

UNFCCC Meeting Report (Presidency Event)

Notes Prepared by: Torrey Taylor, Jade Zhang, Jeffrey Camille, and Rob Smoot -
Washington University in Saint Louis

Thursday, November 21st, 2024

Time	Event Title	Location
12:00 - 15:00	Single-setting "Qurultay" convened by the COP 29 President	Plenary 1 Nizami

Agenda Item	Single-setting "Qurultay" convened by the COP 29 President
Date	11/18/2024
Time	12:00 - 15:00
Chair(s)	COP Presidency
Dynamic	No movement
Key outcomes of session/Progress achieved during session	Not a lot of movement overall, parties were still entrenched in their initial positions

Summary:

- Not a lot of movement overall, parties were still entrenched in their initial positions
- Highly contentious issues: MWP, NCQG, Just Transition, UAE
- On Article 6, Gender, parties were more satisfied with the progress made

Interventions

GROUP/ COUNTRY	INTERVENTION
COP President	• This morning the release of draft texts helped bring us a step closer to achieving our goals here ◦ Texts on MWP, NCQG, Gender and Equity, Just Transition, yt

	• I have convened this plenary to here parties/groups thoughts on these iterations of draft texts, after hearing views, presidency will release further iterations • Wants to conclude work by tomorrow at 6pm
COP29 Lead Negotiator Yelchin	• We have before you a draft texts on the following issues: NCQG, Just transition, Article 6, MWP, EAU Dialogue, Gender and Equity, [others missed] • Ensuring balance in the texts while ensuring the overarching goal of maintaining transparency in the text • We have come a long way on the NCQG text, but we still have a long way to go, this is the time to put all your cards on the table ◦ Work towards compromise, rather than including all your individual views • Section on the modalities on ensuring the outcome • New texts has been produced by the presidency on article 6 overnight • The text of the MWP has been derived from the co-facilitators • Teh text on the Gender and Equity has been derived from the co-facilitators • The text of the EAU dialogue has been derived from the co-facilitators • [missed point] • Colleagues, it is time to here your opinions on the [outcomes/texts]
EU	• Asserts that the texts in front of Parties are unacceptable ◦ Consider that more needs to be made for mitigation, no less than a UAE consensus ◦ On the NCQG, considers that the infrastructure needed to operationalize these resources are not present in the text ■ Public finance should go to those most vulnerable ■ Must prioritize LDCs and SIDS ■ Parts of text outside the scope of the NCQG, such as subsidies and response measures
EU [Cont]	• Reassert that goal of PA is to cut back emissions ◦ Unacceptable to step back on mitigation, which will , as the current text does • We are ready to cont. leadership role in fight against climate change to reach 1.5 warming goal, and reach a balanced conclusion ◦ In order to do so, we need to first move forward on the four points we suggested
Australia obo Umbrella Group	• This COP must send signals on all front for climate efforts, it must send clear messages towards climate finance and mitigation outcomes • On mitigation matters, countries have said from the beginning that we need to scale up mitigation ambition and implementation • On the current text, some of these angles are hidden, pulled back, or minimized

	- Specifically, the GST transition away from fossil fuels is hidden, there should be follow up on these - The MWP does not reflect the call for ambition on mitigation we called for last year - Also does not see inclusion of MWP's guidance on NDCs we called for - Comments on behalf of AUS - We welcome considerations on social inclusions, but gender is missing - We do not accept the current Adaptation roadmap - We accept the current roadmap on Article 6
Samoa obo AOSIS	- Believes that more needs to be done in the existing texts, insisting that Parties must continue to build on the momentum of COP28 - For AOSIS it is critical that the text decision package is in line with our 1.5C goal - NCQG - The overall number is still missing - AOSIS has introduced several paragraphs that must be included, while others must be deleted - Preference for Option 1, for minimum allocation floors needed by SIDS and LDCs - We cannot emphasize enough that these floors must be included in the text, if not, the burden of climate transition is put on the shoulders of those least responsible - Mitigation - The current text lacks ambition, but it can be a foundation - Text does not cover a full scope of issues previously discussed in past days - Par. 6, Option 2 is strongly preferred - We can live with option 1, which is clear with our livable [missed], however, GST outcomes and MWP must be clearly linked - GST - UAE Dialogue should establish a follow up mechanism that complements all GST outcomes - GST must be considered in its entirety - Article 6 - We are making progress, but it still lacks a clear and visible structure for SOP and OMGE - Should work across 6.2 and 6.4 - GGA - Disappointed to see that many AOSIS suggestions are lacking - Should request experts to consider means of meeting implementation - Adaptation

	○ Requires more attention as it connects with mitigation
Japan	● NCQG ○ In order to finalize the quantum, it is necessary to first decide on the nature of the finance work ○ For developed countries, NCQG must capture full variety of sources, instruments [] before a quantum amount can be considered ○ Favor option 2 ■ opinions of dev and developing are thoroughly listed, hope for a middle ground proposal ● Mitigation ○ Current texts are not strong enough to close gap btw 1.5C goal ● Just transition ○ Support to include p. 19 ● GGA ○ Prioritize work to conclude UAE Belem work programme next year ■ Therefore, don't support the proposal to include new work programmes or work on top of this ○ Do not include elements of means of implementation, therefore MOI shouldn't be concluded in UAE WP ○ P. 20 [missed point] ● Article 6 ○ Strongly seek to operationalize A6 at this COP
Malta obo EU and Malta	● I will be speaking on behalf of Malta obo EU and Malta on adaptation ● Agree with the gendered position and specific areas highlighted by the EU ● We are supporting a very good goal of adaptation ○ Somewhat disappointed on the draft text as it currently stands ● The text does not reflect convergence of the parties over the past two weeks, needs more work on outstanding options from the ministerial bilaterals ● We see a way forward in bridging issues [if we pursue ministerial bilateral work] ● We encourage you to engage the ministerial on the issues ○ The means of implementation, believe ministerial bilaterals can [be helpful in moving progress forward] ○ P. 38 it is important to move forward on the work, before prejudging any further work ○ Text on the IPCC, we do not support interfering on [missed point] work of IPCC ● On Mitigation and adaptation, we are expecting a strong decision in Baku

