

Informal Consultation on APA Agenda Item 5 on modalities, procedures and guidelines for the transparency framework for action and support referred to in Art. 13 of the Paris Agreement

Tuesday, 8 May 2018, 3-5pm, Chamber Hall, SB48

Note taker: Melissa Low, Research Fellow, Energy Studies Institute, National University of Singapore (esimlyx@nus.edu.sg)

Informal note was issued on 8 May 2018: [APA1-5.IN.i5](#)

Singapore

- G77 & China
- Can go with new informal note
- If there is a pre-sessional workshop, it needs to ensure full participation and format should allow that

Ecuador

- LMDC, align with Singapore's statement on behalf of G77 & China
- Note disclaimer
- Headings
- Allocation of time
- Balance treatment needs to be given

Saudi Arabia

- Arab Group, align with Singapore for G77, Ecuador for LMDC
- Disclaimer, can share exact language now; guide for navigation
- Agree with moving forward with this document
- Footnote at point 1 references to raised concerns at beginning of session regarding how some of comments were not communicated the way we wanted or moved, want reference that that was shared; to be noted that a Group of Parties have raised concerns that their inputs have been moved or not captured in the manner they communicated. Such will be included in future
- Wrt allocation of time, agree with other Parties that we do need more time that we haven't been able to delve 3 layers deep to: A & D
- Time and space to discuss Part I, but want clarity on sub-sections on Part I. opportunity to engage in discussions and capturing views of Parties in these approaches was useful.
- Treat all sections equally

Maldives

- AOSIS

United States

- Noted progress in areas we did discuss – not sure if that was captured, understandably
- Echo call to request sufficient time in Bangkok to cover all aspects in a comprehensive way
- Only can advance work if we cover every aspect without cutting out discussions

- Appreciate effort this time
- A lot of detail to continue

Bhutan

- LDCs, align with Singapore for G77 & China and Maldives for AOSIS
- Need enough time to look at adaptation
- Wrt to next steps, interesting that we support idea of few sessions on interlinkages

European Union

- Echo thanks for Co-Facilitators and work over the whole week; useful input to discussion
- Note is a very balanced reflection of work that took place during the session
- Discussion was focused on some elements
- All remaining elements need to be discussed – support Saudi Arabia but no areas have priority over other
- Not totally happy with the impression the note now creates: Approaches, those that are not mutually exclusive, they create the impression that it is more divided that we think more Parties are in real
- More complex and difficult than we really are
- The impression the note gets to the outside world
- Doesn't stop us bringing the note forward
- We think there is an urgent need for more time for the discussions under APA 5, especially elements we haven't discussed
- Need negotiation text, but as you said, we don't have to discuss in this room

Brazil

- Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay
- Support elements made by Singapore for G77 & China
- Have to ensure full participation of Parties and expertise that Parties need, particularly on interlinkage debate
- Thank Co-Facilitators for document – represents Co-Facilitators, Secretariat, Parties efforts
- There are some omissions and corrections I have to point out, and will provide written input:
 - Section on input on other issues... p.67 – have provided written submission here, would like Co-Facilitators to input them. Important issues for discussion
 - Section E, part 2, p.60 – what is the difference between MPGs and developed and other Parties that provide support; last sentence. Provision by provision basis doesn't make sense. What we have put forward to you is on a voluntary basis, with flexibility provision. Needs correction.
 - P.61 – capacity placeholder
 - Approach 1, item 2: relationship, to build on
- No specific proposal on how to come to alternative way – but try to avoid creating unnecessary approaches with regard to MPGs

South Africa

- Thank you for work for producing this informal note
- Support for the issues raised by the G77 and request that there be a reference to CRP yesterday with AILAC in Section D or in the last page
- Like other Parties, feel that important we have adequate time to discuss all sections of informal note in Bangkok, balance between the Sections
- Support process of some kind to look at interlinkages – pre-session workshop to look at SBSTA finance and support provided; APA 4/5 and APA 3/5, GST and compliance and transparency (APA 6,7 and 5)
- Agree with Brazil's way of capturing suggestions made

Co-Facilitator addressed the following:

- Disclaimer from headings (Saudi Arabia): Will do so
- Adding footnote (Saudi Arabia): Will also add that, will ask for exact location
- Headings did not address (Saudi Arabia): will absolutely work to find the time and space in Bangkok to allow this discussion to happen
- Need for sufficient time and space (All): made the point to APA Co-Chairs and would encourage you all through Heads of Delegation to also request
- Number of comments on pre-session work, inclusive: Take note and HoDs will determine as part of APA conclusions
- Additional edits/comments (Brazil): Send in, but can work bilaterally with Parties
- CRP (African Group): Can work together, footnote somewhere or in introduction / appropriate place in Section D
- Take note of Brazil's approach to our use of approaches, will work to try and identify the best mode of work for next session

European Union

- Ensure that such footnotes pointing to inputs that that was a proposal coming from one or two groups of Parties so that we can understand that from the text / footnote

To this, Co-Facilitators said they will do this.

