

December 11, 2018

11:00-12:00

MR 2

Annkattrin Tritschoks, Uppsala University

[?] and [...] indicates points that I missed, could not follow, or could not hear

COP agenda item 8b: informal consultation on linkages between the Technology Mechanism and the Financial Mechanism of the Convention

- 4th meeting
 - o New iteration of text issues last night following your work in inf infs
https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/COP24.DT_i8b.pdf
- Going over changes
- for today would propose reconsideration of current para 9 because already addressed in COP agenda item 10c
- Only today to finish work under this agenda item
- Need to clean text as much as possible this morning in order to finalize and gavel through at 17:00
- 2 proposals for continued work:
 - o Inf infs, reconvene 11:50
 - o Then going through text on screen
- Or
 - o Continue work in formal inf
 - o Addressing points not yet agreed
 - o Continue like this this afternoon

India

- Clarification: India asked for insertion, asking GEF to enhance support
 - o Hasn't been captured

Co-facilitators

- Will first address how to proceed in work

Norway

- Flexible on mode of work
- If you have specific suggestions, maybe helpful to work with you and the big group to help you guide us on the text on those paras

Georgia:

- Para 4

- We think it is important to invite both entities to enhance their partnership, given focus on linkages

Co-facilitator

- continuation in formal inf session
- moving on to specifics

Kenya

- Better to pick up from where we left off last time
 - Last time we discussed para 7 and you proposed specific language on GEF
 - If given more time, we can continue with specific language on paras

Co-facilitator

- Para 1, 3, and 5 we take as agreed from consultation yesterday
- Will now start taking comments starting from para 6
 - Kenya, your comment should be reflected in para 6 of current text, otherwise advise again

Kenya:

- We have to get the doc, some of us were not on the mailing list

Uganda

- Para 6
 - Many issues that come to mind
 - NDEs mobilize the technology needs and translate them into requests and submit to CTCN for further management
 - Who develops the proposals? What does the party do? Where do we place NDEs in this aspect? Is it communicating?
- Procedural question

Kenya

- Original textual proposal on para 6
- "...and further invites the operating entities of the financial mechanism to consider supporting the implementation of the successfully supported proposals and programs mentioned above"

EU

- Concrete suggestion:
 - Delete part after second mention of CTCN
- **Co-facilitator:** Any problems with the language suggested by Kenya
- **Reply:** we do not like the suggestion by Kenya

Co-facilitators:

- Moving on to para 7

Saudi Arabia

- Tiny change:
 - o Opportunities – not comfortable to use that word here
 - o Enhance information sharing without the word ‘opportunities’ suggestion to remove

Co-facilitators:

- With that deletion, para 7 is agreed
- Moving on to para 8

EU

- Suggested change to “...noting that this will help inform the GCF...”

Co-facilitators:

- With that change, para 8 is agreed
- Moving on to para 9
 - o Concrete proposal from co-facilitators
 - o There is language on this matter on COP agenda item 10c on GCF guidance
 - o Proposal to remove the para from this text and leave the language under work on GCF guidance

India

- Going back to para 8
 - o In last intervention we expressed our concern on limitation of scope to incubators and accelerators
 - o We would like scope expanded beyond these two broader categories

Co-facilitator

- Need for concrete textual proposal at this stage

Uganda

- Would like to support India’s intervention
 - o Raised same concerns yesterday
 - o Will come at later time with concrete textual proposal

Norway

- Support suggestion on para 9
- Also find 8 as is a better solution rather than trying to expand on scope now, would like to retain as is

Uganda

- regarding Norway: want to find out whether there is any initiative aimed at developing the “terms of reference”

- We need an update whether terms of reference are being developed by GCF.
Some info on this would help to decide what to do with the para

Co-facilitators

- Received the info before our first consultation:
 - GCF is developing terms of reference, in the room, confirmed

Kenya

- Agreeing with India on issue of going beyond incubator
- Connected to what we have in para 6
 - Essentially why we have terms of reference is that we finally have some implementation
- EU suggestion re para 6 is connected to this
 - If we stop on para 6 after CTCN, we need a standalone para speaking to what happens to requests, that is why there was the addition re entities taking up issue
 - If we cannot go by addition in para 6, let's have a standalone para that further invites for further implementation

Bangladesh

- We are not sure what stage we are at regarding para 9
 - Co-facilitators suggestion is to delete this para?
 - If you decide to delete, suggestion to replace with
 - “invite the GCF to consider the TOR to expand its scope, to go beyond climate incubators and accelerators to include the options for adaptation technologies”
- **Reply:** suggestions to remove due to duplication with COP10c but will consider your suggestion