	● We believe if we continue discussing in ministerial plan, we can continue to [move forward progress on [missed point]] ○ We are happy to share more comments at a later stage
Uganda obo G77 + China	● Parties cannot leave COP29 without a figure to the NCQG ○ USD 1.3 trillion ■ Domestic finance should not be included in the goal ■ CBDR-RC and equity important ■ Loss and Damage must be reflected in the text ■ Developed countries, as the largest contributors to climate change, must provide more for the goal ● Which includes GHG emissions reductions, providing financial and technological support ● UAE dialogues ○ [MISSED] ● General Considerations ○ Supports BASIC agenda item ■ Emphasis on developing countries at COP
Honduras obo crfn	● Pace of our progress remains deeply concerning , negotiations are moving far too slowly to meet challenges ● Article 6 has achieved significant progress ○ Welcome p. 3 and distinction btw 3 elements of authorization ○ P5 f and j : language must be clarified, not sure what it means in A6 context, and is not consistent w PA, propose its deletion ○ Sections on sequencing and progress are well structured, guarantee high env integrity ■ P. 40 language has been watered down to “request not to use” rather than “shall” ■ Request p40 to refer to all IMPs rather than [] as currently drafted, want all IMPs to be captured in this section ○ Annex 1 footnotes a and b should be removed, do not see added value, specifically in b ● Article 6.4 ○ Important element of preamble is missing ○ Strongly support paragraph [on authorization to be included] ○ P3 we don't agree with new language ○ P 8b , would like to recall reference to baselines as per Glasgow ● NCQG text ○ P 32 : see language here that is outside UNFCCC and PA, in particular reference to high integrity voluntary carbon markets ■ Do not see how private markets can contribute to high integrity , we have not seen any env integrity out of these standards

	■ Language should be deleted by any means ■ If any reference is made to markets it should be to A6 Speaking in National Capacity ● Align with G77 and AILAC ○ Honduras is developing country, without adequate means for implementation we face ○ NCQG based on evolving needs must build upon recognition that developing countries face the strongest burdens resulting from climate crisis ○ Long term goals of PA cannot be met without us ○ Developing countries have been clear on the quantum ■ 1.3 trillion per year from developed to developing ■ High time developed countries put their numbers on the table ● Cannot bridge divide without these numbers ■ How can we keep track of progress on goal when no countries are willing to commit ■ Where is the accountability on this [missed point] ● The NCQG needs to be new and deliverable to ensure accountability and traceability on the delivery of the NCQG finance goal ○ Adaptation fund must be included as key channel for delivery of NCQG
Pakistan	● Pakistan is looking forward to a positive outcome of COP29 as it is the finance cop ● Ambitious outcome of NCQG is important to us as we have devastating losses from floods in 2010 and 2022 ● The text still lacks numbers on [missed] ● NCQG p29 , regarding recipients, it restricts access to other developing countries ○ Exclusionary practices to developing countries who are facing the brunt of climate disaster ○ Unfair to not acknowledge the needs and special circumstances of developing countries ■ Language should be in line w PA, NCQG should not adopt a top down approach that puts [unclear] on developing countries ● Pakistan believes all developing countries must have unconditional access to climate finance in the form of grants ● The text puts the responsibility on the developing countries on the transfer of funds [missed point] ● The work on indicators should not go to extent where the number keeps on increasing [missed point]

	• We hope the final document can be fair to all of us
Zambia	• Aligns with the G77 + China, and the future statements to be delivered obo African Group and LDCs • Concerned that there is no quantum in the NCQG text ◦ Reiterates that a quantum is needed to support developing countries, that figure being USD 1.3 trillion • Concerned with the stream of work as existent ◦ What zambia and LDCs expect are finances that reflect the reality on the ground in terms of climate change effects as shown by evidence • Global Goal on Adaptation ◦ Wishes for indicators that will measure the means of implementation that will meet the GGA
New Zealand	• Sees willingness for ambitious outcome from this COP, however, NZ is deeply frustrated across the board ◦ Texts do not move us forward, it is unacceptable that mitigation outcomes from the GST are in danger of being erased ◦ GST outcomes are taken out except historic outcome from Dubai ◦ These must be included to move us forward • UAE dialogue must [missed point] • MWP ◦ The MWP is currently shackled ◦ Needs to give actual high level mitigation messages ◦ Intergovt panel is the core, IPCC inputs must be included in the process in time for the next GST • NCQG ◦ Very disappointed, simply not useful for reaching agreement of outcome ◦ None of the suggested bridging solutions have been included ◦ Reflecting only two extreme outcomes included, doesn't bring parties closer to reaching conclusion at Baku • Mr. President, we have only have 36 hours left, text needs iterating rapidly with focus on convergence and bringing parties together ◦ Multiple rapid iterations are needed in the coming hours • Sense of urgency is required ◦ NZ is still optimistic and willing to work
Germany	• Germany fully aligns itself with the statement of the EU ◦ With my polish colleague, we will limit our comments to [missed] • We are deeply disappointed on the text of the MWP, the text offers no progress ◦ There is no place to follow up on the GST and mitigation outcomes ◦ P. 43 and p.34 ■ Discussions of forestry and [] need to be included ◦ This cannot be our response to the suffering of millions of people, we must do better • The world needs to know where we stand and what we are doing individually and collectively to implement the GST outcome on mitigation •

