

First, Oral Reflection, then Discuss Plan of Work

Note taker: Margaret Jackson

4 November had a roundtable on this item

5 November roundtable

- Transparency on mitigation and adaptation
- Technical discussion around cross cutting issues
- Also NDC contents

Reflections on roundtable:

Roundtable provided opportunity to better understand parties' views on issues

Wish to echo sentiment from other parties that discussions should feed into work in some form

Singapore - G77 and China

- Request facilitators make tool to run this session
- Help capture views from discussions and roundtables
- Get tool as soon as possible

Will try to make a tool that includes all of discussions

Will post a full version of this tool under UNFCCC website, APA 5 before tomorrow morning

Other APA items will also post informal notes

Preferred approach is to use a consistent language, "facilitators informal notes"

Saudi Arabia - Arab Group

- Want to keep term as the tool for maximum comfort and flexibility

Plan in terms of timing, issues intended for specific days, seven informal consultations

- Go through all substantive items by Saturday
- Wed, Nov 8: 4-6 pm
  - Overarching considerations
  - GHG inventory
  - Tracking reports
  - Adaptation
- Th 12-1 pm
  - Support provided
- Another session (Th/F)
  - TER, FMTP
- Sat 12-1 pm
  - Support needed and received
- Mon 10-11 am
  - Co-facilitators informal note
  - Any remaining issues

Not asking each APA item to reach agreement, but want input

Next steps will be a part of the broader APA conclusions discussion

## EU

- This is a useful approach, but need more time for APA 5

## South Africa

- Question about informal note
- What is the process from getting from tool to note? When would it get to in session document list?
- Formals/informals on specific areas over next week?

## China

- Parties provide inputs
- Now we have enough material, can move forward with this useful approach
  - PMPIF - preliminary material for preparation for the informal note
  - Just a tool, not an iteration of an informal note
- What will be the third step?
  - Need clarification
  - Need to elevate discussion in a form where all parties have ownership
  - Countries should have opportunity to fix anything that is blurred or unclear and make sure it's accurate
- 4W4H - who, where, when, what, how, how much, how many, how frequent
  - Need all elements

## Brazil

- Flexible on name of text, more concerned about content
- Countries should be able to evaluate how far along they are in terms of an informal note, etc
- Need to focus on more substantive conversation
- Don't need to micromanage time, but need to have comprehensive discussion, have full confidence in facilitators

## US

- Thank you for offering to put together submissions
- Flexible on naming
- Focus on what, not other questions, recommend setting them aside for now, but will be easier to address when technical details are worked out
- Some of the components are allocated with less time, need enough time for each sub-item

## Saudi Arabia

- Clarification on name and placement of document?
- Will go on APA 5 website

Informal informals are available if parties request them

Intention is to get all parties input on substantive elements and issue first iteration of informal note on Saturday

#### Australia

- Concerned about allocation of work
- Four items in two hours is not realistic, need more time

#### China

- Echo views of Brazil, need tool before deciding if they need informal informals
- Once review document, can assess if there are things missing, may not take longer
- Assume work of co-facilitators will be good enough and may not need significant changes
- One NPG for all or two NPG, one for developing, one for developed?
- Need to accommodate all views
- Support provided and received issue, needs more time to discuss because there are a number of flaws and gaps
  - Agree that this area needs more time than other items