Korea

- Because we have a similar para in other COP 10c we would support deletion
 - From parties interventions yesterday and today we can say that TOR is not the end, we expect from this para further collaboration
- “invites the CTCN and GCF to consider the collaboration, on the basis of the experiences and lessons learned from their engagement with respect to using the readiness and preparatory support program of the fund”

Uganda

- Previously wondered about value addition of this para
 - Some elements could be useful to us, if we avoid singling out incubators and accelerators
 - Outcomes of the TNAs could benefit from this initiative
- Get TOR for supporting the proposals that have been submitted if GCF develops TOR it should aim to supporting these proposals
- [...]

Norway

- Would like to remind everyone that we are on the agenda item for linkages, not on the guidance for the Financial Mechanism, which is an own agenda item
 - o Going beyond and supporting requests does not relate to linkages, we need to frame it in the context of the linkages
 - o If it is meant at pure guidance on how we want GCF to act or react, we should do that under other agenda item

Georgia

- Would like to support Korea
- Would like to suggest to additions:
 - o Putting the information about incubators and accelerators in the middle of Georgia's proposals

Korea

- Concur with Georgia

EU

- Jumping back and forth is confusing
- Supporting the proposal for deletion of para 9
- Responding to countries on para 6:
 - o Do not agree with suggestion provided by GCF
 - o No need to repeat here, GCF already allowed to support
 - o Regarding Kenya's intervention: reason why we don't support para as it is currently, is because CTCN and GCF should work in a country [...]
 - o No differential treatment of projects

Co-facilitators:

- 10 minutes remaining, moving to para 10 and 11

China

- As mentioned in intervention yesterday and last Saturday that this is prejudging the outcome in 2 years, think it's premature to conclude agenda item yet
 - o Goal of the linkage as decided in PA, we are far from realizing that aim yet
 - o Strongly suggest to delete sentence in para 10
- Reference in para 9 not correct
 - o Would like to remind that this is not agreed text, thus deleting para 9 premature
 - o Agree with India and Bangladesh on that
- Echo statement made by India re "inviting GCF and GEF to enhance their efforts in building support"

US

- Para 9
 - o Support comments from Norway and EU

- Para 10
 - Spending a lot of time, all the same people as in other agenda item, no need to duplicate work
 - Also on joint annual report agenda item
 - Thus, we should strive to close this agenda item
- Para 11
 - Concerns around duplication of text
 - Also included in “framework text” discussion
 - Propose to delete 11

Saudi Arabia

- Same concern as raised by China for 2nd part of para 10
 - No added value and para 9 not related to this para
 - Removing text after “taking into consideration”

Norway

- In a sense, agree with colleagues from China:
 - Language in para 10 Confusing
 - We are not concluding the work, but the agenda item
 - Suggestion for change to “agrees that the follow up of the linkages will be in the agenda items on joint annual report of the FM, guidance of the GEF and guidance of the GCF respectively”

Uganda

- Para 10
 - Issue of linkages and collaboration of two mechanism is an ongoing process
 - Would like Chinas suggestion to be deleted
 - We need to reconsider this
- Para 9
 - proposal – put in brackets in case taken care of somewhere else, to be confirmed, but do not delete
 - “invites the GCF to finalize as soon as possible the terms of reference for supporting technology proposals submitted by CTCN and parties, including incubators and accelerators, ...”

EU

- Para 10
 - Support Norwegian intervention
- Para 11
 - No need for this para, can be deleted
 - Same text in technology framework, specifically para 41 a

Japan

- Fully support proposal made by Norway

- We will continue working on collaboration, beyond conclusion of this agenda item, under guidance for GEF; GCF, no need for this agenda item

Philippines

- Stands for the proposed rephrasing of para 9 to be more inclusive
- Deletion of para 11 not needed as we stand for continuation of cooperation

Sudan

- Stand with suggestion to remove last part of para 10, while need to fix para 9
- Para 11
 - Can't delete now because referred to technology framework linkages

China

- Thanks Norway for suggestion but difficult to go in line with that
 - Joint annual report mostly just given to technology mechanism itself
 - We strongly suggest to keep this agenda item open
 - Joint Annual Report not sufficient to continue this discussion

Co-facilitators

- Thank you for input, we will reflect discussion
- Next meeting inf inf at 17:00-18:00
- Tomorrow informal meeting to close this item

--- meeting closed ---