	○ We are ready to engage on the [] we want to see the full package ● On [missed] we want to see clear signals on the next NDCs, economy wide emissions reductions, commitments to global, reconfirm GST decision on mitigation ○ Need to send high level messages on how we will implement these ● We need the expansion of grids and energy storage, ● We need a call to phase out all fossil fuel subsidies that do not address poverty ● No new hole must be made on our goal to phase out fossil fuels
Poland	● Poland fully aligns itself with the EU, deeply disappointed on text on mitigation ○ The text offers no progress ○ There is no space to discuss the collective ambition ○ There is no space to follow up on the [missed point] ● No space to discuss the collective progress on p. 28 ● With the EU, we have been very vocal on these issues in the past two weeks, yet there is still nothing satisfactory in the text ● As far as the progress, we need space on how to implement the GST efforts, especially in p. [missed] ● [missed point, involved a mention of IRENA] ● We call on the Presidency to listen to the parties, looking for measurable and ambitious progress on mitigation ● Having held 3 COPS in Poland, we understand the difficulties of reaching an outcome, we trust with your leadership that we can get there on time
Peru obo AILAC	● NCQG ○ Concerned that the draft text is unrepresentative of the needs for developing countries ■ Disappointed greatly by a lack of a clear figure despite working for three years to do so ■ The needs for developing countries is in the trillions, and a quantum less than that is inappropriate ● Flow of resources must be from developed to developing countries ● With the goal needing to be USD 1.3 trillion ■ Without a quantum, Parties cannot move forward ● Adaptation ○ Considers text to be incomplete ■ Must prioritize means of implementation ● Supports the inclusion of indicators such as Indigenous Peoples, human rights, children, persons with disabilities, and more in this process ○ Recall CMA.5, para 11

	■ Requires to be built on other components, like finances discussed by the NCQG ■ Must focus firstmost on the transition away from fossil fuel ● Support inclusion of the importance of the transition away from FF, bearing in mind CBDR-RC ■ The GST is also about adaptation, and Parties must recognize this ● Article 6.2/6.4 ○ Unhappy, but believes text represents a balance between Parties' differing views ● Gender ○ The Lima Program must be extended for 10 years ■ The existing text does not consider important topics like intersectionality ● Just Transition ○ Must include multisectoral approaches to energy changes ○ This transitions enables innovation, and must be recognized ○ Important to recognize human rights in this issue, such as the right to development, the right of women, the right of children, and more ■ Also important are MOI, inc capacity building, finance, technological ○ This transition must be supported by developed countries
Bolivia obo LMDCs	● Aligns with statement made by Uganda obo G77 and China ● We live in an upside down world where those responsible for climate change reflect their responsibilities on the global south ○ Push back [missed] to 2050 while demanding developing countries reduce [missed] needed for economic development ○ At COP29 , developed countries keep trying to propose an intrusive, perspective approach that goes against PA ● This is a finance COP, finance must be provided ○ Anything else is an offense to the PA, millions of people of the world, and Mother Earth ○ Developed countries must lead ambition, [] instead of requesting mitigation [] from developing countries ○ Hypocrisy for developed countries to expand FF production to 2050 at home while demanding a 1.5C warming goal ● Redline = to not renegotiate PA temperature goals ○ This must be reflected faithfully, holding increase in global avg temp to 2 degrees and pursuing 1.5degrees ● Finance ○ Documents need to be crisper

	○ CBDR RC is mentioned but the differentiated capabilities are not reflected ○ Disappointed that a Quantum is not included ○ Developing countries should not be included in contributor base ○ P53 should not be included ■ P54 should also not be included ○ Global finance goal of minimum 600 million, we want mobilization of 1.3 trillion USD to be clearly lined out ■ Ff subsidies , phase out of coal, transformation adaptation, [] ■ Delete language on all matters [] ○ Cannot accept developed countries deciding our sovereign rights ■ All developing countries must have access to finance ● Mitigation ○ Linkages with GST or 1/CMA.5 should not be picked and choosed from ○ Concerned w target of IPCC, MWP is not in place to include prescriptive targets or goals ○ Preamble ■ Delete 1/CMA.5, targets 2030, 2035, 2050, particularly 2023 being the warmest year ■ Add the following ● Article 2.2, 4.5 , p2 decision CMA. [missed] in full ○ P 3 and 4 are too long, should be streamlined accordance w COP28 decision ○ P 5 [missed point] ○ P 6 ■ Can work w option 1 w minor modification to subtopic that phrases switching to [missed] , propose weaker language ■ Do not pick and choose, reject option 2 ○ P 7 ■ Delete ○ P 8 ■ Further recall p 4 CMA.4 ○ P 9 ■ [missed point] ○ P 11 ■ Delete subparagraph e ○ P 13 ■ Delete ● GST ○ UAE dialogue, cannot include MWP ○ Outside the mandate of this finance dialogue, UAE is not about mitigation
--	---

