

APA Agenda Items 3 - 8 Stocktake - November 9th, 2017, 15:00 - Chamber Hall  
Kali Lamont - College of the Atlantic

**Co-facilitators item 3 updates:**

- Monday: roundtable for parties to discuss detail of guidance to NDC's
  - All sub items and general issues addressed
  - Allowed parties to lay out view
  - Have met for three hours, next meeting is tomorrow
  - Bilateral meeting help with most of group
  - Long discussion on progress and how to capture progress. The group could not agree on how to proceed
  - Thank secretariat, co-chairs and parties for work

APA Agenda Items 3 - November 9th, 2017, 17:20 - Rakiraki

**Co facilitators**

- Provided document to guide outside conversations along with room. We have been informed that there have been discussions but they have not come to a result.
- Received one proposal from two parties: APA - Brazil and Marshall Island to use appendices of the non paper with the necessary added caveats as structure.
- Show of hand if there is objection to proposal: there were a few hands so can't use this proposal.
  - Is there is still a willingness to submit something to compile for the next session?
  - Or could give us the task to try to bring out some clear proposals from the groups from the submissions that we have had. No inventing, not amending anything.
  - Apologize for complication: careful not to use words that some parties or groups cannot accept.

**Zimbabwe (Africa Group)**

- Not objecting but want to improve on it. Whilst we want cofac do some work for us, but should not only borrow from appendices but from whole non paper and upper ? submissions, and feedback from roundtable. How different ideas can be reflected and structured and how flexibility can be reflected. Critical headings and subheading looking at general aspects - can have definition but what we understand accounting, information. And purpose of account and info. Aspect on flexibility and aspect building.
- Move into spec and substantial issues and then substantive elements under info and accounting aspects. Ex. accounting look at methodologies of IPCC.
  - Look at time frame, scope, and process
  - How parties can report on support provided or needed
  - 4.4 guidance for full economy base

**Ethiopia**

- Agree with AG giving work back to co facilitators. Stick with non paper as good base.

**AIOSIS Marshall Islands**

- Have our support for you to move work forward
- We feel you have the material you need already - substance and views on process.

**PNG**

- Non paper just needs to be reorganized
- There may not really be any divergences in non paper

**Co facilitators:** All groups who spoke seemed in favor of this approach.

## **China**

- As some point improve approach suggested by you. Conditionally mandate to produce something for us. PMFPI. It's just a tool with no specific status to be uploaded to website to prepare for further work.
- You two are the breach form partes input to draft negotiating text.
- Highlights some points raised by colleagues: there are still very important text in this tool - definition, flexibility, capacity building, scop, differentiation.
  - Confusing word: use crosscutting issues instead?
  - Two main approaches to structure guidance: 1. Common guidance based on paragraph 27
    - 2. Covering necessary elements
  - Second point: need flesh and bone together not hodge podge on the table. Not just bone and not only flesh, heading /subheading to support flesh. We trust you to capture these different ideas
  - Third point: promise by co-chairs in stocktaking meeting that they will protect the integrity of each proposal.
  - Fourth point: way forward - after we see, read, digest tool opportunity to elevate
    - Need celebration or something elevate co chairs formal. Parties reserve right to reject part of this tool
    - We are most accelerated of all item!

## **Brazil**

- Scary thought to be more advanced than other.. But it's true because we did a really good job in non paper. Question before us is really how to bring this forward. You have our full support and have read our submissions and we trust you to bring forward a text that will reflect the options that captures the range of views in the room and move forward.
- Above your pay grade

## **US**

- The tool presented in transparency room, we could agree with that. Document came out as tool with a lot of the "what" and doesn't prejudge the who. We could give you the mandate to go forward to work on the what and leaving the who to be later decided. It's our job to figure out we support that direction.

## **Switzerland**

- Don't use form 9.7. Then we can go with that.

## **Marshall Island**

- We have already given you our trust and fully support you. Present something that has the potential for agreement to all and reflect our views. Better you spend a night here and get further than us and the non paper

## **Egypt**

- Give you full trust and confidence to do some work based not only on appendices but also the text
- Structures of the guidance in the real thing to inform and account: general elements but coming to distinctive element need to see differentiation between version of developing and underdeveloped countries.

**Chair:** we are well aware of the divergent view in the substantive elements and take care to find themselves in the tool.

## **Saudi Arabia (Arab Group)**

- Support the tool. It's not just about what but who, what, where, how many, however.. If so we will support that.

## **US**

- If same as transparency room, not two sets, we are happy to work dif perspective and languages but starting off but cannot support binary

## **China (LMBC)**

- In response to SA.. should not leave behind detail but should not prejudge. Trust you from this day to the last day.

## **Colombia (AILAC)**

- Support tool. Would like to urge colleague that this is the first iteration of the non paper which is good because it means we don't have to drop everything and lose progress maybe.

**Co Facilitators:** thank you for reminder that we are not negotiating final guidance.

## **India**

- Express support to co facilitators. Only request, please bare in land red line when tool is developed and respect the divergence of opinions that parties have,

## **Mexico**

- Thank parties for flexibility to allow
- Not only acronyms but full text as good way to start process. Second Zimbabwe and India. We should stop and let our co facilitators start work.

## **New Zealand**

- Support India and Mexico. As long as it maintains balance of those red lines that you know so well.

**Co Facilitators:** thanks for trust, wish you good evening..