

CMA 11(g) Matters Relating to the Adaptation Fund 13-11-2024

15:45 - 16:00

Room 12:

Co-chair:

The first item is to produce a draft text for consideration at the next meeting. In the interest of time we propose that we take your views expressed under the CMP into consideration under the CMA text. We open the floor to views specific to the CMA.

African Group:

I don't like to make a point of order but I had my flag up before you changed agenda items. I don't want to break the rhythm, so could I respond to that motion after you respond to this matter?

Co-chair:

We open the floor and we ask for parties not to repeat statements from the previous session. I just want to assure AGN that we will come back to you to address your concern after.

US:

The US would like to welcome the report produced by the board. In particular the locally lead adaptation facility, and the continued good health of the fund. We would like to encourage the board to accelerate progress on the sexual exploitation and harassment policy, on the environmental policy, and on the complementarity policy. We are disappointed in the lack of transition toward the AF being exclusively under the CMA given that we expected funding from 6.4 imminently.

Canada:

Welcome the report. We want to highlight some unmentioned guidance, we support coherence, access and complementarity interventions. Fund should be more gender responsive, and we should reflect on the national meeting on this. Women, girls and other underrepresented (including indigenous) voices are affected. We urge the board to complete work on exclusively serving the CMA.

Arab Group:

The board is doing all that it can from our perspective. As in our previous intervention, the share of proceeds from A6 have yet to become available. The transition has not been triggered and finalised therefore. We can only transition to under CMA once those funds become available. We do not support insinuating that the board is not doing what it should, they have done the preparation they can and it is down to when the funds become available. We would not be comfortable with pre-judgement of article 6 discussions of proceeds.

UK:

We strongly support the AF work. We agree with notes on the locally lead approach. We echo concerns on sexual exploitation as this guidance was provided 2 years ago. As we will soon see the funds under 6.4 become available we welcome the development of text in line with that.

Bangladesh:

We echo Nepal, adaptation fund is dear to us. For a long time we have seen the board taking funding targets of 300-400 million, for 160 countries this is not even a peanut. AF could be a wonderful channel for adaptation, in that case funds should be ambitiously raised. Also, the AF has decided to increase the funding to NIE, as this is not operationalised yet the activities of the fund will remain more generalised.

African Group:

The fund does good work with limited resources, this matter must be resolved whilst we wait for SOPs to become available. There is no common understanding of what it means when SOPs available. Developed countries are arguing that they are committed, but we require the money to be in the bank. We have other issues that need to be resolved, such as the rule of procedure before this is finalised. It is far too early to do this at this conference under the CMA and CMP. We don't have certainty that proceeds are in fact available. We caution decision language on this matter pre-judging issues.

Co-chair:

Seeing no other flags, I hand back to the other co-chair.

Kuwait:

We would like to re-emphasize the statement made on the transition. As the Arab Group mentioned, we are happy with what was submitted and we would like to hear the boards point of view on that.

Co-chair:

On the matter of the previous AGN Point of order

AGN:

I don't like making a point of order but I had my flag up during your summation. The problem with these rooms is that everyone looks that way and not this way. It is really hard to look everywhere. I don't want to make a big case of it. I had requested further information and you did not respond to that. I will give you a mandate but I wanted clarification on those issues. If there is information relating to the trustees, I can't find information on the website but there is reference to a confidential document. We will be hard to decide on CMP trustee related matters without seeing that, I will contact you on how I can get information about that.

Co-chair:

We are just checking how to do this. We give the floor to the secretariat, and may contact you later with further information.

Secretariat:

The draft of the new T&Cs prepared by the WB was confidential. The work is ongoing. The draft contains the ongoing work, the WB and trustee specifically requested it remain confidential and is still progressing.

AGN:

Thank you for asking the secretariat to tell me what I already knew. Right now this body is the authority and we have lots of questions. I shouldn't say this, if I have these questions

many others do. I won't say anything else or WB will shout at me. It is difficult for us to give a mandate without this. It is our right as parties to see clarification on the trustee issues.

Kuwait:

I just want to highlight that in the manner raised by the AGN. We understand the perspective of the officials. There is a transparency issue and we want to understand how it has been created. When will this secrecy be extended until? When the document is finalised will we know its contents? There is a transparency issue. We want clarity on how we will mandate this one.

Silent discussion between co-chairs and secretariat.

Co-chair:

In response to Kuwait, once the discussions between board and WB are concluded of course they will be open and transparent and in front of this group. Whilst discussions are ongoing they will remain confidential as we understand it. As for AGN, this is far as we can go now in this session at the moment. As regards this session I am moving on but we can engage bilaterally. We have a clear mandate from the presidency to produce a draft decision, if you do not like the draft you can do whatever you see fit with what is on the table. We will continue to produce a first draft on what we have heard and submissions before 8pm.

AGN:

Thanks. I was not asking for access to confidential document. This forum is the authority and signed the trustee agreement with WB. I have questions with trustee issues. I have spoken to WB, I have questions and am not asking for documents. These questions.

Co-chair:

I understand.

Kenya:

We are in full support of AGN inquiry. We need to see placeholder in text for enhance adequacy of the fund including doubling of finance.

Co-chair:

In see no more flags so we will close this session and proceed as we set out. We will reach out to AGN. This session is closed, but we invited written submissions.