disturbing to be seeing a shrinking of table 2</li> <li>● Would welcome more information about the tables 2 and 3</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Cofac Martin | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Others on the list: Indonesia, Australia, etc.</li> <li>● 9 minutes over time, will have to continue later</li> <li>● Speaker list is not closed</li> <li>● Meeting adjourned, to resume tomorrow</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Arab Group   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Starts by taking floor</li> <li>● Section 3 has no need for further guidance as we already have text from guidance - option 4 or 1b</li> <li>● Section 4: AEF is an enabler of agreed upon guidance. Would not like such an elaborate adoption, keep working on draft AEF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Section (B/D to be reported) no text</li> <li>○ Section 5 tables: Option 2 fits purpose for tables, on sequencing/timing ...I'll stop there</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Cofac Peer   | Sequencing is next section                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Indonesia    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● First transfer - option 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Still need a clear elaboration on Paragraph 2B</li> <li>○ Can compromise between Option 2 or 3</li> </ul> </li> <li>● AEF - Option 2</li> <li>● Actions to be reported in AEF -&gt; Option 2, already been agreed</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Australia    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Lovely to be well rested and echoless</li> <li>● Paragraphs 29 and 31 are not adding new or helpful information <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 31 - complicated and adds no clarification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The wording does not need a reference to different authorization types</li> <li>■ Can share in writing but wants simplifications</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>● First transfer: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ option 1 is not adding helpfully to decisions made</li> <li>○ option 2 is not adding to decisions made per para 29</li> </ul> </li> <li>● AEF: want to see AEF adopted here at COP29, important to be done here. Appreciate Japan bracketing and streamline approach.</li> </ul> |
| Co-Fac       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Information on sharing opinions on writing</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| New Zealand  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● First transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Text is stable but paragraphs 25 and 26 are not useful and not in line with existing guidance</li> <li>○ Request removal</li> <li>○ Support EU clarification that first transfers need to be done within an implementation period</li> <li>○ Parties should not be surprised with need to take corresponding adjustments</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Same reason - support paragraph 30 for parties to support timely accounting, Option 3 is mutually exclusive with 2, so these two should be merged</li> <li>○ Also support paragraph 31, also acknowledge they need support</li> <li>○ Highlight US concern on noting references to ITMOs in the first transfer process, given that they are not technically ITMOs yet until the first transfer</li> <li>● Further work on paragraphs on the Adaptation Fund and OMGE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Support AOSIS on wanting these to work</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Support adoption of AEF - need to clean up actions list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Allows for consistency checks for quantitative</li> <li>○ List of actions does not facilitate this</li> <li>○ Registry is supposed to help populate AEF, so there needs to be consistently that they do not see</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| LDC    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Guidance on first transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Are bridging proposals</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Would like to support AOSIS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Paragraphs 32 + 33 are important, want to keep them and keep working on those</li> </ul> </li> <li>● AEF - actions to be reported <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Keep the list, for purposes of ensuring detail of information they want</li> <li>○ Want to keep Option 1 here as it stands until satisfied of how AEF represents issues</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Tables for annual information <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Options will end up in a procedural decision</li> <li>○ Need to see the tables before they decide and finalize anything</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                              |
| Turkey | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● First transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Option 2</li> <li>○ Paragraph 28 is fine, but Paragraphs 29 a and b need to be clarified for NDC and OIMP</li> </ul> </li> <li>● AEF Section B <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Need to be clarified for changes and revocations</li> <li>○ Option 1</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| CfRN   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Application of first transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Also, agree with New Zealand, didn't hear support for Paragraphs 25 and 26 - should delete to streamline</li> <li>○ Option B is where views are reflected</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Agree with views raised on 28 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Cannot talk about ITMOs until after first transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Paragraph 29, First international transfer "to another party or entity added"</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>● Do not think 28 and 29 working synergistically, so need to be streamlined</li> <li>● As mentioned by others, agree to streamline and add text on other first transfer</li> <li>● AEF - good to go with Option 1, want to see 1 and Option 3 retained</li> </ul>                                                                                                         |

