

**Session- 3<sup>rd</sup> (final) informal on PR2 of the LTGG**

Second periodic review (PR2) of the long-term global goal (LTGG) under the Conv. and of overall progress toward achieving it (SBSTA item 10(b)/SBI item 7)

Notes submitted by: Archer H. Christian [ahchristian.climate@gmail.com](mailto:ahchristian.climate@gmail.com)

*These notes are incomplete due to interruptions in the streaming.*

*See this notetaker's Nov 3 notes on first informal on PR2-LTGG for background on this topic.*

Co-chairs issued new text early today:

[https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/LTGG%20PR\\_1.pdf](https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/LTGG%20PR_1.pdf)

**Proceedings:**

SB Chairs made an appearance to present opening remarks, encouraging Parties to find common ground and to use agreed and long-standing language from earlier framing, particularly, specific parameters provided in the PR2 decisions. They noted that Parties' concerns are being heard and taken into account, as best as possible. The SBI Chair appealed to Parties to focus on what is agreed between them, rather than on disagreements, given the limited time left, and to complete these draft conclusions today.

Co-Chairs of this agenda item asked Parties to consider the new draft text, revised based on their understanding of Parties' inputs during the session and subsequent written inputs. They also acknowledged that, while 2 sets of inputs received after yesterday's sessions were included in the new draft, 1 submission was not received in time, and apologized for that circumstance. They did note that most of the elements in that later submission were already present in others' submissions, particularly comments not contradictory between Parties.

Co-chairs also informed Parties that they did not attempt to insert new, or change the existing, language on 2 points where Parties clearly differed: 1) the importance of balance between the two themes and how to reflect this importance; and 2) how to reflect consideration of the pre-2020 report. They noted the challenge of articulating some of the views in a textual manner and expressed their hope to discuss and resolve these in this session (working para-by-para).

Despite multiple Party groups seeking to proceed para-by-para, others voiced objections. Co-chairs then sought general reflections on the text as a starting point. Most interventions focused on specific paragraph changes they wanted to see, but several messages prevailed:

- A number of developing country Party groups, plus the EU, noted that their inputs had not been included, or not fully.
  - More than one group stated that all Parties views/inputs must be captured and reflected in the text in a balanced manner.
- On pre-2020 report: Groups continued to differ on approach, with mostly developing country Parties seeking to keep it in a separate paragraph with wording reflecting ongoing consideration, rather than the "once and done" language.
- On AR6 Synthesis report and impact on timeline: LMDCs insisted on including the Synthesis report (due out after SED2-part3) in the SED and argued for a compressed timeline through

the latter part of 2022. Annex I Parties and groups aligned in their reading/understanding of the Madrid decision, that there was no mandate to consider the Synthesis report and that a delicate balance was struck in arriving at the decision language, given the GST date.

- On the noted imbalance in addressing both themes: LMDCs, AGN and Arab Group argued strongly for language addressing Annex I commitments within the context of a comprehensive treatment of principles and values of the Convention. And China, supported by others, sought language addressing MOI.

Following the Arab Group's insistence that a new fully inclusive and balanced text be drafted before it could move forward, the Co-Chairs closed the meeting with no agreement on the draft text. They will report the outcomes to the SB Chairs.