	○ No indication this is a finance dialogue in preamble ○ P 13 ■ Concerned it is proposing new target for everyone ● Delete ■ Also reject the cherry picking of mitigation outcomes of GST ○ P 12 ○ P 6 ■ Do not accept twist of interpretation of p186 ■ Draft must capture obligations of developed countries ● Adaptation ○ Disappointed there is no CBDR ○ MOI should be provided from developed to developing ○ [missed] ● Article 6 ○ 6.2 needs work ■ Some parties have seen this as opp to add requirements that translate as barriers to entry ○ 6.4 ■ Renegotiation of already agreed upon text, disappointed ■ Lmdcs have tried to be diplomatic, have not seen this reciprocated ● Just transition ○ Concerning that views haven't been captured in draft text whatsoever ○ Strongly oppose p 4 in preamble ■ So too with 14, 2 , 24
Kenya obo African Group	● Align with G77 ● We are here to address outstanding issues ● Presidency, the global response to climate change must remain ambitious and in line with the convention and the paris agreement ● Developed countries must fulfill their commitment agreed upon under the Paris agreement ● The AG is fully committed to this process ○ We appreciate the release of the new NCQG text, however, the AG is deeply concerned with the lack of a quantum ○ The NCQG must take in the needs and priorities of all parties ○ The shift to investment approach in NCGQ is not acceptable to us ○ [missed point] ○ Option 2 in the text is inconsistent of Paris Agreement and convention, unacceptable to us ● Mitigation ○ Propose an ambitious outcome

	○ However, in order to follow a high ambition platform we need technological and funds to support us ■ In accordance with CBDR ■ Without these, we cannot move forward ● UAE dialogue ○ This process should focus on the financial aspects of implementing the GST ○ Without honoring prior commitments we cannot advance to the goal of 1.5 ● Just transition ○ Lighter platform to success of sustainable [?goals?] ○ Must prioritize its mandates [missed] ○ There must not be negative impacts on developing countries [missed] ● The NCQG fund must address critical expensive for developing countries such as the cost of capital and [missed] ○ Calls for guiding framework that [missed] Article 2, must be firmly rooted in CBDR-RC ■ Must translate to shared responsibility and burdens ● Africa stands ready to engage constructively but our [?demands must be reached?]
Turkey	● [NO ENGLISH TRANSLATION AVAILABLE in overflow] ● UAE dialogue - do not want it to turn into small GST ● NCQG - responsibility belongs to developed countries ● MWP - we are satisfied the decision is within mandate ● [missed comments on GST and at beginning]
Malawi obo LDCs	● Aligns with statement made by G77 + China, AOSIS, and the African Group ● Remains committed to a finance goal that speaks to the needs of developing countries ○ Goal: 220 billion USD annually ■ Does not agree with suggestions [] of the inclusion of a floor ○ NCQG should include special consideration for LDCs, with Loss and Damage being a part of those considerations ■ Emphasize urgent need to define climate finance ● GST ○ UAE Dialogue must focus on all GST outcomes ● MWP ○ Must reflect 1.5C warming goal, current NDCs are not enough to achieve this goal ○ MWP must provide guidance [missed] how GST can inform NDCs

	• Appeals to innocent lives suffering at the hands of climate change, namely in Africa • Just Transition ◦ [missed] • Article 6.2/6.4 ◦ 6.2 is well structured, can move forward ◦ Support ambitious text • Negotiations cannot be extended beyond tomorrow, which means COP29 must concluded on time
Denmark	• Fully in line with the interventions suggested by EU ◦ Want to see Carbon markets reflected that are fair, in line w 1.5C goal ◦ Disappointed in backtracking on our key asks • Came here to see full transparency , which is not present ◦ Progress made on substance is Undermined ◦ Cannot accept text as it is but willing to continue working on it
COP29 Lead Neg	• We have a large number of speakers on the queue, we ask you limit your statements to 3 minutes
Norway	• We must build on what we have from Dubai • NCQG ◦ Instruments are inconsistent w both options for goal formulation ■ Without clarity of what these goals are, it is hard to talk about numbers ■ We cannot agree to this text as it is ◦ The text is much too long, we would like to see a doc that is cut in half and streamlined • Mitigation ◦ Elements missing ◦ Work on ways to triple renewables, phase out fossil fuels, halting deforestation, [etc, missed others] ◦ We need 3 things ■ Commitment ■ Integration, must be concrete on how we are implementing the GST in all our work ■ Action, this is an enabling COP, must be more than a piece of paper • We welcome human rights language in the text, it is important to contain language on indigenous rights, women's rights, children's rights
Switzerland obo EIG	• Concerned that text is not producing the outcomes Parties should strive for • NCQG ◦ Sees elements crossing various red lines, with it not working for either developed or developing countries represented in EIG we don't see it working at all

