

UNFCCC Meeting Report (Negotiating Session)

Prepared by: Abigail Hunt, Washington University in St. Louis

Date: 9 November, 2022

Session/Agenda Item: SBI10/SBSTA7 - Third Informal Consultation on KJWA

Location: UNFCCC COP 27, Sharm el-Sheikh

Room Number: R17

Date and Time: 10:00 am

Participants(name and organization): Parties, ENGO, Farmers

Attachments : <https://unfccc.int/documents/621665>

Notes/Summary :

- I. Recap on yesterday's informal informal
 - A. Parties shared views on points 1-14 on the informal note
 - B. Facilitator drafted a new text based on all the party views (noted that this is not all of their work). The discussion going forward includes what the future of all this work will be?
 1. What is the future of Koronivia?
 - C. **New text available under COP27 platform documents:**
<https://unfccc.int/documents/621665>
- II. Opening to Party Reflections
 - A. Kenya: thanks to facilitators. Need to coordinate among parties/groups. Wants to propose a meeting for a few hours today in informal.
 - B. Tunisia: the new document is too long (especially from Africa Group's view)
 - C. EU: also wants more coordination on the details. Thinks that it looks quite complete and takes into account the issues discussed yesterday.
 1. Looking for a good compromise. Not everything on the wishlist can be there and they know that.
 2. Want to support G77 for the time to coordinate in groups
 - D. South Sudan: In the spirit of working together, wants to look into paragraph 2. A lot of the compromise is summarized there. Part A, 1 and 2 makes it a bit too long.
 1. Wants to adopt the previous part A-F.
 - E. EU: reacting on the length of the text... food is so important and they have been working so long
 1. EU's goal is to achieve a text and not reopen it

2. SO, the fact that it is long is okay. A good thing even.
- F. India: wants to remind everyone that the decision yesterday was for facilitator's to provide a new text with proposed modifications
 1. Respect **the agreement made yesterday that they won't go back to an earlier text** but it is still open to parties to propose amendments
 - a) Facilitator confirmed - text is open to amendments
- G. US: wanted to thank facilitators. Is very happy with the text. Complete and balanced.
 1. Would welcome more time to coordinate.
 2. Obvious fact that they are halfway through the week. Need to get on to the future topics. Requesting to not reopen past agreed topics. Have spent years negotiating them but want to use time wisely.
- III. There is clear guidance that progress needs to be made. SBI chairs want to report successful closure of the item on Saturday. Very unlikely that negotiations will be able to continue after then.
 - A. Only extension possible if there is real substantive text on the table.
 - B. Asked about observer views
 1. ENGO: Need to look to the future. What lessons have been learned? The decision is already a year overdue. NEED URGENCY.
 - a) Supports agroecology and food system transformation
 - b) Ensure there are resources available to support small scale food producers
 - c) Encourage science on agroecology, call to work closely with UN body on ag
 - d) Consider gender, indigenous and youth and place these at the center
 - e) Wants coherence for other policy regarding land and food
 - (1) Dealing with other UN entities and CBD
 2. Farmers
 - a) Parties must not lose sight of need to reduce emissions through ag
 - b) Farmers are facing loss of livelihood and income
 - c) Want negotiations to focus on implementation and practical applications
 - C. Informal informal later at 3:00... No observers.
 - D. Adjourned.