

New collective quantified goal on climate finance
Informal Consultation - CMA 10 (e) 5 December 2023**

Co-Chairs: Gabriela Blatter (Switzerland) & Amena Yauvoli (Fiji)

**Parties were working from a text with various options that was shared with Parties only

Scheduled: 10:00-11:00, Meeting Room 3

Actual Time: 10:09-11:24

Notes By: Diana K. Elhard, Northwestern University

Comments from notetaker: I watched this meeting virtually. The stream was inconsistent; there were many audio pauses and cuts and a video cut at one point. I have no way of knowing what I might have missed due to technical difficulties. *Information here is not verbatim and may be incomplete.*

10:09 - Gabriela, co-chair

- Opened with a happy birthday song for Matheus
 - Everyone sang, clapped to the beat, Matehus came in, laughing a little, to say thank you to Gabriela and colleagues
- She brought chocolate for post-negotiations, but 'this one has your name on it' as she held up a chocolate bar
- Housekeeping, asked if Parties were ok with having open negotiations
- She provided an updated on a new draft from last night

10:12 - Gabriela walked through the streamlined edits made since last meeting

- Noted they made textual proposals regarding the 2024 work mode

Paragraphs:

- 14-16: introduce mode of work
- Three options on this work:
 - Option 1, 17-31
 - Option 2, 32-40
 - Est. of tempo technical
 - Option 3, 41-48
 - Ad-hod coworking group
- 50-53 - high level eng
- 54 HLMD
- 55-59 - TEDs
- Gabriela noted none of these options are mutually exclusive
- 6X -66
- 70-76: transition
- 77-93 - other matters

10:16 Gabriela: Brazil

10:17 - Brazil, G77 and China [Matheus]

- This is a priority [for us]
- The CMA decisions should capture a change [at COP28]
- Some concerns:
 - Need a partial decision here [COP28]

- Concerned about time frame: concerns that some of these options only speak to mitigation or 2.1c - Should focus on Mitigation, Adaptation, L&D - Launching the NCQG in 2026 or other dates that do not speak to the mandate, we would like to flag that for further debate “we do need to stick to the process and mandate we agreed to on the NCQG” - “There is not consensus on this multilayer approach” - “The NCQG is an obligation of developed countries” this text should reflect on the financial resources being new, additional, adequate, and predictable, and of course the need for the NCQG to be transparent and trackable - Quantum fixed to Needs and Priorities of Developing Countries (NPDC)
10:21 - Gabriela - Thank you - New Zealand
10:21 - New Zealand - Would like to have inf infs on the role and process of TEDs - Potentially find a consensus related to openness and also being Party-driven - Timeframe, options 1-4 and 7 could be combined - We do not support option 8 - Multidimensional structure, we heard that in the dialogues, but sections could be condensed - Transparency: ... need guidance on ETF and linking to NCQG
10:25 - Gabriela - Thank you - Others taking the floor could engage on this suggestion from New Zealand on if they would [be ready] to move to inf infs
10:25 - Australia - Align with co-chair opening statement on happy birthday and sorry you have to spend it here at COP, lets make it a good day - Agree with much of New Zealand statements - Ready to move into inf infs on the process - Happy to what more on others’ proposals, our preference is still option 1
10:28 Gabriela: - Thank you - EU
10:28 - EU - Thank you and a very good day to Matheus - It would not be my preference to spend my birthday with you all, as much as I love you all, but I am not going to dwell on that anymore

- Priority is to adjust the work program and “we get the process right next year” - Happy to engage in inf infs
10:30 Gabriela: - Thank you - Colombia
10:30 - Colombia - Thank you - Happy birthday to our G77 Coordinator - We align with G77 and China - Highlighted that they still have questions about all of the options
10:33 - Co-Chair, Gabriela - Thank you - China
10:33 - China - Want the text to align with [initial] mandate - Obligation and responsibility of developed countries and their historic emissions
Co-Chair, Gabriela - Thank you - China, just to clarify, you would like us to work further on the substance or you would like to have a Party deep-dive
10:35- China - On my last point, we feel many paragraphs are not related to the mandate
Co-Chair, Gabriela - Thank you - Canada
10:35 - Canada - Thanks - Happy birthday, Matheus - Agree with colleague from AILAC - Let's get to substance - Happy to move to inf infs - For now our preference is option 1, similar to EU - Happy to move into inf infs - Streamlining suggestions from New Zealand probably also make sense
10:36 - Co-Chair, Gabriela - Thank you - Arab group
10:37 - Saudi Arabia, Mohamed, traditional wear

- Thank you for efforts on streamlining - First, wish G77 coordinator, Matheus In leading G77 along with Elena, our coordinator - We are sure he will enjoy negotiating, he spends his life negotiation “this is very much home” - We align with statement of the G77 - We don’t support option 2 of paragraph 16 - Need to shift to Party-driven negotiations, but we want to include observers - ...clarify an idea on AHWP... only meeting 3 times in the year (before inf inf later the updated option from our submission would need to be there) - Second, on intervention from our colleague from Colombia.... - 10:41 - webcast shut off
10:41 - 10:47 webcast shut off Virtual Platform Challenges - Audio and Video Steam cut
10:47 - Norway on camera, no audio 10:48 - Norway, with audio - First and foremost we need to get the process right - happy to engage with others to hear more about substance
10:49 - Co-Chair, Gabriela - Thank you - United States
10:50 - United States - ‘I have been waiting to come in to get the mood of the room’ - I think that some of the internal logic of certain elements, between linking high-level, dialogues, and submission - It would warrant discussions in the next few days, maybe tonight... US is happy to engage - A clear suggest we made on process has been omitted - Specifically the suggestion that Parties nominate co-chairs - Concur with what a lot of others have said, clear there is divergence still.... - ... “I think in our view....the section on transparency and ___ requires a lot more work” perhaps we could dig into that in an informal session
10:53 Co-Chair, Gabriela - Thank you - Just to clarify I think what you referred to is captured in para 16 option 2, but if not let me know 10:53 - Egypt
10:53 - Egypt - Thank you