|                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Action to be reported in AEF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Want Option 1 to be retained</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 5, Tables for Submitting Annual Information <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Option 1 but want to keep Option 3, will decide based on earlier text decisions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| EIG              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Making progress</li> <li>• Support for Option A on further guidance of first transfer</li> <li>• Paragraph 28 /need to remove ?, referenced either or comments made by CfRN</li> <li>• Options 2 and 3 are not mutually exclusive and could potentially be streamlined</li> <li>• Adaptation fund and OMGE work needs to be done in timely manner</li> <li>• Lot of work has gone into AEF, so confident that 34 and 39 will be able to be adopted once tables are finalized</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| China            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Streamlining is needed</li> <li>• First transfer option 2</li> <li>• can/shall in 28, see added value in para 29</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Co-fac<br>Pierre | Let's move on to next cluster with Maria                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Co-Fac<br>Maria  | I appreciate the good feeling in the room, thanks peer, but let's pull back the current. We need to iterate tomorrow so we can discuss a streamlined text. Hopefully we can do a second turn for friday so we can close on saturday. Please keep limited time in mind, and focus on the cluster were on and what you want to see in the next iteration. We will now turn to sections 6-9: Sequencing and timing, Process of identifying, notifying, and correcting inconsistencies, Inconsistencies identified in Article 6 technical expert reviews, and Special circumstances of the least developed countries and small island developing States.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Cluster 5        | Sections 6-9 (Sequencing and timing)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| UK               | <p>Inconsistencies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Been working with other groups</li> <li>• Include 1 - info is submitted before technical expert review, but outcome will be marked</li> <li>• 2 - how inconsistencies from completeness and quantitative check will be identified <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Outputs need to be published and tagged</li> </ul> </li> <li>• 3 - Defining what an inconsistency would be <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ How to send signal of what significant insensitively without taking away power for technical review to define something as significant</li> <li>◦ Do not want to pre-judge but want to create some definition</li> <li>◦ We've heard consensus that a significant consistency is one that would affect corresponding adjustments and/or double counting, open to support</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EU           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Paragraph 47 is not useful</li> <li>• Keep 48</li> <li>• 49 is misplaced but needs to be in remediation instead of sequencing</li> <li>• Do think that inconsistencies</li> <li>• Paragraphs 44 + 45 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ These are the basis for defining what is consistent</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consequences in defining inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Could remind parties that they should not use ITMOs where there are inconsistencies in achievement to NDCs</li> <li>◦ Have ideas on how to not perpetuate inconsistencies</li> <li>◦ Have text on that</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| AILAC        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On Section 6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Option A has a misunderstanding on what a cooperative approach is or not - option is out of place</li> <li>◦ Option b and c can be mixed, we are working on this. Seeing about combo with EU proposal</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 7: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Agree with EU that para 49 should go somewhere else</li> <li>◦ Para 48 is an important part of process</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 8: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Highlight that options 1a and b are not mutually exclusive. See issues in option a on issues with one participating party consistency check unless there is another place of publication for verification. On b, timing like in ii</li> <li>◦ Option 2 has many misinterpretations in phrasing</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Section 9: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Find that option 1 is workable and can be streamlined.</li> <li>◦ On option on identifying inconsistencies, agree with UK that clarification on significance could be difficult and all inconsistencies are important</li> <li>◦ Would like to hear out other parties on option 2</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Africa Group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Principles based on fact that can work with a lot of the options, Want to merge options</li> <li>• All credibility of this approach is based on fact that we avoid double counting and that all parties follow the guidance, which can only be done through review</li> <li>• Important that the initial report is submitted before the submission</li> <li>• Agree with colleagues that need to clearly define what we consider as a “significant inconsistency, persistent inconsistency” <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ If these can undermine the party, Need to stop the transfer of the mitigation outcome</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Flexible as there are many options that can address this need, but needs to be reorganized in a way that keeps integrity, clearly define consequences and definitions of inconsistencies</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Grupo Sur    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sequencing and timing - option 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ If Lack of transparency does not have consequences, then useless</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Paragraph 46</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

