

SBI: National Adaptation Plans

Prepared by: Tamsen Reed

Date: December 7, 2019

Session/Agenda Item: SBI 51, Agenda Item #12

Location: UNFCCC COP 25, Madrid Spain

Room Number:26

Attachments: - <https://unfccc.int/documents/202457>

Notes/Summary:

- **Co-Facilitator** hands out printed copies of the draft text for parties' review.
- Approved para 1, para 2 (conclusions)
- **China:** Wants to move the footnote paragraph 1 to the end of the paragraph.
- **CoF:** Paragraph 2, please?
- **Tanzania:** Wants delete 'the table of gaps and needs' from paragraph 2, line 3, and just use gaps and needs in Annex I, rather than the reference to the table.
- **CoF:** paragraph 3? Paragraph 4? Paragraph 5?
- **Guyana:** wants to change 'take note' to 'stress'.
- **EU:** Our preference is to leave it as is.
- **Kuwait:** We support Guyana's recommendation to change it to stress.
- **Tanzania:** We also want to add to line on importance, 'and need'
- **Zambia:** We support Guyana's recommendation.
- **Australia:** We prefer the text with the 'note'. However, in order to move forward, maybe we should bracket this and come back later?
- **CoF:** Urges flexibility and agrees with Australia to return to this section. Notes that para 6: has brackets around 'significantly', and asks for delegate feedback. 'Significantly' was kept in the text.
- **Ghana:** On para 7, we want a comma after parties, and change 'invited' to 'invites'.
- **Japan:** Prefers using the exact same language for one of the phrasings as had been used in a previous decision, in order to preserve previous use of this phrase
- **Ghana:** For me this is fine. I was just trying to make the sentence more clear, but it's not important.
- **CoF:** para 8? Para 9? In paragraph 9, would parties like to add 'the process' into the last line, which was submitted as a proposal for clarity?
- **Zambia:** We would oppose this addition

- **CoF:** para 10? Paragraph 11? Thank you. Can we then go back to paragraph 5. I ask for parties' maximum flexibility on this.
- **Ghana:** Is 'emphasized' and acceptable word somewhere between 'noted' and 'stressed'?
- **Kuwait:** We need to stress the need for financial support for countries. Emphasizes is not the same a stress (couldn't hear the last bit).
- **Ghana:** I know where my colleagues are coming from.
- **Kuwait:** We are not content with 'takes note'
- **EU:** I think all of these additions are changing the content of the sentence. I think this was a good and generous proposal on behalf of G-77, but I think we should keep the text as is.
- **Parties meet in huddle to try and come to an agreement.**
- **Australia:** we would accept the compromise of accepting 'emphasizes' and then delete 'needs'
- **Kuwait:** We're okay with that.
- **United States:** There seems to be an addition since the last draft, 'and ways to... as appropriate'. This changes the mandate quite a bit. Second, can you clarify for me CoF if, procedurally, is it normal for the SBI to direct itself to do something?
- **CoF:** Agrees to change the text back to an earlier version.
- **Guyana:** Isn't the meaning of the paragraph the same?
- **CoF:** I take your point, but we have to finish in the next *one minute*, so is this paragraph as amended acceptable to the room?
- **Kuwait:** On paragraph 11, can we get clarification on the US's question about the SBI requesting itself to do something?
- **Text was approved!**

Take Away: After some final wrangling over word choice, the parties were able to compromise and finalize the draft decision to present back to the plenary body.