China

- Align with intervention by G77 & China and LMDC
- Some specific elements missing from our input; elaboration on specific elements in current informal note – will discuss bilaterally
- More time to work on MPGs
- Didn't see balanced manner of treatment across sections
- A – movement, D – interlinkages; not advanced as other sections
- Pre-session workshop interesting idea; stress need for larger participation of experts if we are to discuss interlinkage issue; need support from colleagues on adaptation and support
- More time and space for discussing all remaining issues
- Agree with Brazil on not necessarily having the need to have the same approach moving forward but important for Parties to have opportunity to share views

- Agree with concerns from EU on capturing some kind of consensus or divergence in the room
- Encourage Co-Facilitators to guide us on how to do that at a later stage
- Thanks to Co-Facilitators and Secretariat, and colleagues here to maximize time and organize our time in Inf-Inf or Inf-Inf-Inf

Chile

- On behalf of AILAC, align with statement with coordinator for G77 & China
- Welcome this version of informal note
- Appreciate you have already received input from Parties in the way you have organized to tool
- Some views missed or not appropriately reflected; will provide comments in written form
- Understand that this is not the moment to discuss next steps, but express concern with time allocation and way forward
- Uncertain what will be the mode and procedure to deal with approaches, options and sections that have been looked at so far
- Workshop on the way to Bangkok – format, content and full participation of Parties
- Joint CRP with African Group presented at the joint informal for APA 4 and 5; support suggestion by South Africa to make that reference in informal note

Egypt

- Share others in thanking you for hard work, patience and accommodating all the views
- Thank the Secretariat for hard work
- Support statements by G77 & China, LMDC, African Group and Arab Group
- Welcome revised note from this session, after having taken into account the inputs made by Parties
- Balance approach, treatment of issues
- Decent time for Section A, specifically A3 which will ensure efficiency of process
- Minor comments (will be submitted) on Section B: ii, iii... to be consistent with other sections using current guidelines; and moving at future point to guidelines; Section D. two bullet points, not very clear if actual options or just bullet points; Section E. meant to have I, ii, iii. Removed from Section E.
- Comment on response to question on qualitative information for TT – reiterate we need to include info for policies.... TT on preferential and (?) terms.
- G3 i, ii,iii – consistent with other sections
- Invite colleagues to consider circumstances for next session – encourage informal informals to minimize gap, move the process

Indonesia

- Align with G77 & China position
- Appreciate hard work by Co-Facilitators and Secretariat
- Useful and comprehensive
- Linking with APA 3, 4, overarching, TER, FCMP – may not be fully captured
- More time
- Balance may not mean time, but same level of depth and complexity

- Way and format necessary in Bangkok

Iran

- This approach can take us to the end
- Thank Co-Facilitators for work rendered and extended to capture all concerns of Parties
- Hope the note can help us work in transparent manner and comprehensive
- Old principles dear to all of us, especially differentiation and flexibility

Republic of Korea

- EIG
- Thank Co-Facilitator and Secretariat, colleagues for active engagement at informals, informal informals and informal informal informal meetings
- Wonderful and beautiful job to incorporate all views
- EIG happy to have made progress here
- Some concerns but can have another opportunity to discuss those in the future
- Would like to see balance in next session
- Group photo after this meeting

Australia

- Thanks to Co-Facilitator, Secretariat and all Parties
- Shared understanding on issues discussed, and how much work we have to do between now and COP24
- Adequate time in Bangkok
- Improved understanding of progress not well accounted yet in informal note
- Group photo

United States

- Reflect on helpful proposals – Saudi Arabia to make clear where inputs came from a group of Parties (rather than discussion or from all Parties)
- On the conference room papers, should make reference to all CRPs
- Family photo

Brazil

- Capturing of inputs, CRPs

Co-Facilitators noted on errors made. Apologized and asked that inputs be shared by 1.30pm today.

In terms of the group photo, immediately after this session outside this room. Thanked Parties and Secretariat. Whole lot of work to do, but with a break between.

The informal consultation ended at 1.05pm.