|        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 48 + 49 -&gt; non-conditional, inconsistencies would challenge the very idea of ITMOs</li> <li>● On the process of correcting inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Option B - B1 is loose, but B2 is precise</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Paragraphs 54-56</li> <li>● 57-58 -&gt;</li> <li>● Paragraph 64 -&gt; important to define “significant inconsistencies”</li> </ul> <p>Option 1 for responses to and publication of TERT identified inconsistencies</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Canada | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Chapters 6-8 needs significant overhaul in the organization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Large sections confuse inconsistencies and inconsistency processes</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Section 7 - add to header that this pertains to notifying about automatic review and consistency checker</li> <li>● Sequencing and timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reference in paragraph 43 that refers to labeling</li> <li>○ This is captured in Section 7</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Section on sequencing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Clarify around status of the review <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Support 43, better under 66</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>● Paragraphs 44 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Clarifying information so it is helpful</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Paragraph 45 + 46 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Contradictory to existing guidance</li> <li>○ Heard other parties provide support</li> <li>○ 45 b states that initial report review should be published prior to the parties initial report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Realize parties can submit parties in conjunction with each other</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ 46 c <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Contradictory to existing guidance already agreed on</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Number of these paragraphs should be deleted</li> <li>○ Contradiction of 47 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Encourages parties to submit an initial report</li> <li>■ This is a prerequisite already, so this could be deleted</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>● Chapter 7 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Begin from the automated procedure, there is some overlap and duplication <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Option B2, refers to regular</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Support the labeling of those results</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Chapter 8 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 54-57, option 1, already recall existing information</li> <li>○ Question the value of it</li> <li>○ Paragraph 58 is inappropriate and has no basis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ “Surprised to see this in the text”</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Support para 66 and its 2 and 3, reiterates confusion from the organization (why is 66 followed by 2 and 3 without a 1?)</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Paragraph 64 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Formal, data, material inconsistencies</li> <li>○ Agreed to term significant and persistent</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

|          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Would want to remove -&gt; willing to engage with parties</li> <li>● Article 13 process left responsibility up to the reviewers</li> <li>● Have another option if we can actually retain responsibility</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Pakistan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Hope that whatever is decided does not remove flexibility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Should be able to update and add information as available</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Agree with African group, LMDC, nature of inconsistencies needs to be clearly defined during review, particularly as the nature matters to the market</li> <li>● Issues of inconsistencies needs to be clearly decided on how they are used towards NDCs</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| AOSIS    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Sequencing: should not be AEF table until initial report review - para 46, option to link to para 45 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Disagree with this being inconsistent with our rules</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Inconsistencies: agree with reorganizing text <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Para 52, b2, appreciate the clarity. See need to address inconsistencies in each report including on qualitative information</li> <li>○ Para 62/63: appreciate defs but need to consider different reports, persistency should be identified and cleared asap</li> <li>○ Inconsistencies and nonresponsiveness: we want consequences - should not be usable for NDCs/ITMOs</li> <li>○ Need the pipe on 66 to be more clear.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| LDCs     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Sequencing and timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Seeing some proposals from the UK, but think that the framing of this from AILAC is sensible - want to work with AILAC on those issues</li> </ul> </li> <li>● VII - Review of inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Want to support all options <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Think that the detail provided in B2 should be retained</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>● VIII - Review practice Guidance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Retain option 1, like the detail</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Paragraph 67 - non-responsiveness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Not sure if Option A or B work</li> </ul> </li> <li>● LDCs and SIDs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No objection to this language, but would like to keep Option 1</li> <li>○ Need to give some definition of what that really means</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| CfRN     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Generally, we think this cluster is one of the most important. Transparency is needed for integrity, so we will generally support more disclosure</li> <li>● Can work with UK text, still working through AILAC and EU suggestions</li> <li>● Section 6: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Para 44 and 46</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Section 7 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Option b, particularly b2</li> <li>○ Support qualitative included</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Section 8 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Option 1</li> <li>○ Support no transfer with sig incon is identified</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

