

Session Title: **SBI informal consultations on gender and climate change**

Date & Time: 4 December 2018 3-4pm

Notetaker: Elinor Martin

Institution: University of Oklahoma, South Central Climate Adaptation Science Center,
American Meteorological Society

Co-Facilitators:

1st informal consolation

SBI chair has asked to present a draft conclusion under this agenda item.

Forward draft text by Thursday at the latest

Be focused on discussions and work

This agenda item will only have 2 meetings - no objections to observers (both kept open).

Party contact information

observers send to Gender-Team@unfccc.int

Formal review of Lima Programme is due at COP25 - synthesis report. No methodology proposed for this review

2 documents to consider

- FCCC/SBI/2018/inf.15 climate change & gender responsive policy action
- FCCC/CP/2018/3 gender composition report

Propose working method:

- streamline. secretariat overview presentation
- parties share activities they have undertaken in support of gender action plan. & views on reports.

How to capture this information by next meeting on Thursday

approach is acceptable (no objections)

Presentation (available on webpage) by secretariat:

Summary of Activities in 2018 where secretariat was providing support or coorganising

Lima work programme on gender - decision 21/CP.22

Gender Action Plan (GAP) - decision 3/CP.23

gender composition of relevant bodies

training

travel funds

gender integration in workstreams (discussion with chairs)

Clarification questions: None

Information sharing and Reports:

EU: thanks secretariat. Sent submission earlier this year and will send additional report. Supports drafting the synthesis report

Costa Rica: Thanks secretariat. support call from EU to move forward with synthesis report. Gender equality within UNFCCC. Costa Rica called for coalition on multilateral environmental gender issues and human rights. Will be an event next year.

Co-Facilitators: synthesis report is to support the formal review

Malawi - thanks. on behalf of LDCs appreciate efforts of secretariat in working towards advancing the Lima work programme on gender and the gender action plan. Ambitious work program as well as the GAP, thank the governments that have supported the implementation and activities. On the review we would like to call relevant parties and organization that will lead to the review ahead of COP25 (as was done previously, that helped advance quickly with common understanding). Support what the EU has said and Costa Rica “for All coalition”, this will enhance work.

Peru: we already have some practice in preparation of GAP report and the process. Time allotted for gender equality is very limited. Need to take advantage of time outside.

Ghana: informal informal will go a long way to advance cause and time for the review at COP25. Align with work being done by Costa Rica

EU: Support Costa Rica, Malawi and Perú

Ghana (African group): Grateful for opportunity for gender issues. Align with malawi and all other statements being made

Malawai: Gender Composition Report: welcome the report. clear and comprehensive report to track progress. Information is useful. Production of report should be continuous. Commend parties that have increased women and nominated/elected women for leadership. Appreciate role other funds are playing in supporting women delegates. Hope that funds will grow to support women delegates from LDCs. Grateful for training and capacity building activities - ensure they have the skills to ensure effective participation. Still believe they can be enhanced. LDCs call for more support for capacity building activities. Gender Impacts - LDCs appreciate the secretariat. Lack of gender desegregated data. Challenges to effective gender integration. See the need to support LDCs data collection on gender. Require finance, awareness, and capacity building on data collection, analysis, and interpretation. Increase focal points ability to coordinate and promote.

Canada: further clarification from Malawi

Malawi: for which proposal

Canada: additional meetings ahead of the review

Malawi: As background. when we had Lima work programme, develop gender action plan. Had a number of informal meetings outside of the SBs (e.g. Netherlands, Canada) these meetings enhanced the development of the gender action plan and parties reached a common understanding much faster.

USA: clarify if these out of session workshops would require funding and how that would be arranged

Malawi: Meetings will require financial resources and technical support. Calling interest parties to participate and support these meetings.

Ghana - African group: If you forget you can go back and pick it up. Welcome our co-facilitator.

New Zealand - respond to invitation to show how they have been implanting gender action plan. NZ encourages implication. Full equal and meaningful participation. Participated in 2-part workshop in Bonn. NZ highlighted as example of gender balance. long standing gender equality in the UN. endorse practical and achievable approaches. Gender is priority area for engagement, NZ development program considering gender on climate change and climate migration.

Co-Facilitators: take opportunity to make submissions for synthesis report and suggestions for review methodology

Australia: strong support for GAP. mainstreaming gender across development program. capacity building and negotiation skills for women. welcome the report. Noting progress but that work remains to be done.

Canada: gender equality and climate change are two priorities for Canada. In light of these considerations, agree with Australia, and support Gender Action Plan, countries should continue this. Oct 2018 - Canada & France supported workshop in Senegal for women leaders/negotiators capacity building

Costa Rica: - request a clarification. heard about willingness to work towards the review. what is the follow up process. How to place this call for submissions?

Secretariat: Has a number of submissions open in GAP but there is no process for the synthesis report with regards to implantation of GAP. Or no terms for review.

Costa Rica: what is requested is submissions for synthesis report?

Secretariat: synthesis report will be an input for the review. Methodology for gathering the information for the review. Separately parties will need to review the Lima work programme and GAP at COP25 and there is no methodology - one way is to prepare a terms of reference.

EU: support the need for terms of reference

USA: clarity on terms of reference. Not needed to do this session (in June)

Uganda: we need a methodology put in place for the completion of the report. appreciate the training that has taken place in the African group of women negotiators in Senegal. reports that will be submitted.

Co-Facilitators: Thanks for organizing meeting in Senegal. invite parties to start reflecting on submissions for the terms of reference. For this session, developing terms of reference is necessary. With help of secretariat we will prepare a draft conclusion on today's discussions to be reviewed before next meeting on Thursday 12-1pm Meeting room 3 in area B.