- Happy Birthday to Matheus
- You probably prefer to spend it with your family but ... You have a family and people did sing to you, ... you did it here in Dubai
- Notes on streamlining, first 13 paras could be 2 or 3
- [...] the co-chairs is not something we have done
 - Summaries and all this from co-chairs, we have established practices so why spend time on this here
- "I think last years co-chairs should continue even if they don't want to do so, they should continue"
- On nominating, either we agree they should continue, but formal negotiations will delay the process and "it will not really help us
- Moving to timeframe, the option for 2050, knowing things shift very quickly... esp. Because of the \$100 billion was 14 years ago...
- I am not sure why we would go to inf infs? I don't know why we would go at the beginning? That is for the end ... "this is a CMA item"
- It does not give the right indication...
- The African Group we have reservations about it, but won't stand against it, but have [concerns] given where the text is right now (cited 80+ paragraphs)
- ...engage as negotiations, not informals because we thinking "being social will be able to fix this"
- [he noted support for the Annex]

11:02 Co-Chair, Gabriela

- Thank you
- We are in your hands
- We heard other Parties who were asking for it to explain other Parties' options
- ... we also have calls to update [the text]

11:02 - Egypt

- Thank you, but [we should do that here] "explaining so stakeholders, observers can hear what is being discussed
- "It is a a closed room" "if we wanted to be inclusive" [we shouldn't move to] inf infs
- I think it might not be the best, but we need to make sure this is a transparent process....

11:03 - Co-Chair, Gabriela

- Thank you
- Gambia

11:04 - Gambia

- My warmest wishes to Matheus on his birthday, happy birthday Matheus
- Text streamlining is appreciated, more needs to be done
- "For LDC group as well, process is a key priority for us"
- 'Negotiation mode next year'
 - Prefer option 1 and 3, even a combination to come up with a better item
- [Audio/Steam cut]
- We would like to come up with a decision at this COP, but I note that other do not see it this way: a clear timeframe here would make our work easier next year

10:07 Gabriela

- Thank you
- We are short on time, those who have already intervened try to be succinct

11:08 - UK

- Echoing a lot of others, will be brief
- Happy Birthday to Matheus
- Support moving to inf infs
 - Don't have to discuss whole text, but think it can be useful for process
- Echo support for keeping same co-chairs for next year
- Echo Eu and other, Option 1 is our preference, noting Party-driven process next year
- Agree with "colleague from AOSIS, there needs to be a step-change next year"
- Cautious of adding another working group
- Echo AILAC colleagues on [process being essential]
- Agree with LDC group that "progress on timeframe this year would be beneficial, ... but can make the goal deliver in the most effective way"
- Echo EU and Norway on the Annex being premature

11:11 Gabriela

- Thank you
- We have 4 speakers left
- Apologies to next session but I think it's helpful to conclude the list

11:11 - ABU

- Align with G77
- Support further streamlining, taking redlines into account, including those of G77
- "We support continuation of the co-chairs, if they are willing to continue this [burden]"

11:13 Gabriela

- Thank you
- Also for being succinct
- India

11:13 - India

- Thank you for streamlining
- Wish also wish [happy birthday] to G77 coordinator

- Existing co-chairs should continue given their experience - Do not support option 1, could support 2 or 3
Gabriela - Thank you, India, on behalf of LMDCs? Yes? - Ok. - China
11:14 - China - Aligned with G77, AGN, and Arab Group - Love both our co-chairs, appreciate their work, so we prefer option 1 - ... prefer using PA language, we believe 1.5 not possible given the failure of the \$100 billion
11:15 - Gabriela - Thank you - AILAC
11:15 - Colombia – we would like to react to come comments from the floor - We do see that other stakeholders are able to hear that rationally, all the proposals that are there, because of the stakes... - For us it's very important for [being] inclusive and transparency of the process - But on the other side, we need to have an easier engagement because there are many gaps...so something this setting makes it harder... - So to seek the guidance of the co-chairs on moving forward - ... we strongly strongly support the continuation of the [NCQG] co-chairs
Gabriela - Thank you - Arab Group
11:18 - Saudi Arabia, Arab Group - Sorry to come back in - Note from Egypt makes sense for us - Today “we’ve had text dropping from every direction to review” - In the time [for coordination groups] the co-chairs could look at the text, could focus on convergence and avoid areas of divergence - “We are very averse to a long term time frame” - “We are not prepared to discuss structure” - Might be premature to go to a mode of work that is best reserved for when we can’t figure things out, hope to revise the text and come back
Gabriela - Thank you - AOSIS
11:20 - Belize, Janine

- Apologies for delaying [time]
- 'We want the statements to be factual,' "there are a lot of embellishments"
- [Audio/Steam cut]
- Preference would be to adjust [para] 13 to specify we are calling for a draft negotiation...
- On para 15 also wants to keep the two options open
 - ... want to engage in discussions on the "appropriate representation" and "underscore the importance of geographical rotation"

11: 22 - Gabriela

- Thanks, everyone
- Asked for a minute to consult with other co-chair
- Got the 'in room consultation' screen: video and audio cut

11:23 - Gabriela

- Next steps: we will further streamline the text
- We will not mingle with the process part too much
- If you want to elaborate your option please send that as soon as possible
- We have to see with scheduling and format, in the next session we will engage in the process elements and engage on their subelements
- And with that Matheus can hopefully celebrate his birthday tonight
- [pause, look around room]
- It looks like it's acceptable.
- 11:24 meeting ended