|             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ para 64</li> <li>○ Support para 66</li> <li>○ Support option a1 on implication of non responsiveness</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| LMDC        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Support pakistan that this should not overburden parties, esp developing countries. Support integrity.</li> <li>● Sequencing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Option 3</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Option two on correcting inconsistencies</li> <li>● Discussions need to be around just the process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No need to discuss already decided on points</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>[Was this @ Canada?<br/>I think it was moreso @ EIG/EU]</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| New Zealand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Sequencing - hear a decent amount of proposals but want to suggest 2 ways <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Publically flag status of the review and its findings, the more information the better</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Convergence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Agreement around tagging or flagging of the review publicly</li> </ul> </li> <li>● See the use of similar language <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Paragraph 60 - can be merged into a different section</li> <li>○ Categories should be “review pending, review completed with no inconsistencies, completed with inconsistencies, information not available”</li> <li>○ Noting that a full report underpins technical expert review</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Goes beyond existing guidance - already have TERT guidance on addressing inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Can be more specific on timelines on which resubmission would need to occur</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Persistent inconsistencies</li> </ul> |
| Japan       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Sequencing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Important to refer back to [____ ?]</li> <li>○ Timelines for reporting</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Sequencing and inconsistencies are two sides of the same coins</li> <li>● Need more discussion on 43, 44, 47 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The process enables reporting and reviewing</li> <li>○ 47 - this allows parties to submit before</li> <li>○ These should be combined to promote transparency</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Review guidance already outlined <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Essential to implementing items in 6.4 mechanisms</li> <li>○ Not a matter of thousands, only the issue of identification</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Language <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ B, 2 is discouraging and should be changed</li> <li>○ Requests that reviewers and parties should improve</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Paragraph 62-64 can be incorporated to integrate process as a whole</li> </ul>                                                 |
| US          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Sequencing</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

|           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Para 43 including brackets</li> <li>○ Para 44 option a</li> <li>○ Do see para 47 being useful. A stronger direction tho</li> <li>○ Do not see 48/49 being appropriate, wonder if parties would be okay with flagging prominent inconsistencies in 49</li> <li>○ Open to transparency-based approach but not open to proposals elsewhere to block transfers</li> <li>○ Open to 50+ 51 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ para 52 option 1- both A + B are acceptable</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Can also work to Option 1</li> <li>○ For all notation recommendations, we would like to see distinguished internal consistency from systemic consistency</li> <li>○ Agree with section 8 option 1 with canada</li> <li>○ Would be additive to explain what inconsistency is and critical inconsistency and consequences</li> <li>○ Support qualitative</li> <li>○ Cannot just keep saying “next reporting period” as there are multiple mention in text</li> </ul> |
| Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Heard from others and agreed that status</li> <li>● Understand that paragraph is better in Chapter 8</li> <li>● Agree with Canada that the text can be reorganized and streamlined</li> <li>● Chapter 8 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Status of the review of the initial review be made publicly available</li> <li>○ Para 3 under 66</li> </ul> </li> <li>● On the question on persistent and consistent</li> <li>● Want to make a comment on special considerations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Not a persuasive argument on why process needs to be changed every year</li> <li>○ Option 2 in support, but could hear a different argument</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| EIG       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● When it comes to classifications of inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Should not overcomplicate the matter</li> <li>○ Focus on term, should be limited to significant and persistent <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Significant is defined by related to double-counting</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>● Need to give parties the chance to give a better submission if there are inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Need to get submissions right</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Russia    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Sequencing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Paragraph 47 - Option 2</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Process of identifying <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Preference for clear labeling</li> <li>○ Option A or B1</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Inconsistencies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The text should include process related issues</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Cleary support for setting timelines and for parties to correct inconsistencies</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |

|            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Arab Group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sequencing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Option 2</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Process of identifying and correcting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ 53 is enough for correcting with some streamlining</li> <li>◦ Do not see any text required for section 8</li> <li>◦ Open to hearing on bridging proposals</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Cluster 4  | Sections 10-12                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| co-fac     | Do not repeat positions                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Japan      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To what extent should parties have their own registry</li> <li>• What is the synergy between party registry, international registry, and 6.4 registry</li> <li>• What is a registry? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Paragraphs 29 + 30 from Glasgow's decision</li> </ul> </li> <li>• For the purpose of recalling own parties</li> <li>• Each party registry needs to be connected on an overarching basis</li> <li>• To connect ITMOS and party registry with 6.4 and the international registry <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ ITMOs are uniquely traced</li> </ul> </li> <li>• In order to operationalize <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ For connection between 6.4 mechanism registry and 6.2 participating party registry <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Can decide in this COP/CMA on basis from paragraph 33 in SB60 6.4</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• In order to facilitate, need to encourage parties and organizations to enhance international report for capacity building <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ In paragraph 18, operation itself, the responsibility of the party needs to be clarified</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Preparing to create exact texts to reiterate what they explained</li> </ul> |
