

SBSTA 5 (a)/SBI 11(a) – Global Goal on Adaptation

Notes [Laila Darouich], darouich@perspectives.cc

Informal Consultations

Agenda Item	SBSTA 5 (a)/SBI 11(a)
Date	12.11.2024
Time	16:00 - 18:00
Chair(s)	Tina Kobilsek (Slovenia) and Lamin Dibba (Gambia)
Dynamic	Respectful but highly diverging views
Key outcomes of session/Progress achieved during session	• Agreement to focus first on UAE Belém WP and Paragraph 38, with transformational adaptation deferred to next sessions. Parties largely supported discussing these items separately for better structure. • Strong consensus on the need for clear guidance for expert groups, with deliverables and timelines emphasized. Experts are to present progress reports four to six weeks before SB62 for further review by Parties. Some Parties emphasized that final set of indicators is to be decided by Parties not experts. • Diverging views on the nature of set of 'primary' indicators: some Parties want globally applicable, aggregable indicators, others want context specific ones • Means of Implementation (MOI) indicators were debated, with some Parties advocating inclusion (developing) while others (developed) argued they were out of scope. • The co-facilitators outlined next steps, proposing three additional slots to continue discussions with Paragraph 38 being prioritized next.

Introduction

Cofacilitator [Name]

- Looking forward to constructive session
- Negs need to be in respective manner
- All informal consultations to be open to observers – any objections? None
- A lot of work has taken place since Bonn
- In Bonn we agreed on modalities for uae belem wp
- AC report + SB chairs report
- Expert groups convened
- Started mapping and refinement work, workshop report published on Nov 9th
- Sb60 initiated discussions on To be discussed at SB61
- Any further deliberations under UAE Belem WP and/or CMA5 decision

Interventions

GROUP/ COUNTRY	NAME (IF POSSIBLE)	INTERVENTION
Sri Lanka obo G77+China		- Point of order. para 38. enable sufficient time to discuss these two topics separately, equal time needed for both - First hour of this meeting for UAE Belem - Second hour on Para 38
EU	•	- Thanks for outlining the approach for this session - We have 3 topics: UAE Belem, para 38, transformational adaptation
SA obo Arab group	•	- We agree with G77 - No need to discuss transformational adaptation paper, difficult paper, not ready to talk about it
Japan	•	- To give guidance for further work under UAE Belem WP - P46 – transformational adaptation should take place
Canada	•	- Respond to EU wrt transformational adaptation: we agree - Comment on all 3 issues at the same time not consecutively to be more time efficient
China obo LMDC	•	- Align with G77+China - Echo what was mentioned by Arab Group - Difficult to discuss all together - For para 38, the sub paras are of different natures - Very limited time to review the TA report - Topic one by one, first para 38, UAE belem, transformational adap
Co-facilitator	•	- First Belem, then Para 38 - We propose to focus on these two issues in this session, but we will talk about TA in next sessions
LDC	•	- Annoying that we spend so much time on discussing what do discuss - We want approach proposed by cofacilitator
African Group	•	- Start with Belem - Support Arab group: not talk about TA
Russia	•	Echo African group
US	•	- We need to get the work on UAE Belem WP right here in Baku - We thank experts for all their work, huge work - What is the path forward for the WP. - Elements we want to see in the draft text: - Thank experts - Product we expect from experts at SB61, expectations - Actions for experts to take get there

		○ Is there a way we apply the set of criteria in SB60 decision in para 12 differently to different themes?
G77+ China	•	• Focus on urgent need to guidance to experts • Guidance should provide: final deliverables.... • Way forward on para 38 • Text should structure around these two areas
turkey	•	• No time to look at TA report • Ensure that indicators are inclusive, science based, measurable
Panama obo AILAC	•	• Align with G77+China • Appreciation work by experts • Thanks to Egypt for workshop • importance to continue involvement of experts • experts should make recommendations on set of indicators • parties can provide further recommendations • collaboration between expert groups is important • final package: one headline indicator for each target, complimentary indicators • to reduce time to map and revise indicators: prioritize indicators that can be already measured..... • MOI indicators need to be included • Clear timeframe for output for work of experts
Grupo SUR	•	• Align with G77+China • Gratitude to expert groups • Thank secretariat for report, helps to understand how expert groups looked at their theme • Expected outcome: mandatory indicators, 5 indicator per target, 55 indicators in total, include MOI targets, all levels (input, output, outcome, process) • Request experts to reduce the list of indicators ○ Only adaptation specific indicators ○ MOI indicators (input and output) ○ Elements of the targets • Spreadsheet for each indicator, by May 1st • Parties to make comments for SB62 • Data availability, clarity of methodology associated with indicators are important criteria • Unified set of criteria to select indicators
Samoa obo AOSIS	•	• Thank Azerbaijan, experts and secretariat • Align with g77+china • Key priority UAE Belem WP in Baku • Understand the need for guidance heard in workshop in October • Mandate: develop indicators where needed • Prioritize indicators that are already reported on • Experts have the authority to develop new indicators • Nature of the final package of indicators: ○ All indicators should be aggregable

