

New collective quantified goal on climate finance (NCQG)

2nd meeting of the 2nd session of the ad hoc work programme (AHWP)

Date: 8 June 2024, 15:00-17:00, Room Genf

Notes prepared by: Eda Kosma, Center for Climate and Energy Solutions

NCQG Co-chairs	- Released a new text to Parties and observers on 7 June, though it is not exhaustive and has not status - Seeking views on guidance on how to further improve the text - Based on this meeting, we hope to know the topic for discussion at the next meeting [on 10 June]
Argentina obo G77+China	- Further work needed on the current text - See the need to move from conceptual ideas to concrete text in the next iteration of the text - How do the co-chairs see the way forward between here and Baku?
New Zealand	- New document is a step forward, but need to work on convergence. Can streamline the document further but now need to be willing to work on substance - See next version of text as not stripping away core positions of parties but removing paragraphs that are broad and other paras - Remove paras that are too broad (e.g. Para 137); where there are others that are more detailed (e.g. Para 447/448); statements of fact (e.g. Para 401) - Would like to see a new version for Monday
Samoa obo AOSIS	- SIDS see more inclusive process being important - Two bigger narratives have all taken all the air in the room - Developed countries pushing contributor base - Emerging economies who want to make sure that there is no realized prioritization for finance - But SIDS needs are most vulnerable - Text will not be readable if it contains ideas that are predicated on different understanding of different elements - Need to separate the paper by options
Russian Federation	- Proposal to streamline further by removing paras out of scope with the principles of the NCQG - Delete cross references to Paris Art 2 / Art 2.1c

	• Exclude paras on fossil fuels and paras on debt for climate swaps • Exclude any paras that reference a multilayered goal (like para 161-164, 175, and others)
Gambia obo LDCs	• Align with G77+China and AOSIS • Text still challenging to work with, too long • How do the co-chairs see the inclusion of mission options? • Shorter, better structured document could make engagement more productive. • Assume that we can continue to submit missing elements for inclusion
AILAC	• Align with G77+China • “Developing countries are being burned by the flame of indifference” as we are not responding to the urgency • NCQG is for developing countries • Want to see 2 headline figures – one provision and one mobilization • Quality of finance and access • New text is hard to read and see further opportunities for streamlining. Some of the sub-titles are confusing and need more clarity. • Want to see a new text with options during the SBs
Norway	• Helpful that preambular text is reorganized • Statements of facts can be removed / merged • Context section very long – in our understanding, the text should describe the environment at hand • Timeline is moved into structure, agree with this • Want to see a text that has one more step • Still want to discuss a multilayered approach and quality of finance • Monday would be good to come forward with areas of commonality, areas that need further discussion and understanding • See space for informals on Monday
Egypt obo AGN	• NCQG is still missing references to the quantum itself • In the streamlining, make sure that the quantum [quantitative] is there • No balance between the different sections, consider when streamlining • \$1.33 trillion put together by developing countries • The text is shifting into investment goals but this is not in the Paris Agreement • On transparency, the text is missing references to Art 9.5, which needs to be referenced in addition to the ETF • Submissions should focus on what is missing in the text

Australia	• On the new paper, can see many areas of commonality that we could try to draw out during the SBs, and we need to talk about substance while in Bonn. • Removal of statements for expectations generally, especially where it's already operationalized in other paras (e.g. para 34) • Context (para 15) could be deleted to reduce duplication further • Para 60, 61, 65 – all say the same thing • Some paras need to be reshuffled – para 215 • Don't see that we're at a place yet where we can transition to decision text, see it being more constructive to discuss the substance further before moving to the specific phrasing • Want to see new text before Monday
KSA obo AG	• Align with G77+China • Process points – 1) we need a larger room, 2) webcast is only on the UNFCCC website and not on YouTube. • Want to keep the recalling paras in the preamble • See several elements that were removed in the text that we would like reflected: ○ Delivering undelivered amounts of the \$100 billion for payment of arrears by developed countries ○ Timeframe of 5 years have been removed from the timeframe area and is only in the quantum ○ The single layer as an option has been removed • Provided the co-chairs ideas AG submission, please include • Give mandate to co-chairs to produce a new text • Context – combine elements on urgency of action, needs & priorities, and ambition • Qualitative elements should include dis-enablers. If they're only in the context section, they'll only be referenced, not operationalized. • Access should be a standalone option. • Development-climate nexus should be in the qualitative section • The \$100 billion section should not say "lessons learned" since that's only one part of the \$100 billion issue which will continue • Combine structure, timeframe, quantitative elements together as the topline goal with other options. • Delete how to determine the quantum • Move sources and instruments in the qualitative section • 2.1c should be in context section • Developing countries are not begging for money, there are obligations that are set out in the Convention and its Paris Agreement • No developed country has put forward a quantum, even for one that could be supported by everyone in the world.