| EU         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Range of positions on issue of registries <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Struggling to know where a landing ground might be</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Sharm text <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ To get through, but have a lot of flavors of this text and need to figure out how they fit together</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Quite a technical job to try and get out</li> <li>• See a role for work programmes going forward</li> <li>• Paragraphs 82 + 83 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Reflect paragraph 22 from Glasgow text on BTR</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Require secretariat to report to SBSTA to consider the system as a whole and how to address issues</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| AILAC      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Every connection has a different implication</li> <li>• Taking into account that the international registry must be able to track the difference between 6.4ER if it flows into the international registry <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Want to clarify the difference in authorization</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The case that the international registry is replaced <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Lately, calling in the underlying registry - could come from the private sector</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ That relationship can exist</li> <li>● For that information to flow, there needs to be some kind of statement/organization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Approving the use of the underlying registry</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Reviewed Japan proposal and do not agree with all of it <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Singapore    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Section 10, not advocating</li> <li>● Language the options here do not fully capture <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Current options in the text do not speak very well to the connection between 6.4 and 6.2 to language</li> <li>○ There is more optionality on this and the additional functionality</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| UK           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● First registry question <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Parties are comfortable moving from 6.4ER to 6.2 international registry</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Keep paragraph 77 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ On the second registry question - recognize this is more challenging</li> <li>○ Parties that use the international registry should be constrained</li> </ul> </li> <li>● For those with concerns about relationships with environmental integrity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Already gotten past decision text that parties using registry are responsible to prevent double counting and to track impact</li> <li>○</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                       |
| Africa Group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Extensive discussions related to the registry on 6.2 and 6.4</li> <li>● Concentrated on parties that had no registry but wanted to use international and had something to say about functions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ That is all already documented</li> <li>○ Looking and whether those parties really use the international registry</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Clearly define the leakage between 6.4 registry, international registry, and national registries</li> <li>● As a party without a registry, but want to provide some linkage between 6.4 and national</li> <li>● Also, have bilateral agreements</li> <li>● Need to make a clear definition on the linkage between 6.4, international, and underlying registries</li> </ul> |
| Grupo Sur    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Helpful to take a step back into what is the goal here</li> <li>● Need to track mitigation approaches <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ How cooperation allowed for removal or changes in emissions and how that was accounted for</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Clearly created with goal of cohesion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ International basis, but as long as the national registry can provide the needed information, should be able to track what is needed</li> </ul> </li> <li>●</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| LMDC         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Guidance on article 6</li> <li>● Understand the sentiment that some countries need access to an</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