	○ Should consider the GST outcomes ● Mitigation ○ Requires stronger ambition to keep 1.5 C temperature reduction ○ Reflect GST , including P 28 on energy transition ● [missed point] ● UAE Dialogue ○ Concerned that it does not fully implement the GST outcomes ○ Sufficient time must be allocated for all Parties to negotiate ○ Would like new submissions ● Article 6 ○ Mitigation outcomes for education purposes must be reworked within the market mechanism ■ Section 1 should not return ■ Par. 8 must provide more clarity ● Change to shall rather than should ● Gender ○ [Good, but would like to see] mention of human right to clean and habitable environment ● Response Measures ○ Requires more work to display all Parties' views ○ High-Level Champions have not been as involved as they should have
United States	● Critical COP adopts strong decision across all agenda issues ● Underscore that the treatment of mitigation in current drafts is absolutely unacceptable ○ No work carried forward from last year's agreed upon decisions ○ Must reaffirm, strengthen, and build on Dubai's outcomes on NDCs, [missed] ● NCQG ○ Appreciate streamlining, recognize this is transition text and not presidency proposal ○ Find it unbalanced ○ With respect to goal itself our position is not reflected , all parties must be accountable ■ this is an essential part of package ● Article 6 ○ Will provide comments to presidency in consultations ● Want balanced, ambitious, product we can all be proud of

United Kingdom	• We are in a critical point in this COP, we need an ambitious agreement on mitigation and finance for a balanced outcome in the COP • The text does not reach balance • Mitigation ◦ Standing still is retreat, the world will judge us very harshly ◦ We must set ambitious NDCS in line with 1.5, the text does not reflect this goal ◦ We need a 1.5 roadmap that includes the [] in the GST • Option 1 or 2 does not meet the needs of the developing and developed countries ◦ Text on adaptation is [not acceptable at this point]
Colombia	• Aligns with G77 + China and AILAC • There needs to be a publicly based provision from developed countries under Article 9.1/9.3 • Finance cannot be given up on because developing countries simply cannot cope ◦ Appeal to lived experiences of Chileans, mention of mass flooding after CBD COP- who is going to pay for this damage • Proposes that under Article 9.3, a series of options worked by the Parties that be utilized to close the gap and reach the needed USD 1.3 trillion • Private finances, turned to public finance, can be aptly used to meet the financial goals of this COP • The problem with coming to an agreement is that geopolitical concerns are hampering negotiations • Calls out many countries, regardless of their development status, as needing finance ◦ Don't put geopolitics ahead of lives lost to climate change • Accepts the gender text, noting it could be better • Accepts the Article 6 text, noting it could be better • Core goal of this COP not addressed ◦ implores parties to set geopolitics aside • [applause in room & overflow room]
Chile	• Aligns with G77+ China and AILAC • Mitigation ◦ Area that requires clarity, MWP text is unfortunately business as usual ◦ [missed] • Article 6 ◦ Looking forward to achieving high ambition outcomes ◦ Looks to sends signals to other countries to also be ambitious

	● GST ○ All GST outcomes must be followed up on ○ Focus on finance and MOI, dialogue must serve as an instrument to follow up on GST outcomes ○ Align with 1.5 pathway in GST outcomes ○ Seem to have forgotten UAE consensus which should be basis in moving forward ● GGA ○ Appreciate work done ○ Must include clear reference to MOI ○ Support inclusion on human rights and gender consideration ● Gender ○ COP29 must extend language agreed on in Lima ○ Cannot backtrack on previous progress ○ [?must have focus on intersectionality?] ● NCQG ○ No reference to quantum, which is central to ambition ○ New ideas may carry some value but cannot be discussed within current timeframe ○ Must not interfere with other processes outside of [missed] ○ Not up to level of urgency we're facing ○ Need to see ambition at the center, which we do not see in current text
Panama	● Aligns intervention with G77+China, AILAC, and cfrn ● We are failing planet and people, ○ Emissions have more than doubled, we are on the pathway to assassinate half of all living creatures ● We are facing one of the wickedest texts on mitigation to date ○ Mitigation is not just about adopting renewable energies, it is fundamentally about phasing out fossil fuels ○ To make matters even worse, after 3 years of negs, our developed countries have yet to put up a proposal for us to neg ○ We have been to all of these places [Baku, Germany, Poland, Dubai] a million times, where is the next COP, Mars? ○ Do we need to go to outer space to get a quantitative number on finance ○ Sadly mars is years away and we only have hours to get to this decision ○ This lack of transparency feels like a slap in the face to the most vulnerable ● Panama's proposal ○ We will not allow a renege of the Paris Proposal ○ [second point, missed] ○ Developed countries must stop playing games with our lives, put a serious quantified goal on the line

	■ Put forward a number that [?meets the needs of developing countries like Panama suffering?] ■ Why should Panama take out loans [?to meet lost revenue of Panama Canal due to drought?] ■ Why should any developing countries take out loans on adaptation ○ We have heard that our proposal is extreme, and unreasonable but let me tell you what is unreasonable ■ Spending 2.3 billion in wars while not being willing to spend less than half of that to save lives ■ Investing trillions in fossil fuel subsidies ■ My people have spent months underwater, some of my neighbors and family have lost their livelihoods, yet our developed country colleagues expect us to cover our adaptation costs in loans ○ This is about saving lives, Mr. President, 1.5 is not a choice, it is a moral obligation and for my people it is a lifeline ■ To get there we need a number from the developed countries ● Let's stop this circus, it is time to get serious [applause in meeting room & overflow room]
Fiji	● Aligns with statement made by AOSIS ● Expresses concern about the shortcomings of the mitigation text ○ It cannot be allowed that commitments from COP28 be rolled back ○ The first GST is critical to mitigation work ● NCQG ○ The text could become a meaningful outcome, but more work is needed ■ Quantum is a priority to make it actionable ● Adaptation ○ GGA remains a priority for the future, with adaptation being essential to all climate action ○ It must protect and promote wellbeing, with it being essential that the next text reflects transformational adaptation ● Article 6 ○ Text is incomplete, with, for example, shared proceeds needing further discussion ○ Vulnerable countries like SIDS are need to be able to cancel OMGEs with cooperative approaches ○ 1.5 C must always be made attainable by the text ● Time is running out, and Parties must produce final texts and come to an agreement. Anything short of this would be injurious to global climate efforts