Canada	• Agree with others, document is too long, others on streamlining for repetition and otherwise • Agree with AGN, helpful to have submissions on what's missing • Agree with AG, helpful to have a bigger room • Agree with points on urgency • Highlight areas that we agree on and areas that need more work • Ex of commonality: access, gender, indigenous peoples • Ex of areas of disagreement: beyond public finance there's still going to be a gap. Dealing with that gap needs to be discussed. Let's have bilaterals to this effect.
India obo LMDCs	• Streamlining urgency of action • Needs and priorities in para 75-76, collapse into one para. Same with paras on ambition. • Para 117-118 merge because of repetitions (but don't include intl financial architecture) • Section on multilayered approach needs to be restructured • Want to see all of the sections include a "no option" option • References to the multilayered approach, etc. are not within the remit of the NCQG
Cuba	• Paras that seek to shift financial burden to developing countries should be deleted. • NCQG should be in the context of poverty eradication and sustainable development • Recipient base and contributor base are not recognized under the Paris Agreement • Would prefer to see a single goal, not a multilayered one • Don't see Art 2.1c in this goal given the parallel process underway
UK	• Agree on the calls for more space • Want to move to engage with substance, want to see this at Bonn • Still see some duplication – para 39 NCQG outcome • Support calls to discuss areas of commonality • Preamble – concerned that the mandate debate is overshadowing further substantive dialogue
Kuwait	• Align with AG, G77+China • Want to see elements on good quality financial support

EU	• Agree that we need a bigger room • Still need more streamlining, addressing confusing subheadings, grouping certain paras • See space for progress in Bonn • Also curious about the way forward to Baku
South Africa	• See the NCQG linking ambitious action and implementation • NCQG should be focused on areas that are easy to track, inclusion of non-UNFCCC stakeholders will complicate the tracking • NCQG is not all of climate finance, just the developed country provision • Want to see \$1.3 trillion USD of publicly mobilized financed • NCQG is not voluntary, it is mandatory from developed to developing • Balance between sections need to be considered
Switzerland	• Agree with things said so far on streamlining • Agree with AGN that we should be engaging on the same texts. Also agree on their transparency thoughts on Art 9.5 and want to have a better explanation of that section • We don't want to go into discussion changing the headers • Agree with AG, bring the quantum and timeframe together • Not comfortable removing substantive elements yet • Looking forward to engaging Parties on these things • Want space for substantive discussion, but don't want to go para by para, just want to engage on the actual substance • Want to talk about certain nuance – most vulnerable and most ambitious. Want to understand each other's understanding better.
Oman	• Align with AG • Want to delete some paras
Barbados	• Align with G77 and AOSIS • There's a need for simplicity, NCQG cannot be all things to all people • Need to move forward on substance
Kenya	• Want co-chairs to work further on the text • Still going around the quantum – want to see more linkages to timeframe • Transparency – strengthen reporting on 9.5 and other thoughts on what replaces the \$100 billion report • This is in your hands, trust that the co-chairs will lead this process and happy to engage with what you come up with

Iran	• Align with LMDC • Still needs a lot of work • See many elements that are not in line with the principles of the NCQG, in particular those that don't represent sovereignty of countries • Process should be non-punitive
Fiji	• There is no one size fits all solution for climate finance • Important to build process that incorporates all of our differences • Noting with concern that Fiji and AOSIS submissions have not been reflected in the text. Requesting balanced and inclusive process.
Iraq	• Align with G77, AG • Need extreme consideration for developing countries
Palau	• Align with AOSIS • Echo Fiji's concern that not all options of AOSIS have been included in the text • Collective inability to move forward is putting us on a pathway towards humanitarian crisis • Need to move towards substantive discussion
China	• UN printer does not allow us to print the length of the document out • Align with G77+China • Emphasize clear financial responsibility of developed countries (Art 4 of Convention / Art 2 and 9 of Paris) • Stay true to the original language of the Paris Agreement • Consolidate input paper • Suggestion all modifications be made using tracked changes feature • Art 2.1c is not part of the mandate for this agenda item • Suggest putting developing countries whenever referencing recipients and developing countries whenever referencing contributor • Provision should be grants or highly-concessional finance • All debt related content be bracketed • Want to see concrete quantitative commitments from developed countries and concrete climate finance definition • Delete para 2, 56, 95, 157, 159, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 303-308, 349, 351, 353, 361, 400, 471
Pakistan	• Align with G77+China • New text does not have adequate mention of L&D • Don't see loans as climate finance

Maldives	• Align with AOSIS and other SIDS • Echo comments of Fiji and Palau • AOSIS submission should be included as a distinct decision on its own. And the structure of the paper should be reflected in this same way, even if it makes the input paper longer.
Brazil	• Align with G77+China • Today saw the first consensus that we need a larger room • Document is still too long • Should be focusing on the big ideas for the NCQG before getting stuck in the nuances • Eager to receive new version of text
United States	• Preambular section would remove 7, 11, 12, 16, 19 • Don't agree with proposals to remove paras related to fossil fuels and fiscal space, or debt. • Agreed with suggestions from Samoa about integrating quantum and timeframe • Really looking forward to substantive negotiations • Prefer to see technical streamlining
Dominican Republic	• Echo concerns that AOSIS proposals are not reflected • Particularly point by Maldives that the AOSIS option that was recently submitted is not in the text
Japan	• Also want to talk about substance • But streamlining should be technical • Produce shorter version of document
Co-chairs	• Noted that some Parties have made submissions and are not ignoring those submissions or not taking them into consideration • Recognize requests for a bigger room, will work with Secretariat to try and be in New York plenary room • Want to present updated document before next meeting Monday • Will not yet talk about the way forward between Bonn and Baku, but will wait until the third and fourth NCQG AWWP meetings next week to see where Parties are.