		○ Number should be reduced ○ Indicators that speak to several targets ○ Output and outcome indicators ○ General indicators that are open to national interpretation ○ Not prescriptive ○ No additional reporting burden ● Expert groups should have common approach ● Timeline for rest of work: experts should finalize mapping, develop new indicators, list indicator options, experts should present progress report, 4 weeks prior to SB62, parties to consider at SB62, Parties to formulate recommendation before last workshop, final set of indicators at COP30
LDC	●	● Align with G77+china ● Thank secretariat, appreciate work to select experts, grateful to experts, recognize the high amount of indicators submitted ● Thanks to Egypt for hosting workshop ● Reiterate sb60 decision para 40: refine indicators and develop new indicator ● Expert highlighted need for better coordination ● Un agencies or others to host meetings for expert groups ● Not working in silos, overlapping indicators in themes ● Future work modalities should include APC indicators in themes, so that all themes have indicators around the APC ● Request prioritize reduce number of indicators ● ● Balance between outcome and outputs ● Production of text, then inf infs
AGN	●	● Align with G77+China ● Structure of decision should cover following elements: ● 1. Welcoming the workshop report and highlighting the need for further guidance ● 2. Guidance (number of indicators per target, inclusion of MOI indicators,..) ● When should the final report submitted to SBs ● Invitations for parties to make comments before SB62 ● Experts give recommendations, Parties will decide on final set of indicators ● Indicators should be global, local relevance ● Indicator should be aggregable ● Indicators should comprehensiveness ● ● Report by experts to be submitted 4 to 6 weeks before SB62, parties to make submissions ● No African experts in several groups, regional balance needed

EU	•	• Reflections on UAE Belem WP since Bonn: thank everyone for their work • Workshop report annex is good • Progress reports from expert groups have not been submitted to parties • Request information prepared by experts to be shared on website • Final outcome of WP: a. correspond to .. b. contain concise set of indicators, c. contribute to sources of input of future GSTs • No MOI targets, only targets of the framework • MOI indicators are part of ETF
Indonesia	•	• Thank experts, • Some experts are global other national • Use of indicators from various conventions make it easier to... •
UK	•	• Thank everyone • 3 key questions that parties need to think about: purpose of indicators, what will final outcome look like, what we do want to decide at this COP • On purpose: implementing targets • Final outcome: indicators need to be meaningful, context specificity • At COP: systematic approach across expert groups, explore synergies, further review of indicators to get more manageable number, sort by most relevant indicators, feasibility of indicators • MOI: we can discuss how experts can include this in their work
Arab Group	•	• Thank everyone • Indicators must align with 7.1 • Geographically balanced, context specific, consider national circumstances • Expert require guidance • Concern about lack of common approach among groups • SB chairs provide clear and technical advice on approach • Experts need to engage in person, more time needed, request for additional workshop, in person, between SB61 and SB62. • Two main outcomes: ○ set of global indicators aim at monitoring progress of the GGA, aggregated ○ Menu of options: more specific indicators, all adaptation indicators that are relevant
Japan	•	• Thank everyone • Targets set under the UAE framework: country driven, taken into account national circumstances • List of indicators: should be customizable

		• Guidance on how to use indicators • Oppose global indicators, all regional and countries have their own indicators for adaptation • Impossible to aggregate • MOI: framework is for all indicators, MOI should not be included in UAE Belem WP • Framework was agreed in Dubai, respect the decision
Turkey	•	• Experts have not been equipped with work modalities • Indicators to stay broad, global relevance
Mexico obo EIG	•	• Thanks to everyone • Missed the rest
LMDC	•	• A lot of challenges and barriers for expert to continue to work • Guidance needed • MOI indicators need to be included, balance between action and support • Party driven approach, how to be implemented by expert groups, 3 categories: ind provided by experts = primary indicators, ind by un agencies as supplementary •
Canada	•	• Provide additional guidance to experts • Interest to see report of work done by experts done today • Agree with EU that MOI are out of scope • Global level indicators should be applicable to all countries • Inf infs would be good way forward
Egypt	•	• Further MOI indicators • Global in nature but with local relevance • Expert should include work 6 week ahead of sb62 • Parties should decide on final list of indicators
India	•	• Adaptation is priority of india • Support G77 and LMDC • Current list of indicators does not include MOI, need to have a process in place to fill the gap
Russia	•	• Agree on Expected result • • Transparency of end result, parties should have the possibility to have a look at preliminary results
South Africa	•	• Report came very late • Clear that guidance is needed • Expected outcome: we are looking for a report with focus on adaptation specific indicators that are global in nature • Submission of final report prior to sb62 • Party driven process to develop final set of indicators • How many sessions do we have for UAE BELEM and for Para 38 and how are they structure them, how to ensure that there is balance

New Zealand	•	• Missed intervention
Kyrgyzstan	•	• Missed intervention
Co-facilitator	•	• We can continue until 7 pm if parties want • 3 more slots this week • We can start with para 38 in beginning of next session
South africa	•	• How to structure the 3 slots?
Co facilitor	•	• 1 hour slots • Para 38, next session
G77+China	•	• Few groups are in daily coordination meeting • Clarity on 3 more slots
Co facilitor	•	• This room is not available from 7-8. NAPs negs are scheduled, we don't have another time slot today • 3 more slots, for 2 of them we can extend from 1 hour to 2 .
G77+China	•	• We can convene tomorrow and start with P.38
	•	• Keep you informed about next slot