Suriname obo AOSIS	• Reemphasizes that the SOP and OMGE across Article 6.2/6.4 remains a necessity, and columns for both must be made ◦ Annual reporting is an essential part of Article 6, noting that it is not punitive nor mandatory, but rather productive of how effective climate finance mechanism operate ◦ Share of proceeds is a point spotlighted for its capacity to support vulnerable countries like SIDS • NCQG ◦ Must prioritize the ability of developing countries to respond to climate change and its adverse effects ◦ Predictable and accessible flows of finances are needed in the goal ◦ The adaptation fund should be included under this goal • Calls on all Parties to be cooperative
-----------------------	--

Indonesia	• [missed a lot here] • UAE dialogue need to be focused on provisions of financing and technology • A6: value advancement on 6.2 and 6.4 and looks forward to more • COP29 is pivotal; we affirm our commitment to tackle both mitigation and adaptation
Canada	• Aligns w/ Umbrella group statement • Came here to deliver strong outcomes; must show the world we can • Need transformative climate finance goal; texts today bring us backward • Presidency needs to put forward text proposals • MWP: misses the mark; decisions need ambitious NDCs in line with 1.5 degree and based on best available science • NCQG: deeply disappointed; current iteration is imbalance; text does not reflect discussions we have had over the last three years; does not include compromises of last few days ◦ Ready for serious discussion on quantum when we can agree beforehand on the sources of financing • GGA: does not reflect urgency of action • JT: workable text, but a number of contentious items, but these is good so far • Gender: a text that brings us back ten years is unacceptable
Marshall Islands	• Aligns with Samoa obo AOSIS • Cannot play geopolitics with the lives of our citizens • Need ambitious finance goal; this package fails the people of Marshall Islands • We already agreed to transition away from fossil fuels and subsidies • One trillion dollar goal is not unreasonable; we give that much to fossil fuels currently

	• NCQG is outline of a process, but we need a quantum ◦ Keep the paragraphs on access though ◦ Finance that reduces fossil fuels should not count toward NCQG ◦ Marshall Islands need billions of dollars; obviously more than a trillion is needed for everyone ◦ Text neither secures 1.5 nor gives finance for living in a 2.7 world
Saudi Arabia obo Arab Group	• Align with G77/China • Disappointed with text: ◦ Option 2 shifts historical responsibilities and is beyond Paris Agreement ◦ Option 1 needs at least 500 billion USD ◦ Final decision must be short ◦ We will not accept a text that goes after fossil fuels ◦ [something about how human rights issues in NCQG are irrelevant and unacceptable] ◦ [something about GST, etc; speaking very fast] ◦ Reject paragraph 6 ◦ Work plan needs to be actionable ◦ Paragraph 1b of Article 6.2 needs to be fixed ◦ On JTWP: core of JT is critical means of implementation ■ Should be bottom up and non-punitive ◦ On MWP: ■ Committed to consensus ■ Not relevant to link to GST; this aspect should be deleted ■ Short simple preamble preferred ■ Option 1 is best and we definitely cannot do option 2
Zimbabwe	• Thank you • Align with G77/China and AGN • Current texts lack precision and clarity • We need additional time to review • Paragraph 25 of NCQG: should be revised to reflect gender • We reject language of paras 20, 21, and 25 • [pretty hard to figure out which texts she is referring to] • JT transition original text should be retained • Cannot [something on gender]
China	• [No translation available on webcast]
[?]	• NCQG is responsibility of developed countries • MWP is not mandated to be a top-down manner
Tuvalu	• Aligns with AOSIS • Baku should not break our momentum
South Africa	• Aligns with Kenya obo AGN

	• Several paragraphs missing • NCQG: ◦ Welcome president's efforts; concerned that there is no quantum ◦ ETF is basis for reporting • MWP: option 2 is cherry picking and goes beyond mandate • A6: very disappointing that our recommendations have not been taken up ◦ [Super technical stuff on various Ps in A6 that I did not catch] ◦ Supports establishment of IPCC task force
Malaysia	• NCQG must reflect CBDR • Cannot accept anything that shifts responsibilities • [talked for almost 20 min]
Maldives	• Aligns with AOSIS • [missed almost all of this]
Kazakhstan	• [missed all of this]
Barbados	• [missed all of this]
Bangladesh	• Thinks option 1 of NCQG is still weak bc no reference to LDCs • No alternative sources of finance; should be only grants
Sweden	• Aligns w/ EU
Sierra Leone	[missed all of this]
Costa Rica	• Aligns itself with G77 & China, and AILAC • Needs a NCQG that aligns itself with [the goals of the PA] • We have 6 years to achieve the goal off 1.5 • In the NCQG text, we wish to emphasize the importance of p.7 ◦ This para most explicitly references the PA to ensure the funds reach the AF • It is imperative to focus on bridging the opposing views of the parties
HOLY SEE	• States must be ambitious, we must look beyond national interests to prioritize the common good • The NCQG should also include clear goals for Loss and Damage and AF and mitigation • Loss and Damage should not be overlooked, the text overlooks the full extent of the needs of developing countries from climate impacts • [missed point] • Mr. President, during the summit of [], Pope Francis recognizes that the climate impacts are disproportionately felt by women and children, yet women are not simply victims of climate change but [missed] for adaptation • In the final days of COP29, we call all parties to put aside national interests, towards the common good

