

## UNFCCC Meeting Report on NCQG

**Prepared by:** Sammi Fremont & Valencia Ajeh, Washington University in St. Louis

**Date:** December 3, 2023

**Session/Agenda Item:** New collective quantified goal on climate finance - Informal Consultation - CMA 10 (e)

**Location:** UNFCCC COP 28, Dubai, UAE

**Room Number:** Meeting Room 3

**Date and Time:** December 3, 2023 4 p.m. to 5 p.m.

**Attachments:** There was a 200-paragraph document sent to just parties the night before but observers were not given access to the document

### Notes/Summary:

#### Introduction

- Informal meeting and could choose if the room is open to observers or not
- Invited for submission at the conclusion of the last year.
- Assessment of the work program taken this year
- Received 60 submissions so far
- Assessment of progress made to date
- Argentina
  - On behalf of ABU
  - Fully aligned with the statement given by G77 and China
  - The NCQG needs to be predictable taking into account the needs of developing countries
  - Following alongside accessibility, transparency, and means of implementation
  - Wants to guarantee a new and robust process.
- Norway
  - The document should not be very difficult to find and should be streamlined text
  - Very much for an inclusive process
  - TEDs are useful but we need to see the development of the texts
  - We think that we should be able to say something about timeframes here
    - There was some convergence in the HLMD
  - We don't want to prejudge the final outcome
  - The document was a good basis for discussion
- Canada

- There is a lot of convergence between the text
- I'd like to ask the room to give the mandate to streamline between convergence and divergences
- EU
  - This is the longest NCQG draft I've seen
  - Now is the time to give guidance to the TEDs so they can deliberate what they need to deliver so at the end of the TEDs next year we have a draft
  - We don't see a need for creating a new structure on TEDs
- Australia
  - Supportive of Brazil's perspective
  - We would not be supportive of a transitional committee going forward that is not appropriate
  - We see everything as interlinked
  - In our submission, bring up interlinkages between issues in negotiations. We need to move forward with that rather than solely separating time, scope, etc
  - Paragraph 205, linear construct of time is redundant
- China
  - 200 paragraphs are unbelievable
  - We wish to have a workplan
  - Suggest deletion of 130, 140, 145, 153-159 and 162
- Saudi Arabia on behalf of the Arab Group
  - The text is too long
  - On paragraphs 1-45, we strongly encourage co-chairs to look at areas of convergence
  - Paragraphs 45-139, you can take the paragraphs and silo them as they are part of an overarching idea
    - We urge to come up with options
  - Paragraphs 140-151, we should build on the work from the ad hoc work program
  - Paragraphs 152-164 we are not as a group prepared to engage on the topic of structure...we believe they strongly prejudge the outcome of next year
  - On paragraphs 165-178, these are on transparency, we would like the options approached reflected in this section
  - On 152-154, we believe it would be an impediment to focus on issues where there are large divergence
  - Other substantive matters forward don't make sense
  - 179 reiterates a lot of parts of the Paris Agreement
  - We would like to support the changes proposed by China on the floor
  - Clear divergence with paragraphs 188, 189, 190, 192, 193, 197, 198, and any paragraph that addresses issues that will not move us forward or goes beyond [names some CMA paragraphs]

- Our group will not entertain these discussions
- We want to give a mandate to the co-chairs to prepare a new text
  - Elements of convergence, not divergence
  - Eliminate known red lines and redundancies
  - Options approach for several sections named
- Belize
  - The nature of the workplan is not for negotiations
- Switzerland
  - Encourage you to streamline and see convergence
  - Agree with other speakers
  - 3 deliverables we would see as a good outcome
    - Timeframe
      - Possible low-hanging fruit
      - The overall structure of the goal with recognition of a multilayer goal
- UK
  - We recognize the challenge posed by the number of submissions
  - *Not thorough apologies*
- Japan
  - Streamlined text
- USA
  - It would be useful perhaps in an Inf Inf to discuss the procedures parties have brought forward
  - Parts at the center should be discussed as different options
- New Zealand
  - When it comes to streamlining substance, there are a few different options for
- Egypt
  - We are not redefining the work program
  - We have been working for 2 years
  - We need to shift in thinking and action
  - We want this to come out
    - Should not be trying to identify the process that was established by different presidencies
    - We shouldn't be very prescriptive, we should be as flexible as possible
  - The focus of the decision should be shifting to expert reviews
- Gambia
- Colombia on behalf of AILAC
  - We need to streamline text so it is workable
  - We fully align w/ G77 intervention
  - We want to highlight the approach of having options