|          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | <p>international registry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do add the issuance function to an international registry</li> <li>• What is the guidance on what functionality we need for international registry <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Setting an international standard</li> <li>◦ Might be imposing additional requirements on national registries</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Agreement/understanding when engaging with cooperative approaches <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ For parties without capacity or access to the registry, the participating party should be given access to their party</li> <li>◦ Transferring responsibility from party with registry to the international registry</li> <li>◦ Capacity building Should be available during a work programme and depends</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Do not support paragraphs 80,90,91</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| AOSIS    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• International registry is part of the paris agreement structure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Countries should not be barred from participating if they do not have a registry</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Postponing discussions does not allow for countries to get started</li> <li>• Unreasonable to expect countries to establish their own; there is a mandate for an international registry</li> <li>• To function as a national registry, needs to be able to record an ITMO that has been labeled as a cooperative approach and to transfer and make changes</li> <li>• The international registry can be transactional or in a more dynamic format - &gt; support both</li> <li>• Need to support parties that do not have an international registry</li> <li>• Heard concerns surrounding environmental integrity</li> <li>• Resulting ITMOs should be captured on internal registries</li> <li>• Parties should not have to use an external registry because the international registry doesn't have proper functionality</li> </ul> |
| Turkey   | Support Option 1 for registries - transfers of ITMOs between registries                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Pakistan | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No reservations about parties using the international registry <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Revocation and changes remain the prerogative of transferring party</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| USA      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agree with interventions about how concerns on current proposals, emerging alternatives</li> <li>• On registries -&gt; United States consider the functions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Should not be less than the registries that are described in paragraph 29</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Question if there should be more or additional functions</li> <li>• Agree that it was explicitly for tracking and recording</li> <li>• For party actions related to ITMOs</li> <li>• Understand it is an important distinction</li> <li>• The best practice is that additional information on authorization after the initial report be submitted between 2 minutes to the</li> <li>• Question of 6.4 ERs being moved to another registry</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |

|             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ International one does not have space for holdings</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Not ITMOs until they are recorded/transferred           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Call them units that have not been internationally transferred</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Mandate           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reconcile the missing elements</li> <li>○ Parties need to reformulate</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Article 6.2 does not establish the mechanism for the overlap of private markets           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Some alternatives -&gt; concerns about value</li> </ul> </li> <li>● US refers not to reinvest the wheel and proliferating existing registries           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Work with private actors who have the technical knowledge to administer outcomes and build out a carbon registry</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                        |
| Russia      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Speaking about international infrastructure, should be mindful of how to make it practical and have it address the needs</li> <li>● Registry issue on linkage</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| LDC         | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Key functions that international registry with additional functionality, important for LDCs</li> <li>● Need the registry to track all ITMOs - have some point of overview mechanism</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| CfRN        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Support intervention from Grupo Sur</li> <li>● Registries are a national priority</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| New Zealand | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Controversial part of the text</li> <li>● There has been some progress since Bonn, hopeful progress can be reflected in next iteration</li> <li>● International registries are for ITMOs</li> <li>● Hear the desire for services for mitigation outcomes           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ For example - not all mitigation outcomes are authorized</li> <li>○ If authorized - may not be first transferred</li> <li>○ Do all of these outcomes also live on the international registry - if not - where do they live?</li> </ul> </li> <li>● As a middle ground, authorized or non, can live somewhere else</li> <li>● For A6.4ERs that are not transferred, once authorized, they can live in the international registry</li> <li>● Services that apply to mitigation outcomes can be in functionality in underlying registries</li> <li>● But services that apply to ITMOS - need to be exact with paragraph of 29 with Glasgow text</li> </ul> |
| Canada      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Iterate one point           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Housekeeping in the text - acknowledge that this is a trickier area of the text</li> <li>○ Singapore + New Zealand texts - appreciate those</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Doesn't quite capture the nuance</li> <li>● Connection of paragraph 77 - why it is included on the text - need some streamlining</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

|              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EIG          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thank Grupo Sur for taking a step back</li> <li>• When you do 6.2 authorizations - can ensure that it is always the party behind it</li> <li>• What the tracks of the matter are</li> <li>• Potentially see an opening that, of course ITMOs are first transferred</li> <li>• To what degree can the party come in</li> <li>•</li> </ul> |
| Africa Group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The definition you give to first transfer needs to be given to the issuance</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| US           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Make recommendations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ Consider the program complete (not considering ongoing work on iterating on text)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Do not agree retaining paragraphs 90 and 91</li> </ul>                                                                                                            |