France	● France aligns itself with the EU ● It is vital that we reach agreement at this COP to keep the PA whole and stable ● All COPS need to strike and reflect a balance, between the parties and the topics, between the mitigation, adaptation, and means of implementation ● We are deeply troubled by the lack of progress in the texts submitted thus far ● We took one ● Mitigation, we need to take all action to achieve goal of 1.5 ● It is important that the work under the MWP and the UAE take goals from the GST and are ambitious ● To achieve a goal of collective financing, ● We will pay our part, we financed [] of climate financing to developing countries ● Women are the first victims of climate change, and bear the brunt, it is vital to have them centered [as an issue of human rights]
SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	● We align with AOSIS ● NCQG ○ We must walk away with a collective decision ○ There is still no clear quantum on finance ○ How are we to make headway if there is no number submitted ○ For the caribbean, we cannot accept a [missed] ○ The total of the investment goal is not viable for SIDS ○ Option 2 is not an option ○ An option that relies on private sector to pick up the bill further disadvantages SIDS ○ A goal to move forward that does not take in SIDS ○ Option 1 must be the foundation of the new goal ○ For the carbine, we can struggled with access to finance ○ In the context of SIDS, we are the smallest contributors to emissions, yet struggle to find the financing ○ We must address access enhancements
India	● We align with Bolivia on behalf of LMDC ● We feel disappointed by the fact that we continue to shift focus on mitigation ambitions and finance ● We keep talking about what is to be done rather than how it will be done ● We cannot accept any attempts to shift the focus on mitigation and not finance ● What we decide here on climate finance, will affect what we submit next year ● This is the time for balance in the climate discourse ● We will continue to have talk on mitigation that has no meaning ● NCQG ○ Finance is the most critical enabler ○ Action will get severely impacted without adequate steps on implementation

	○ The text needs to be specific on implementation ○ 1.3 US dollars should be the goal ○ Expansion on the contributor base are all contrary to the goal ○ P. 8, do not meet mandate of the NCQG ● Mitigation ○ The scope is changed in the draft text ○ Delete in the preamble “CMA/5” ○ Add, recalling article [missed] ○ The emissions of Annex 1 countries are increasing ○ We urge to streamline para. 3 and 4 ● Just transition ○ We cannot accept any further neg ○ The current text has many paragraphs that work the same ○ Just transitions begin globally with developed countries providing the means for transition for developing countries ○ We cannot accept these paragraphs [missed] ● We do not agree to a follow up on GST outcomes ● [missed several points after] We would like to reiterate this is the finance COP
Palau	● We align ourselves with AOSIS ● Mitigation ○ The world is dangerously off track, we need strong goals on mitigation ○ The current text does not meet these standards ○ We need to build on the 1.5 synthesis report ● NCQG ○ We are disappointed on the lack of response from developed countries ○ We align with Bolivia ○ Must be grounded on a number ○ If we do not get an ambitious number, it will impact our NDCs ○ The needs of SIDS must be prioritized and should not remain in brackets ○ SIDS currently receive less than 2% of climate finance and this must be addressed ● Article 6 ○ We would like to reinforce for SOP and OMG to be highlighted [missed] in 6.4 ○ [missed point] ○ Must standardize an agenda item on the GGA [missed] ● Time is running out, equity, ambition, and action must outline our next steps
Vanuatu	● Align our comments with AOSIS ● Frustration with the process to date with advancements of the text on finance and mitigation goals ● Last year we agreed on a finance goal in line with our mitigation goals of 1.5 degrees ● Must reference GST mitigation outcomes in MWP

	● NCQG - must be a tool to keep 1.5 alive ○ Must have explicit provision on SIDS special circumstances per PA ○ Must ensure SIDS are able to access sufficient and predictable Clifi ○ Must be a minimum allocation floor for SIDS - NCQG - should be solely grant and concessional based ● We must see agreement to advance mitigation outcomes we have already agreed to.
Gambia	● Aligns with statement on behalf of G77, AG, LDC ● On behalf of the LDC, we want to express our disappointment on the text regarding mitigation ● [missed point] ● The GGA framework cannot exit in [missed], it needs to link to other finance goals ● MWP, we see no continuation of indicators ○ The focus must be focused on filling in the gaps, creating new indicators ○ It is unclear why this critical step has not been taken ○ It needs to be included to reflect our priorities ○ Strongly believe it must remain ○ P.38, streamline text ● Adaptation ○ We have willingness to engage and flexibility ○ Despite argument, this willingness has been ignored ○ We call for a stronger outcome that is inclusive our ambitions ○ We stand strong for advancements that will prioritize those who are most vulnerable
Angola	● Align AGN ● AGN wrt Mitigation ○ Raise a concern about the mandate ○ The existing dialogue has been great for africa ○ Not right to use MWP to place high level political messages and GST elements within the MWP. ○ Efforts to transform the MWP into a place to set targets is not consistent ○ Places additional burdens on Africa - where we already have ambitious NDCs
Ethiopia	● Endorse AG, statement made by Kenya ● Addresses concern the ambitious goals are not being expressed in the text that are in line with the current state of the climate crisis ● The urgency of the climate crisis has not been sufficiently expressed ● We need a decision on climate finance, in the NCQG, largely in grant forms, in 3 million+ ● Adaptation remains our top priority, we must have financing ● We recognize progress made on article 6.2 and 6.4 ○ Finalizing these options for financing and technologies helps us

	keep the momentum from Dubai
Russian Federation	• Welcome first GST • Some parties expect us to revise UAE consensus • UAE dialogues facilitate collective progress in implementing outcomes of the first GST. ◦ See divergent views on scope of dialogue ◦ Hope for abridging decision ◦ It is unacceptable for us to break the consensus ◦ We will not welcome selective approach to specific GST para • Re mitigation outcome - need to work together for acceptable proactive solutions. ◦ MWP is not a place to set new targets. • The NCQG is crucial - but we will fall short if we try to negotiate PA • Equally important is an open economic system - free flow of capital and technologies • Issue of unilateral trade measures must be addressed in decision text • Article 6 - need to ensure operationalisation of international markets • Need a decision to focus on unresolved tech issues. • Adaptation - crucially important. Support work under separate agenda items under adaptation. While CC is global - adaptation is local. Why it is important to include indicators that address most vulnerable areas.
Senegal	• Align with Uganda, G77, and AGN, and LDC • Waiting for a quantum • 1.3tn annual, special allocations for LDCs in form of grants • Must be from public provisions • Our developing economies are suffering and it is not our fault • Need definition of climate finance; difficult to track w/o framework • [something about fund for LDCs lacking in NQCG] • Support insertion of text addition of carbon markets [wrt to NQCG or MWP; I am not sure] • Do not support transformational adaptation • [speaking fast, in French]
Israel	• We cannot take two steps forward and one step back • The outcomes of last COP should reflect this year's countries' NDCs • We do not believe the text furthers mitigation, it must be strengthened ◦ Need to populate MWP with real mitigation • The GST must take the latest IPCC reports to do its work • Building on last years mitigation outcomes and GST, para. 28 and 33, the current text is too weak • We need substantially larger financial contribution to deal with climate change ◦ A broader contributor base is required ◦ We are saying this as a non-Annex 1 country
Republic of Korea	• Aligns with Switzerland obo EIC • NCQG ◦ Believes text is overly lengthy

	○ Focus should be on quantum, sources, and timeframe ○ Elements that restrict national politics may upset Parties ○ Must recognize that perfect solution is unattainable ○ A balance compromise is necessary to move forward ○ Failure to succeed will send a negative message to the global community
Nepal	● Aligns with G77 and China, LDC, Bangladesh ● We must demonstrate that we are serious about addressing the climate crisis and addressing the needs of the most vulnerable ○ Experiencing devastating effects in nepal; this precedes our arrival in Baku ● Advocates for an ambitious finance goal ● NCQG- need to ensure the number that supports developing countries and is accessible ○ Must include finance for Loss and Damage ○ Strong commitment to reducing emissions; required for 1.5 degree goal ○ Text must reflect finance for adaptation, mitigation, and I and d ● Need to establish a clear definition on climate finance ● Must implement the outcomes of GST with an emphasis on fiance ● The MWP remains essential to ensure the GST outcomes remain implemented ● On gender, we need clear decisions [missed] ○ Just transition = accounting for gender ● [missed point]
Brazil	● Science is clear ● COP28 we achieved excellent progress on mitigation, wrt to certain paragraphs of text ● Here in Baku we need significant achievements ● NCQG: 1.5 goal is imperative, reflects what science says is necessary ● Trillions of USD required, despite the challenging nature of raising funds ● 1.3tn USD should be guiding star here in Baku ● Brazil's NDC is highly ambitious, aligned with 1.5 ● We need everyone to be just as ambitious but also need equivalent alignment in financing ● This is the COP where we are supposed to get that ● Need process to measure financing keeping up with need ● Text has seen progress in adaptation and Article 6 ● Need progress on financing ● Current development models are unsustainable; has brought us problems
Mexico	● Aligns with statement from Switzerland obo EIC ● Wishes to leave COP29 with results that reflect the needs of developing countries ● As emerging economy, Mexico assumes its role in decarbonization

	• Pays tribute to the efforts undertaken and hope to leave Bkav with climate finance goal in hand that reflects the scale and magnitude of need • Need massive international mobilization • Quantum, (or amount), needs to strike a balance [missed point] • NCQG must consider adaptation, mitigation, and loss and damage ○ Must respond to women, Indigenous Peoples, and those community most vulnerable to climate change ○ Must not rely on resources from developing countries, instead from developed countries ○ Mechanisms must be transparent in nature • Adaptation ○ Must respect language for human rights in reference to gender, equity, and national status
COP29 Lead Negotiator	• Will reconvene with the consideration of parties' input and suggestions • Will reach out to groups to schedule one on one consultations • As co-facilitators to also continue their consultations with negotiators • Finish line is in sight but significant work remains to be done in closing hours ○ Implores parties to find common ground, commits to do their utmost as COP29 host, but their success depends on parties ability to collaborate and support one another ○ Bridge gaps, foster consensus, and achieve meaningful commitment • Adjourns meeting at 17:48